1776
PART II?
Dear friend,

There are some people out there who think folks like you and me are a bit “odd”. They think having a stockpile ready for a disaster is something they can put off for “someday” or “never”.

But those people are just hiding their heads in the sand. They are dead wrong -- and you are dead right.

You’ve seen the evidence and you know the situation is way too serious not to do something about it. When a crisis hits, you’ll be ready. You’ll make damn sure your family won’t go hungry or get herded into a FEMA camp.

The fact is, if you don’t take action or if you stockpile the wrong foods, you could be setting your family up to starve. It sounds harsh, but the truth is too many people with good intentions are making critical mistakes with their food stockpiles.

MISTAKES LIKE…

■ Buying MREs (meals ready to eat) with a 5 year shelf life (depending on where you buy them, they could be nearly expired)…

■ Getting gross survival foods that taste terrible and are so high in salt, MSG and preservatives you could clog your arteries and get yourself sick…

■ Or simply buying the wrong foods and leaving a critical hole in your meal plan, which means your family can become malnourished…

Well, I decided to stop worrying. Obviously, waiting for FEMA to give me a handout in a disaster just wasn’t an option for me. And I was completely turned off by the crazy prices of survival food sold by most stores.

So I got in touch with my buddy Frank Bates and put my order in for his Food4Patriots survival food kits.

This is Frank’s new line of survival food and there are 4 reasons why it’s literally flying off the shelves:

■ Food4Patriots is an incredible value. This high quality survival food is without any fillers or poor-quality “franken-food” that the other guys use to pad their survival meals. They are made and packaged right here in the U.S.A. You won’t believe the prices on these kits -- a fraction of the price that other brands charge.

■ There’s no fancy packaging, it’s military-grade sturdy stuff and can stand up to the crazy things that happen in a crisis. This food has a shelf life of up to 25 years, so you have complete peace of mind for the long term. And he’s using the most compact kits so you can store them anywhere in your home without any extra hassle. They’re sturdy, waterproof and stack easily. And extremely covert too.

■ You can make these meals in less than 20 minutes; just add boiling water, simmer, and serve. I tried ‘em and I think they taste as good or better than any other survival food I’ve EVER had. And you get a whole slew of choices for breakfast, lunch and dinner so you don’t get stuck eating the same thing day-in and day-out.

■ Frank has come up with some impressive FREE bonuses that are ONLY available to folks who purchase one of his kits on a first-come, first-served basis. For example, my 3-month kit came with 5,400+ heirloom survival seeds, 4 hard copy books, an 11-in-1 survival tool, and some other cool stuff.

I want to make sure you don’t miss out on this because this is the #1 item to hoard in 2014.

Here’s why… If you don’t take action to get your food stockpile right now, you’ll be in the same boat as the brainwashed masses who think “everything is fine.” And if a crisis hits and your family asks, “What are we going to eat?” your mouth will go dry and you’ll feel powerless.

But what if you decide right now to secure your food stockpile instead? Just imagine how much better you’ll feel right away. And if a crisis hits and your family asks, “What are we going to eat?” you’ll calmly reassure them that they’re safe and they will have plenty to eat.

Listen, I can’t predict the future. I don’t know exactly when or how a crisis will hit. But from everything I see, it could be soon and it could be a big one. That’s why I really want you to get the same peace of mind that I do.

P.S. Got a call from Frank and you’ll never believe this… FEMA and DHS just tried to buy up his entire supply of food! Can you believe that BS?

Protect your family in a crisis with 25-year shelf life survival food from getfood26.com

LOG-ON NOW TO GETFOOD26.COM
1776: Part 2

WRITTEN BY: INFOWARS STAFF

FEDERAL JUDGE: BLM CONSPIRING AGAINST RANCHERS

WRITTEN BY: KIT DANIELS

RON PAUL: “COMMON CORE IS REALLY THE LAST STRAW”

INTERVIEWED BY: KIT DANIELS

HARRY REID BEHIND BLM LAND GRAB

WRITTEN BY: KIT DANIELS

SEN. REID BREAKS GROUND FOR SOLAR FARM

WRITTEN BY: KIT DANIELS

HARRY REID CALLS RANCHERS “DOMESTIC TERRORISTS”

WRITTEN BY: PAUL JOSEPH WATSON

FEWS SLAUGHTER CATTLE DURING BUNDY SEIGE

WRITTEN BY: PAUL JOSEPH WATSON

DIRTY HARRY REID

WRITTEN BY: LEE ANN MCADOO

BLM’S TORTOISE EXCUSE IS HORSE HOCKEY

WRITTEN BY: ADAN SALAZAR

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT VIOLATES CONSTITUTION

WRITTEN BY: KURT NIMMO

RON PAUL CURRICULUM FOR HOMESCHOOLERS

WRITTEN BY: INFOWARS STAFF

“CONFORTATIONAL” CHILDREN COULD BE TERRORISTS

WRITTEN BY: PAUL JOSEPH WATSON

MASS CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE AGAINST GUN REGISTRATION

WRITTEN BY: PAUL JOSEPH WATSON
“An individual who breaks a law that conscience tells him is unjust, and who willingly accepts the penalty of imprisonment in order to arouse the conscience of the community over its injustice, is in reality expressing the highest respect for the law.”

MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR

“The U.S. Government has perpetrated a bigger fraud on people over those tortoises than Al Capone did selling swampland in Miami.”

TOM COLLINS- CLARK COUNTY COMMISSIONER

“Colorful demonstrations and weekend marches are vital but alone are not powerful enough to stop wars. Wars will be stopped only when soldiers refuse to fight, when workers refuse to load weapons onto ships and aircraft, when people boycott the economic outposts of Empire that are strung across the globe.”

ARUNDHATI ROY

“I love you people, and I love this land, and I love freedom and liberty, and I love the sovereign state of Nevada and nobody can tell me the United States owns this land.”

CLIVEN BUNDY
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1776: Part 2
Did The Second American Revolution Already Start In The Nevadan Desert?

As Americans have been pushed to the edge. Beef prices have exploded to an all-time record, the number of new regulations have skyrocketed and federal bureaucracies compound the issues by targeting the few remaining successful independent small business owners.

According to the Bureau of Land Management’s own documents and a federal judge’s ruling, the goal is to bankrupt farmers and ranchers off their land so they can then be controlled by the federal government and collateralized towards the national debt.

America is being subdivided and categorized by the multinational elite that have already looted the third world. Their sights are now set on the ultimate prey: America.

We’ll detail the land rights issues and the entire backstory later in this publication in other articles.

This is a story about the second American revolution, about real civil disobedience, about a population that’s been pushed all the way to the edge and about the last man standing, whose family arrived via wagon train with more than 50 families in 1877. The BLM has bankrupted every other rancher but him, because the power to tax is the power to destroy. They’ve set the taxes to destroy, as is covered in this issues article, “Federal Judge: BLM Engaged In A Criminal Conspiracy Against Ranchers.”

“They’re destroying your food supply, they’re trying to imprison you in the cities by making most of the United States off-access to everybody, and immediately what it means is: loaded guns in your face,” Infowars reporter David Knight states from experience. Knight provided live, on-the-ground coverage the day the BLM backed off its persecution of Nevada cattle rancher Cliven Bundy.

“When we were there, that was the thing that was the most immediate concern to me and to I think most of the people that were there, and these people had already for some time had loaded guns in their faces.”

During the first week of April, hundreds of federal agents descended on the Bundy ranch in Bunkerville aided by helicopters, low flying aircraft and hired cowboys hellbent on seizing and impounding Bundy’s cattle.

They claimed he owed over $1 million in grazing fees as a result of violating a 1993 BLM ruling which changed grazing rights in order to protect the endangered desert tortoise, which we later explain actually benefits from grazing. Bundy, however, asserts that his family has tended the land for generations and that his pre-emptive rights and family history trump federal bureaucracy.

Moreover, as explained in Kurt Nimmo’s article, the BLM’s actions against Bundy are a direct violation of the law and the Constitution, specifically Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17, known as the Enclave Clause.

Armed agents constructed checkpoints to stifle access to the area, as snipers trained their weapons on family members and supporters who dared venture beyond “First Amendment” zones arbitrarily set up in the middle of nowhere.

“You’ve got a tyrannical government that is just over-the-top with excessive, militarized force, an illegitimate force, not local law enforcement doing this sort of thing,” Knight says, recalling the feds’ over-the-top reaction.

“On a daily basis there were acts of brutality, outright attacks by the government against protesters exercising their First Amendment rights, declaring public areas off bounds to the public and literally viciously attacking anybody who set foot on what had previously been public lands.”

Among other atrocities, BLM agents assaulted a cancer victim, set a dog on a pregnant woman and used a taser on Bundy’s son, Ammon, during a confrontation, as more supporters and liberty activists continued arriving.

“I watched as Ammon Bundy tearfully explained what it meant to him to look over the horizon on this land where he and his father and his grandfather and many generations and all the people around there had freely roamed, and they’d look out on this horizon and there are people pointing guns at them, people attacking their friends and neighbors.”

The BLM’s dispute with Mr. Bundy came to an abrupt end within 24 hours of Infowars publishing a bombshell report linking Nevada Senator Harry Reid to proposed solar projects in the area and the associated land grab.

“I dialed into a teleconference with the BLM,” reports Knight, “and I just basically read them the charges from Kit’s article. I asked my question to the BLM, I just gave them information and asked them for a comment, and they had no comment.”

On Saturday, April 12 after a tense weeklong standoff, the Clark County sheriff met with the Bundys and
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announced to thousands of supporters that the BLM would cease the cattle gathering operation.

However, tired of having guns shoved in his face, Bundy doubled down and told the sheriff that the BLM and the Park Service had one hour to relinquish their firearms. When the hour was up and the agencies had not complied, supporters moved to a BLM staging ground where Bundy’s cattle were penned up to demand their release.

“I was one of the first guys down there. When I got down there, there was Ammon Bundy and maybe about 10 other people. And we could see over on the other side, the guys in their uniforms, full armor, helmets, shields, opening up the car doors and standing behind them,” describes Knight.

“And they got on the loudspeaker and told us they had a court order, we had to vacate the area, they would shoot, they would use lethal force, they started threatening us. Now I’m thinking, ‘Yeah, these guys probably will do it if they get scared enough.’”

When hundreds of men and women, many on horseback, showed up to retrieve the cattle, it all came down to a dramatic showdown. Demonstrators pressed forward with full knowledge that loaded guns were drawn and pointed in their direction, and despite such threats as, “One more step and you’re dead,” blaring from bullhorns.

“Amercians showed up with guns and said, ‘No, you’re not,’” Alex Jones said of the historic event in an interview. “And they said, ‘Shoot us.’ And they did not. That’s epic. And it’s going to happen more.”

“It was a real watershed moment,” Knight says, “because for once they didn’t intimidate anybody, for once we stood them down, and we did it peacefully. We looked them in the eye and they backed down.”

NowSen. Reid has gone on to label Bundy and his supporters “domestic terrorists,” and for good reason. As the character Hopper warns in Disney-Pixar’s A Bug’s Life, “You let one ant stand up to us, then they all might stand up! Those puny little ants outnumber us a hundred to one and if they ever figure that out, there goes our way of life! It’s not about food, it’s about keeping those ants in line.”

“Reid is calling us domestic terrorists,” Knight says, “but for quite some time the federal government was guilty of assault with deadly weapons, of battery, of armed theft. And if you want to look at what Harry Reid’s done, I would say that falls under racketeering and corruption, in other words the RICO statutes. They’re doing all this violence and intimidation, and they’re doing it to achieve a political end. That’s the very definition of terrorism.”

The question now is: as the federal government continues to push and gear up and militarize with all its tanks, riot gear, ammo and assault weapons, what’s going to happen when they come back, as Harry Reid has threatened?

Who knows if this truly is the second American revolution, but it’s definitely a sign post on that road.
Breaking: Sen. Harry Reid Behind BLM Land Grab Of Bundy Ranch
BLM Attempted Cover-Up Of Sen. Reid/Gov't Takeover Of Ranch For Solar Farms

The Bureau of Land Management, whose director was Sen. Harry Reid’s (D-Nev.) former senior adviser, has purged documents from its web site stating that the agency wants Nevada rancher Cliven Bundy’s cattle off of the land his family has worked since the 1870’s in order to make way for solar panel power stations.

Deleted from BLM.gov but reposted for posterity by the Free Republic, the BLM document entitled “Cattle Trespass Impacts” directly states that Bundy’s cattle “impacts” solar development, more specifically the construction of “utility-scale solar power generation facilities” on “public lands.”

“DELETED FROM BLM.GOV BUT REPOSTED FOR POSTERITY BY THE FREE REPUBLIC, THE BLM DOCUMENT ENTITLED ‘CATTLE TRESPASS IMPACTS’ DIRECTLY STATES THAT BUNDY’S CATTLE ‘IMPACTS’ SOLAR DEVELOPMENT, MORE SPECIFICALLY THE CONSTRUCTION OF “UTILITY-SCALE SOLAR POWER GENERATION FACILITIES” ON ‘PUBLIC LANDS.’”

“The Approved Resource Management Plan Amendments/Record of Decision (ROD) for Solar Energy Development in Six Southwestern States implemented a comprehensive solar energy program for public lands in those states and incorporated land use allocations and programmatic and SEZ-specific design features into land use plans in the six-state study area.”

Back in 2012, the New American reported that Harry Reid’s son, Rory Reid, was the chief representative for a Chinese energy firm planning to build a $5-billion solar plant on public land in Laughlin, Nevada.

And journalist Marcus Stern with Reuters also reported that Sen. Reid was heavily involved in the deal as well.

“[Reid] and his oldest son, Rory, are both involved in an effort by a Chinese energy giant, ENN Energy Group, to build a $5 billion solar farm and panel manufacturing plant in the southern Nevada desert,” he wrote. “Reid has been one of the project’s most prominent advocates, helping recruit the company during a 2011 trip to China and applying his political muscle on behalf of the project in Nevada.”

“His son, a lawyer with a prominent Las Vegas firm that is representing ENN, helped it locate a 9,000-acre (3,600-hectare) desert site that it is buying well below appraised value from Clark County, where Rory Reid formerly chaired the county commission.”

Although these reports are in plain view, the mainstream media has so far ignored this link.

The BLM’s official reason for encircling the Bundy family with sniper teams and helicopters was to protect the endangered desert tortoise, which the agency has previously been killing in mass due to “budget constraints.”

“A tortoise isn’t the reason why the BLM is harassing a 67 year-old rancher; they want his land,” journalist Dana Loesch wrote. “The tortoise wasn’t of concern when [U.S. Senator] Harry Reid worked with the BLM to literally change the boundaries of the tortoise’s habitat to accommodate the development of his top donor, Harvey Whittemore.”

“Reid is accused of using the new BLM chief as a puppet to control Nevada land (already over 84% of which is owned by the federal government) and pay back special interests,” she added. “BLM has proven that they’ve a situational concern for the desert tortoise as they’ve had no problem waiving their rules concerning wind or solar power development. Clearly these developments vastly affect a tortoise habitat more than a century-old, quasi-homesteading grazing area.”

“If only Cliven Bundy were a big Reid donor.”

The Drudge Report, the #1 news aggregate site in the world, picked up this story. Unfortunately for the BLM, the documents they wanted to delete are now exposed for the world to see.

Additionally, ENN Energy Group describes itself as a “privately-owned clean energy distributor in China.” However, as the People’s Republic of China is a single-party state governed by the Communist Party, all large companies in China, one way or the other, are either controlled or are heavily influenced by the Chinese government.
Flashback: Sen. Reid Breaks Ground For Nevada Solar Farm Near Bundy Ranch

The Senator’s Plan For Solar Farms In Nevada Wasn’t Just Limited To The Shelved Project Near Laughlin

Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-Nev.), who was exposed last Friday as the mastermind behind the Bureau of Land Management’s persecution of Nevada rancher Cliven Bundy, can be seen in this March 2014 photo breaking ground for a new solar farm near the Bundy Ranch, emphasizing that the senator’s plan for solar projects in Nevada wasn’t just limited to the shelved solar farm near Laughlin.

Signaling the first day of construction of the Moapa Southern Paiute Solar Project, which is about 35 miles from the Bundy homestead in Bunkerville, Nevada, Sen. Reid joined representatives of the Moapa Band of Paiutes, executives from First Solar, Inc. and the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power for the groundbreaking ceremony on March 21.

“First Solar is thrilled to celebrate this important milestone with Sen. Reid and distinguished guests, and honored to work with the Moapa Band of Paiutes on this landmark project,” Jim Hughes, the CEO of First Solar, said at the time.

The development of solar farms just like this one is exactly why Sen. Reid was using the BLM, whose director is Reid’s former senior advisor, to push Bundy out of the Gold Butte area his family has worked since the 1870’s.

As we revealed in the previous article which became the #1 news story in the world for 24 hours, the BLM specifically stated that it wanted Bundy and his cattle out of the area as part of the agency’s “regional mitigation strategy for the Dry Lake Solar Energy Zone.”

The BLM attempted a cover-up by deleting documents exposing the plan from its web site, but fortunately contributors at the Free Republic were able to save them for posterity.

Other news outlets have attempted to whitewash the situation by suggesting that the solar farm development was only limited to the shelved 2012 deal between Sen. Reid and Chinese-owned ENN Energy Group in Laughlin, Nev., but by reading the BLM’s own documents it is quite obvious that this is not the case.

“The BLM’s current action is built on the Western Solar Energy Plan, a two-year planning effort conducted on behalf of the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Energy to expand domestic energy production and spur development of solar energy on public lands in six western states,” the BLM announced in a March 14 press release.

“The Western Solar Energy Plan provides a blueprint for utility-scale solar energy permitting in Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico and Utah by establishing Solar Energy Zones with access to existing or planned transmission, incentives for development within those Solar Energy Zones, and a process through which to consider additional Solar Energy Zones and solar projects.”

“The Regional Mitigation Strategy for the Dry Lake Solar Energy Zone is the first of several pilot plans to be developed by the BLM,” the press release added.

In summary, the BLM, acting under Sen. Reid’s corrupt interests, wants Cliven Bundy out of the 600,000 acre Gold Butte area so the agency can use the land for future solar projects and de facto buffer zones surrounding the solar farms.

This is crony capitalism at its finest and Bundy’s supporters, who numbered in the thousands, knew it.
“THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOLAR FARMS JUST LIKE THIS ONE IS EXACTLY WHY SEN. REID WAS USING THE BLM, WHOSE DIRECTOR IS REID’S FORMER SENIOR ADVISOR, TO PUSH BUNDY OUT OF THE GOLD BUTTE AREA HIS FAMILY HAS WORKED SINCE THE 1870’S.”
Senator Harry Reid has escalated the war of words over the Cliven Bundy dispute, sensationalely labeling the Nevada cattle rancher’s supporters “domestic terrorists” during an event in Las Vegas.

During a ‘Hashtags & Headlines’ event at the Paris Hotel & Casino in Las Vegas, Reid referred to Bundy supporters as “Nothing more than domestic terrorists,” adding, “I repeat: what happened there was domestic terrorism.”

Reid was referring to the standoff in Bunkerville where Bundy supporters, some of whom were armed, forced the Bureau of Land Management agents to back down and release around 380 head of cattle belonging to Bundy that had been seized over the course of the previous week.

Reid claimed that Bundy viewed the United States as a “foreign government,” while accusing his supporters of goading violence.

“There were hundreds, hundreds of people from around the country that came there,” Reid said. “They had sniper rifles in the freeway. They had weapons, automatic weapons. They had children lined up. They wanted to make sure they got hurt first … What if others tried the same thing?”

Despite Reid’s characterization of Bundy supporters as “domestic terrorists,” the only violence metered out during the dispute was when BLM agents tasered and assaulted Bundy supporters during an incident on April 9.

No matter where you stand on the Bundy issue, Reid’s characterization of American protesters as “domestic terrorists” is chilling and a massive backlash is almost certain to follow.

It also fits the narrative that the federal government has been pushing for years through literature such as the MIAC report, which framed Ron Paul supporters, libertarians, people who display bumper stickers, people who own gold, or even people who fly a U.S. flag, as potential terrorists. In 2012, a Homeland Security study was leaked which characterized Americans who are “suspicious of centralized federal authority,” and “reverent of individual liberty” as “extreme right-wing” terrorists.

Reid attracted controversy when he promised that the BLM’s fight with Bundy was “not over.” The Nevada Senator was hit with accusations of cronyism after his former staffer Neil Kornze was confirmed as the new BLM director in early April.

Reid is obviously angry after his complicity in the siege against the Bundy family was exposed and became a viral story. Although many news outlets claimed this issue was “debunked,” Reid’s involvement in a solar farm just 35 miles from Bundy’s ranch is documented. Archived files which were deleted from the BLM’s own website confirm that confiscating Bundy’s cattle was necessary in order to clear the way for lucrative solar deals with transnational corporations.

Meanwhile, the BLM has admitted slaughtering two prize bulls belonging to Cliven Bundy during their round up of his cattle. The BLM claims the bulls “posed a safety hazard” but refused to elaborate. Bundy supporters have pointed to photographs which appear to show one of the bulls having suffered a gunshot wound.

“NO MATTER WHERE YOU STAND ON THE BUNDY ISSUE, REID’S CHARACTERIZATION OF AMERICAN PROTESTERS AS ‘DOMESTIC TERRORISTS’ IS CHILLING AND A MASSIVE BACKLASH IS ALMOST CERTAIN TO FOLLOW.”
For over 20 years, the Bureau of Land Management engaged in a “literal, intentional conspiracy” against Nevada ranchers to force them out of business, according to a federal judge whose court opinion exposes the BLM’s true intent against rancher Cliven Bundy.

In his opinion of United States v. Estate of Hage, U.S. District Court Judge Robert C. Jones reveals that after late Nevada rancher E. Wayne Hage indicated on his 1993 grazing permit renewal that by signing the permit, he was not surrendering his family’s long-standing water and forage rights on the land, the BLM not only rejected the permit but also conspired for decades to both deny his family’s property rights and to destroy their cattle business.

“In 2007, unsatisfied with the outcome thus far in the CFC, the Government brought the present civil trespass action against Hage and the Estate. The Government did not bring criminal misdemeanor trespass claims, perhaps because it believed it could not satisfy the burden of proof in a criminal trespass action, as a previous criminal action against E. Wayne Hage had been reversed by the Court of Appeals. During the course of the present trial, the Government has: (1) invited others, including Mr. Gary Snow, to apply for grazing permits on allotments where the Hages previously had permits, indicating that Mr. Snow could use water sources on such land in which Hage had water rights, or at least knowing that he would use such sources; (2) applied with the Nevada State Engineer for its own stock watering rights in waters on the land despite that fact that the Government owns no cattle nearby and has never intended to obtain any, but rather for the purpose of obtaining rights for third parties other than Hage in order to interfere with Hage’s rights; and (3) issued trespass notices and demands for payment against persons who had cattle pastured with Hage, despite having been notified by these persons and Hage himself that Hage was responsible for these cattle and even issuing such demands for payment

“SO IN OTHER WORDS, THE BLM WILLFULLY ATTEMPTED TO DESTROY THE HAGE FAMILY’S LIVELIHOOD BECAUSE HAGE DARED TO ASSERT HIS EXISTING RIGHTS TO THE LAND WHICH HIS FAMILY HAS HELD SINCE THE LATE 19TH CENTURY. AND UNFORTUNATELY THE BLM IS ATTEMPTING TO DO THE EXACT SAME THING TO CLIVEN BUNDY.”
to witnesses soon after they testified in this case.

By filing for a public water reserve, the Government in this case sought specifically to transfer to others water rights belonging to the Hages. The Government also explicitly solicited and granted temporary grazing rights to parties who had no preferences under the TGA [Taylor Grazing Act of 1934], such as Mr. Snow, in areas where the Hages had preferences under the TGA.

It is necessary to note that under the TGA, according to Red Canyon Sheep Co. v. Ickes (1938), a rancher whose cattle had previously grazed in the area based upon adjacent land, water rights on the land, etc., has a right to a grazing permit over others who apply for a permit to graze the area without having previously grazed there.

So in this instance, Hage would have priority over Snow for a grazing permit, but the BLM willfully ignored this court ruling.

And after the agency filed for a public water reserve, according to Judge Jones, the BLM “sent trespass notices to people who leased or sold cattle to the Hages, notwithstanding the Hages’ admitted and known control over that cattle, in order to pressure other parties not to do business with the Hages, and even to discourage or punish testimony in the present case.”

“For this reason, the Court has held certain government officials in contempt and referred the matter to the U.S. Attorney’s Office,” he wrote. “In summary, government officials, and perhaps also Mr. Snow, entered into a literal, intentional conspiracy to deprive the Hages not only of their permits but also of their vested water rights.”

“This behavior shocks the conscience of the Court and provides a sufficient basis for a finding of irreparable harm to support the injunction described at the end of this Order.”

“So in other words, the BLM willfully attempted to destroy the Hage family’s livelihood because Hage dared to assert his existing rights to the land which his family has held since the late 19th century. And unfortunately the BLM is attempting to do the exact same thing to Cliven Bundy.

“Has Attorney General Eric Holder prosecuted any federal officials for criminal activity and violation of the Hage family’s constitutionally protected rights? No,” William F. Jasper, senior editor of The New American, wrote on the subject. “Has Sen. Harry Reid denounced this lawlessness and criminal activity by government officials and call upon President Obama and Attorney General Holder to protect the citizens of his state from the depredations of federal officials under their command? No.”

“With attitudes such as those expressed above by Sen. Harry Reid, it is almost a certainty that the recently defused Bundy Ranch standoff will be replayed again — and in the not-too-distant future. And the outcome could be much less amicable for all concerned.”
The official Bundy Ranch Facebook page posted images of a prize bull that appeared to have been killed by a gunshot wound alongside the message, “Evidence of cattle that were shot. One of many incidents.” More images of dead cattle were posted to the group’s page.

As Fox News reported, despite a court order restricting the BLM to only seizing Bundy’s cattle, video shows that federal agents cut holes in water tanks and destroyed fences belonging to Bundy during the operation. According to Bundy, the BLM also killed two prize bulls for no reason. The Bureau of Land Management has failed to respond to the allegations.

Another image taken from an aircraft shows Bundy’s cattle corralled into small pens. Shortly after the image was taken, the FAA moved to shut down airspace over the ranch, prompting speculation that the feds were attempting to hide evidence that they were mistreating the cattle.

Republican member of the Nevada Assembly Michele Fiore also tweeted out an image of the dead bull, describing it as, “One of many casualties from the BundyRanch standoff.”

Early in April, Bundy supporters claimed that BLM agents were bringing in earth moving equipment in order to dig mass graves. On Saturday April 10, the federal agency ceased its operation against Bundy and was forced to return some 380 seized cattle after a tense standoff with Bundy supporters.

"PHOTO EVIDENCE SUGGESTS BLM FEDERAL AGENTS SHOT CATTLE DEAD DURING ACTIONS TARGETING CLIVEN BUNDY, A CLEAR VIOLATION OF A COURT ORDER WHICH COULD SEE THE FEDERAL AGENCY AND THOSE IT HIRED TO CARRY OUT THE OPERATION FACE CRIMINAL CHARGES."
KICK SOME ASH
NO ASH
NO TAR
NO SMOKE
GREAT TASTE

LECIG.COM 870.525.1440
Dirty Harry Reid
Senator Uses Legal Power To Cash In On Real Estate

WRITTEN BY: LEE ANN MCADOO

Dirty Harry Reid’s reach goes far beyond Bunkerville. In fact, he’s really good at using his influence to reward family members and campaign donors in lucrative development deals across the state. The accounts of such activity are plenty and persistent.

A Los Angeles Times article from 2003 headlined In Nevada, the name to know is Reid, details the “Clark County Conservation of Land and Natural Resources Act of 2002.” What Reid did not explain when he introduced the bill in the Senate, according to the authors, “was that the bill promised a cavalcade of benefits to real estate developers, corporations, and local institutions that were paying hundreds of thousands of dollars in lobbying fees to his sons’ and son-in-law’s firms.”

Reid’s son-in-law, Steve Barringer, cashed in to the tune of $300,000 when the Senator pushed “a provision allowing the company to acquire 998 acres of federal land ripe for development in the exploding Las Vegas metropolitan area.”

The bill also benefited a real-estate development headed by a senior partner in the Nevada law firm, that then employed all four of Reid’s sons, by moving the right-of-way for a federal power transmission line off his property and onto what had been protected federal wilderness.

Hoover Institution scholar Peter Schweizer says Papa Reid has “sponsored at least $47 million in earmarks that directly benefited organizations that one of his sons, Key Reid, either lobbies for or is affiliated with.”

As reported by the Associated Press, Reid was investigated by the Senate Ethics Committee in 2006 concerning his role in a speculative land deal in Las Vegas. Reid purchased a tract of land, folded it into an LLC with a friend who purchased an adjacent plot, and then used his clout as senator to persuade the local zoning committee to rezone the property for retail. A $400,000 investment turned into $1.1 million. Reid failed to disclose to the Federal Election Commission that he had transferred the land into Patrick Lane LLC, the partnership he created with business associate Jay Brown.

Reid and his family appear to work within the boundaries of the law, which should not be surprising, because Reid writes that law, and illegal activity hurts the bottom line.

Well except for that one time last year when Nevada lobbyist Harvey Whittemore, one of Reid’s longtime donors, was sentenced to two years in prison after being found guilty of violating campaign finance laws. Whittemore used straw donors to give more than $130,000 in dirty money to Reid’s campaign.

This is the same Harvey Whittemore, who Senator Reid accommodated by working his boys at the BLM to literally change the boundaries of the endangered desert tortoise’s habitat to aid the development of his top donor.
SENATOR HARRY REID. HE DOESN'T JUST WRECK YOUR LAND. HE WRECKS YOUR LIFE.

HARRY REID IS Dirty Harry
BLM's Tortoise Excuse Is Horse Hockey

The Bureau Of Land Management Uses Endangered Desert Tortoise Preservation As Justification To Violate Cattle Rancher’s Property Rights

The Bureau of Land Management’s ongoing dispute with cattle rancher Cliven Bundy has supposedly been waged over unpaid grazing fees. Bundy’s cattle are said to have grazed in protected areas regulated to preserve endangered species, chiefly the desert tortoise.

Under threat of lawsuit from various environmental conservation groups, including the Center for Biological Diversity, the BLM was urged to pursue Bundy for the fees.

In a heated weeklong confrontation, the BLM swarmed Bundy's ranch with helicopters, low-flying aircraft and hired cowboys, and began rounding up his cattle, forbidding him from interfering with the operation, as Bundy made it clear he was willing to give his life defending land his family has tended for generations.

“My forefathers have been up and down the Virgin Valley ever since 1877. All these rights I claim have been created through pre-emptive rights and beneficial use of the forage and water. I have been here longer. My rights are before the BLM even existed,” Bundy said.

BLM First Feigned Concern For Tortoise, But After Budget Crunch Set Out Euthanizing Them

For years the BLM had imposed fees on land developers and ranchers “who disturb tortoise habitat on public land,” according to the Associated Press.

The housing boom of the 2000s generated enough money for the Bureau to fund the Desert Tortoise Conservation Center (DTCC) in southern Las Vegas, a habitat “created in 1991 to house wild desert tortoises removed from the path of development and to use those tortoises to aid recovery of the species.” Its operating budget was about $1 million per year.

But the 2008 recession dwindled the number of developers and, in turn, funds for the DTCC.

Facing the prospect of shutting its doors, the DTCC began releasing healthier turtles into the wild (most of which were given to the facility by people who owned them as pets – animals raised in captivity don't usually fare well in the wild) and euthanizing the sicker ones.

From AP, August 25, 2013:

Back at the conservation center, a large refrigerator labeled “carcass freezer” hummed in the desert sun as scientists examined the facility’s 1,400 inhabitants to find those hearty enough to release into the wild. Officials expect to euthanize more than half the animals in the coming months in preparation for closure at the end of 2014.

“It's the lesser of two evils, but it's still evil,” Roy Averill-Murray, tortoise recovery coordinator with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service desert, told the AP.

Averill-Murray estimated 50 to 60 percent of the facility’s 1,400 tortoises were stricken with disease and could not be housed near healthy tortoises. Others were “too feeble to survive,” according to AP, and could not be adopted out.

“The ones that don't get better and that are sick and suffering will probably be euthanized because that's the sensible thing to do,” Allyson Walsh, associate director for the San Diego Zoo's Institute for Conservation Research, stated.

People around the world began contacting the refuge when they learned several hundred tortoises were going to be killed or set free, and donations began flowing intended to keep the DTCC afloat, but the BLM estimated it wouldn't be enough to sustain the operation.

“Although it's wonderful that people want to give money, it won't change the outcome for the Desert Conservation Center,” BLM Communications Chief Erica Haspiel-Szlosek stated.

“There just isn't money to keep it going, nor is it really the best use of conservation funds.”

The tortoises’ average 100-year life span also doesn't exactly make them the most ideal pets.

Despite the imminent closing of the DTCC due to lack of funding, the BLM still decided to go after Bundy, attempting to force him at gunpoint to cough up $1 million in unpaid grazing fees claiming it’s to protect the tortoise. They also rounded up several hundred of Bundy’s cattle, allegedly shooting some and burying them in mass graves.

At the same time, seizing and impounding all 908 of Bundy’s cattle would have set the federal govern-
ment back an estimated $3 million; that money could have kept the DTCC thriving for another three years.

**Tortoises Benefit From The Cattle**

The entire situation is made even more ridiculous when one learns that the desert tortoise actually depends on cattle grazing to survive in the merciless Great Basin Desert, throwing further into question the BLM’s actual motives.

Prior to the standoff, Cliven Bundy asserted that cattle grazing benefits the desert tortoise population. “I’ll never get it. If it weren’t for our cattle, there’d be more brush fires out here. The tortoises eat the cow manure, too. It’s filled with protein,” Bundy stated.

It turns out he’s right, according to one range expert.

Writing for the journal Rangelands in 1990, range ecology expert Vernon Bostick made an outstanding case for the desert tortoise’s dependent relationship with grazing livestock.

Bostick argues that, beginning with the Taylor Grazing Act of 1934, which reduced grazing land use by about 50 percent, the federal government’s increased regulation of grazing lands actually makes them directly culpable for the decline of the desert tortoise population.

The correlation, Bostick outlines in a short treatise entitled, “The Desert Tortoise in Relation to Cattle Grazing,” is evidenced by the historical record, and can essentially be summed up in six words, “the more cows, the more tortoises.”

This is because “Desert tortoises feed primarily on dung. The more animals using the range,” Bostick says, “the more dung, which makes more food available for tortoises.”

Due to the desert tortoise’s lack of teeth, they’ve evolved to be able to subsist on dung. “The tortoise can harvest only tender vegetation, and it can’t masticate even that,” explains Bostick. “The tortoise can’t process enough bulky, low analysis forage fast enough to meet its nutritional requirements. They solved this problem long ago – they allow other animals to do it for them.”

Additionally, “Fresh cow dung is 85 to 90 percent water,” a valuable commodity in the desert that can mean the difference between life or death. Moreover, Bostick highlights that the nutrients in cow dung help tortoises stave off various deficiencies. In one study, when cow dung was absent, tortoises developed osteoporosis, a condition characterized by a decrease in bone density and brought on by a
lack of protein consumption.

Bostick details four main data points from the historical record illustrating that desert tortoise populations thrive when foraging alongside cattle, and suffer when they don’t:

1. Desert tortoises have coexisted with cattle for 300 years in California and Mexico and at least 100 years elsewhere.
2. The highest tortoise densities known occurred at a time when over-grazing by livestock was the severest ever known.
3. The fewer the cattle on a range, the fewer the number of tortoises.
4. Excluding cattle for many years endangers the tortoise population.”

“The most complete data is from the Beaver Dam Mountains,” Bostick told the Las Vegas Review-Journal in June 2008. “Woodbury and Hardy reported a tortoise population density of 150 per square mile in 1948. BLM reduced cattle grazing a few years later and eliminated cattle in 1970. Coombs reported a tortoise density of 39 per square mile in 1974. In these 26 years cattle use was reduced 100 percent and tortoise numbers were reduced 74 percent.”

**Endangered Species Act Used By Government As A Tool For Land Confiscation**

In typical big government fashion, the Bureau is hiding behind a veil of environmentalism, in this case the Endangered Species Act, to fraudulently extort ranchers in order to supposedly protect the very endangered animals they themselves have been responsible for killing off.

“Today, here in the United States, there are great injustices occurring because of the Endangered Species Act,” rancher and researcher Cliff Gardner pointed out in a research paper long before the Bundy standoff occurred. “Unfortunately in most instances the Act is not being implemented to protect species, but rather as a tool to further the goals of those who would have government control all resources.”

“What most people don’t realize is that, although unwritten, the primary objective of both the Forest Service and the BLM has been, and continues to be, the elimination of all private interest on federally managed lands,” Gardner wrote in a lengthy piece that appeared on his website GardnerFiles.com. “And nowhere, have they been more successful than right in Clark
In the end,” Gardner says, “the tortoises suffer, honest and hard working citizens are forced to pay exorbitant and illegal mitigation fees. Ranchers and other enterprise are put out of business without the benefit of due process or just compensation. Recreationists and sportsmen are forced off of their traditional recreation areas. And for what? So governments can grow in strength and power.”

In an interview with Fox News regarding the Bundy standoff, Kentucky Senator and 2016 presidential hopeful Rand Paul also called out the Endangered Species Act as one of the tools by which the government seizes people's rights.

“There is a legitimate constitutional question here about whether the state should be in charge of endangered species or whether the federal government should be.”

“.The Endangered Species Act has been abused across America. We have a lake in Kentucky they won’t put any more water in cause they’re worried about a fish, and I keep asking them, ‘Don’t fish like water?’”

“We have a bat in our state where you have to pay the federal government to use your own land to cut down your timber, you have to pay them $2,000 an acre if they find a bat on your land.”

“So it really is government overreach, government gone amok with this Endangered Species Act, and here’s the real question we need to ask the federal government: They say that Mr. Bundy owes some back fees. If he pays his fees, will they let him graze his 900 head of cattle? My understanding is no matter what he pays, they’re not gonna let him graze his cattle anymore.”

“So really we have to balance the needs of a turtle, and the needs of man, and the needs and the rights of property owners.”

It's also interesting to note that the Center for Biological Diversity, one of the environmental groups suing the BLM, is chaired by Marcey Olajos, who also sits on the board of Swiss industrialist, “philanthropist” and billionaire Hansjorg Wyss’s foundation. Wyss’s work has seen 4.4 million acres of land turned over to the National Park Service.

It should be clear the BLM’s dispute with Mr. Bundy has nothing to do with saving or protecting tortoises (which the BLM is either killing or releasing into the wild) as they claim. It's about making an example out of Mr. Bundy for having the gall to stand up for his property rights by bullying him into submission with brute force.
The establishment media began broadcasting coverage of Cliven Bundy and his struggle with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) over cattle grazing rights several days after the confrontation began in Clark County, Nevada. The corporate media concluded from the outset that Bundy was engaged in illegal activity on land owned by the government. “The U.S. government is rounding up Bundy’s cattle that it says have been grazing illegally on public lands in Clark County for more than 20 years, according to the land-management bureau and the National Park Service,” CNN reported. The media reflexively framed the argument in a light favorable to the federal government and excused its paramilitary action by conclusively stating “Mr. Bundy has... failed to comply with multiple court orders to remove his cattle from the federal lands” and characterized the grazing as “illegal trespass.” The establishment media, however, neglected to examine deeper and more significant legal issues beyond those offered by the government based on statutory law and regulatory fiat.

When the legal argument offered by the government is put within proper context, it becomes obvious that the BLM, not Cliven Bundy, is in violation of the law, specifically Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17 of the Constitution. The clause, known as the Enclave Clause, authorizes Congress to purchase, own and control land in a state under specific and limited conditions, namely “for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dockyards, and other needful buildings,” and not, as the feds now argue, to protect an endangered tortoise as demanded by environmentalists who are engaged in activism to designate federal lands as off limits to all economic activity with the exception of activity that is determined to be politically correct (for instance, in Nevada, allowing a solar energy business to establish a foothold).

The Founders were uniformly opposed to providing a centralized federal government with unlimited authority to purchase and, as is routinely the case today, seize state and private land. “Bundy’s cows are not
the only livestock trampling fragile deserts, precious riparian areas, and imperiling native plants and animals,” the Western Watersheds Project (WWP) believes. “That is why WWP will continue working to end abusive public lands livestock grazing and to press for meaningful policy reform.”

The political agenda of the WWP, the Center for Biological Diversity and other environmentalist groups exist outside the purview of the Constitution. The founders were primarily concerned with the tyranny of a centralized federal government, a tyranny now exacting its muscle in support of the radical environmental lobby at the expense of individual and states’ rights. During the federal convention debates in September, 1787, Elbridge Gerry, who later went on to serve as vice president under James Madison, contended federal purchase of land “might be made use of to enslave any particular State by buying up its territory, and that the strongholds proposed would be a means of awing the State into an undue obedience.” In order to make certain the federal government did not abuse the Enclave Clause, the words “Consent of the Legislature of the State” were added to Section 8. The federal government ignored this phrase when it grabbed up huge tracts of land in the Western United States.

Madison, Jefferson and the Founders were primarily interested in establishing and maintaining a limited government and a consistent diffusion of federal authority over the states in the name of the protection of individual liberty. In 1992, the Supreme Court issued an opinion on the framers’ reasoning behind the state consent requirement (New York v. U.S):

“The Constitution does not protect the sovereignty of States for the benefit of the States or state governments as abstract political entities, or even for the benefit of the public officials governing the States. To the contrary, the Constitution divides authority between federal and state governments for the protection of individuals. State sovereignty is not just an end in itself: rather, federalism secures to citizens the liberties that derive from the diffusion of sovereign power.” (Emphasis added.)

Madison knew unlimited federal power inevitably results in unbridled tyranny. “I venture to declare it as my opinion that, were the power of Congress to be established in the latitude contended for, it would subvert the very foundations, and transmute the very nature of the limited government established by the people of America,” he wrote.

Madison also understood how government advances its authoritarian claims incrementally. “I believe there are more instances of the abridgment of freedom of the people by gradual and silent encroachments by those in power than by violent and sudden usurpations,” he wrote. Incremental and silent encroachment over the span of decades and centuries have inevitably resulted in the situation we now confront – a public widely ignorant of constitutional principles deemed fundamental by the founders and fixated on corporate media entertainment and sensationalism (e.g., demonized “militias” bearing weapons and in violation of the law). Once stripped of its philosophical base, the Bundy confrontation becomes merely another televised event affectedly portraying a law-abiding government pitted against a handful of “patriot”

lunatics with guns, domestic terrorists who defiantly refuse to submit to the “people” as represented by a large and impersonal federal government.

Despite the desire of the founders and the originating principles of the nation – conceived as a constitutional republic, now remotely recognizable as such – the federal government has repeatedly and habitually exacted dictatorial authority in Nevada and throughout much of the West. In Nevada the BLM controls nearly 70% of all land (48 million acres) and the Forest Service claims authority over an additional 8 percent (17 million acres). The federal government claims exclusive ownership of millions of acres more in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Oregon, Washington State and Wyoming.

“The United States government owns and has broad authority to regulate federal lands in Nevada,” the BLM insists. “In response to challenges of federal ownership of the lands in Nevada, the 9th circuit held that the federal government owned all federal lands in Nevada, and that those lands did not pass to the state upon statehood.” As noted above, this claim is in direct conflict with Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17 of the Constitution.

Cliven Bundy’s struggle with the BLM and a leviathan federal government is precisely the sort of situation Madison and the founders wanted to prevent. The federal government has absolutely no constitutional authority to claim land ownership beyond what is stipulated in the Enclave Clause. Its seizure of land, under the obviously fallacious pretense of protecting a tortoise, is a serious violation of the Constitution and the original concept envisioned by the founders of a small and carefully monitored federal government.

Madison made it perfectly clear in Federalist Paper 45:

“The powers delegated by the proposed Constitution to the federal government are few and defined... The [federal powers] will be exercised principally on external objects, as war, peace, negotiation, and foreign commerce... the powers reserved to the several States will extend to all the objects which, in the ordinary course of affairs, concern the lives, liberties, and properties of the people, and the internal order, improvement, and prosperity of the State.”

“It’s really about our constitutional rights and statehood,” Bundy has said. “And whether this area known as the state of Nevada is owned by the United States government or is owned by the sovereign state of Nevada.”

Thomas Jefferson, in Federalist No. 46 in 1788, said any encroachment by the federal government in matters left to the states (as outlined in the Tenth Amendment) is a cause for general alarm. “But ambitious encroachments of the federal government, on the authority of the State governments, would not excite the opposition of a single State, or of a few States only. They would be signals of general alarm... But what degree of madness could ever drive the federal government to such an extremity,” he wrote.

Such and extremity, previously unimaginable to Jefferson, was unleashed in Nevada last month. Unfortunately, it is a danger now routine and almost completely ignored as the federal government is unrecognizable from the ideals the founders had in mind when they founded the republic.
Ron Paul: “Common Core Is Really The Last Straw

In this exclusive interview with Infowars journalist Kit Daniels, former congressman and presidential candidate Ron Paul talks about the failure of and alternatives to public education, how politicians want an obedient population dependent on the government, the continuing rise of alternative media, Senate majority leader Harry Reid’s statement calling supporters of Nevada rancher Cliven Bundy “domestic terrorists” and the expansion of government power at the expense of individual rights.

Kit Daniels: Dr. Paul, could you explain the Common Core State Standards Initiative to those who may be unfamiliar with it?

Ron Paul: Well, the whole thing is that Common Core is an example of how the federal government wants to take over, totally and completely, the educational system. They’ve been doing this for a long time, I mean for more than 50 years they’ve encroached upon control of local schools with the federal government getting involved back even in the 50s with the Department of Education – HEW [Department of Health, Education, and Welfare] – being established.

Common Core is really the last straw and people are very upset about it because they [federal officials] want to set the standards for the curricula for every single school, which means control over the individual education of all the children. At the same time, we hear our president constantly saying, “well, we’ve got to get to these kids sooner.” So it’s not just grade school, high school and kindergarten: it’s pre-kindergarten down to three and four-years-old.

It’s once again establishing and sticking to the principle that the children belong to the government and not to the parents. Anyone who cares about truth in education and not a monolithic education by the central government, they have to be concerned about this and yet unfortunately it’s marching right along and too many schools are going along with it.

So it is one common feature that’s really upsetting a lot of people once they find out about it. Of course, the people already upset with education for many years who are in private schools and homeschooling know about it. But there is a lot of people who either don’t have the opportunity or the knowledge of how to get out of the public school system, so they are going to get exposed to it and I think it’s going to be devastating. It’s the wrong way to go.

KD: Dr. Paul, do you feel that politicians can use Common Core in order to prey on the ignorance of the public, as we see that Common Core is, in a way, dumbing down the population?

Ron Paul: Yeah, I think that’s a good description of just about everything the government does, whether it’s education, whether its to keep people from falling through the cracks or whether its medical care. With everything they do they are “all wise” and they “will take care of us” and “people are stupid and they don’t care and they are not responsible and that’s why you have to have government to take care of everybody and protect them from themselves” and in this case the government argues that we have to do this [Common Core] to protect the children against the parents because the parents are irresponsible.

So yes, I think it is [a good description.] I believe those who think they’re superior want the population, the populace, to be as obedient as possible in case they need to send them off to war, in case they want them to work and pay taxes. The whole thing is that “the state is sacred” and in order to have a state, the government, continue to grow, it’s always at the expense of liberty. So it is the biggest challenge and I think we’re seeing a conflict between these two movements.

Government’s been around for as long as history’s been around and I think they’ve exhausted their experimentation. We’ve had some experiments with individual liberty and one great experiment was here and I think right now we’re seeing the fruitions of how we left that experiment in the last
100 years and it continues yet there’s a spirit right now amongst the people and many parents who are starting to realize that.

The unfortunate thing is that we’re still in the minority and the majority still feel that government has to take care of them because there’s too many people out there that say “well, I have to vote for this person because he believes that government should take care of me. So if I have trouble the government is going to feed me, give me a job, they’re going to take care of my medical care and they’re going to educate me.”

So they have this total dependency but what we’re witnessing is the failure of that system too. You know, our American system of economics, of Keynesian economics and deficit financing, it’s coming to an end.

But yes, those in government would like to dumb down the population to be obedient and it’s been that way for a long, long time. Over the many centuries it’s usually by threats and intimidation but now they still do the threats and intimidations but they also try to bribe people to go along. As long as the people do what they’re told to do, then they believe they can get better treatment from the government.

KD: Sen. Harry Reid declared that [Nevada rancher] Cliven Bundy’s supporters were domestic terrorists and then a White House counter-terrorism chief suggested that if your child is confrontational, he could be a terrorist. Do you feel that these statements could tie into how [it seems that] as government gets more and more involved in education, we see more and more radical talking points from politicians [and bureaucrats?]

RP: Yes and they have certainly in the last 13 years, since 9/11, taken advantage of this whole thing of “terrorism.” All they have to announce is “terrorism, terrorism” and the people are supposed to roll over and sacrifice all of their liberties. Just think of how many liberties we have given up in the last 13 years because we’re always fighting terrorism.

Even with the recent history over in Ukraine, the Western Ukrainians were going in with their military to suppress the “terrorists.” They will use that term any time they can. They went in and were surprised that there weren’t any terrorists there and they actually backed down and didn’t want to fight their fellow Ukrainians, so the whole thing sort of fizzled.

But this is way overworked. It has conditioned us, especially since the Bush administration, that we’re in a perpetual war and its always against terrorism, terrorists can be anywhere in the world, the war never ends and in war conditions you have to sacrifice your liberties. So what we’re doing is exactly what Bin Laden was doing towards: to bankrupt our country and to destroy our Constitutional rights here at home.

So Bin Laden even from the grave is still winning this fight and like you said, they are now even suggesting that whether you support landowners, individuals who are ranching or people who are going to private schools and are challenging the government, “ah, you’re a terrorist!” They have to be called on this and the American people have to have a better understanding and a greater love for liberty.

Of course, this has been one of the goals of our homeschooling curricula [the Ron Paul Curriculum] is to teach what liberty really means and how to spread a message so that they [children] don’t call into this baloney about how “the government does good, the government will take care of us, everyone else is a potential terrorist, thank goodness the government can tax me and use the NSA and the military to suppress all threats of terrorism and we’ll have perfect safety.” That is deeply flawed but fortunately a lot of Americans are starting to wake up.

KD: Do you have a response to Sen. Reid for suggesting that political activism is terrorism or even common behavior, like what the White House chief suggested could be terrorism?

Ron Paul: Well, first off, people should probably just laugh at them because it’s so ridiculous and I would think that he is practicing a bit of terrorism himself by making these threats and intimidations. The best way to answer to people like that is to
either refute them, ignore them, laugh at them or refute them with a better idea. I mean they just throw these things out and they have no meaning whatsoever and yet unfortunately the major media is always on their side and would never call them on this. But somebody has to and fortunately we have alternative media now and I think that’s the reason we’re making some headway with the Internet and other methods of spreading a message.

**KD:** Yes, and it’s interesting that you mention the Internet because back in the 1970s, Austrian economist Murray Rothbard pointed out the difference between formal schooling and education whereas education is a lifelong learning process. Now with the advent of the Internet and your homeschool curriculum, we see that education doesn’t necessarily evolve from government-run schools. Do you think that there’s going to be a mass awakening in the future in which a large degree of the population discovers the same thing?

**RP:** Well, let’s hope so and I think that’s the movement because government schools are the opposite of education. I felt at many times that after I got out of college, especially in the social studies, sort of a relief because I still had enough introduction to what world events were that my curiosity really struck me.

But even when I got out of college we didn’t have the Internet but we did have a few foundations like the Foundation for Economic Education [FEE] where I was able to seek out information and that’s where I was able to get hold of [Friedrich] Hayek, [Ludwig] von Mises and later on I got to be good friends with Murray Rothbard. That is when I discovered what education was all about.

I think a lot more people are noticing this and not only is it the quality of education – that strikes some – but I think also it’s the violence in schools. It really frightens the parents when they put this together without getting an education [for their children.] But the one thing that is really neat about all this is the fact that our side of the argument is very appealing to a broad base. It isn’t appealing to the very wealthy – they’re sort of on their own and they preach all this government control of schools like all the politicians in Washington but where do their kids go to school? Their kids go to private schools!

But the middle class and many in the poorer class are recognizing this if they have any understanding for their kids to get ahead. They have to get a better education and the schools are not providing this. Right now you see a great transition: colleges are going bankrupt, people aren’t applying as much, degrees that don’t mean anything, they have all this debt but they can’t get a job.

It is a disaster because of government planning: government economic planning failed and government planning on education failed and this is why Common Core is going to be a total failure and make things so much worse. It is just trying to make people obedient to the state. It can’t work, there’s too much availability of information outside [of public schools.] So as bad as it is, we have reasons to be optimistic about some of the changes going on.

**KD:** I’ve noticed that in the past century, Keynesian economists and the Federal Reserve have avoided blame for the damage they have done to the economy. But now we’re seeing an explosion of interest in Austrian economics and I think a lot of it has to do with the fact that students are going around formal schooling and are self-educating. So do you feel that parallel institutions [such as self-educating and homeschooling] could be a great way to break the hold that government has on society?

**RP:** There’s no doubt about it and there are two things going on. Alternative sources for education are there and we’ve talked about that, the Internet and different vehicles, but also something that we are witnessing is the failure of a system.

I thought the greatest event of the 20th century politically speaking was the failure of the Soviet system: the collapse, the breaking up and the secession of 12 republics from the domination of the Soviets because it failed, communism failed.

Although how many intellectuals in this country cheered it on? They cheered on communism and of course there were some that were cheering on fascism. Fascism, communism, and now welfare-ism and Keynesianism that preach “spending is good, deficits don’t matter, print the money when you need it, have central economic planning, have the government control our education, take over medical care...”

It’s not the old-fashioned type of socialism but it’s an interventionism that pretends it’s a little bit freer than fascism but the failure always comes and that’s what we’re witnessing right now.

So we have the vehicle to get the information out and at the same time everyone knows that there is something seriously wrong and people are very frightened and concerned. Those of us who believe in the alternative are obligated to promote the alternative because this system is going to collapse and we’re witnessing that. What has to be done is that they have to be offered an alternative and fortunately it’s a wonderful alternative as is the
concept of liberty which is progressing in understanding.

You mentioned the Federal Reserve and the monetary system, today we have a better understanding of how a gold standard would work than we did in our early history because back then they had things like bimetallism and they allowed states to expand credit so there's things that we're advancing on and even the concept of liberty has advanced.

You don't need governments to preach to individuals on how they're supposed to run their personal lives and what their habits should be. So we're making these advancements but the conflict is there and the challenge is there and those individuals who wield the power will not give it up easily so it's going to be a struggle for the next decade or two.

**KD:** Do you feel that the struggle between homeschoolers and proponents of government education is a microcosm of the struggle between individualism and collectivism?

**RP:** There's no doubt about it because you have the collectivist notion about education and the individual notions about homeschooling. One goal that I had on the homeschooling proposals was the system that I [now] have that is designed [for students] to understand liberty. It's not a homeschooling program which is evangelical and directed to one place, but I'm not opposed to any of that. If people understand what I am talking about, it legalizes and encourages all varieties of homeschooling. So you might have a very secular or you might have a religious one. But mine is designed to say that what we need is liberty and then the people would have more options.

But I think it's a conflict between the two: the state versus the voluntaryism and I think the education is a perfect example. You can find that in medicine as well and despite what government has done, there are still private sources of medical care in this country that are delivering care very cheaply and with high-quality.

This is what's happening in education too because it's not like you have to be super wealthy to homeschool your kids. You need dedication, effort, encouragement and you don't need a whole lot of money. The rich take their kids to very, very wealthy, private schools and of course that doesn't guarantee them a better education. I think the people that go into the right homeschooling program are going to get a better education [program] than the very wealthy do by sending their kids to very rich, private schools.

**KD:** Lastly, could you describe your homeschool curriculum and at what
point did you decide to pursue your curriculum?

RP: Well, I thought about education as a goal for a long, long time. Even in the years I spent in government, I was never thinking [about it] first. I always thought of myself as a physician who took a break to talk about things that I thought were important. Education was most important to me.

I don't believe the politicians have much control over anything. Ideas have control and that is why I have my own FREE [Foundation for Rational Economics and Education] foundation and that is why I support the Mises Institute and education.

Nixon once said “we’re all Keynesians now.” Well, I’d like to see the day where we say “we’re all Austrian economists now” and understand it in a different factor. So my motivation has always been there and of course in talking with Gary North and Tom Woods who are principles in the homeschooling curriculum, they helped me design this and devise the courses and the whole goal is to teach the freedom philosophy, which is not available anywhere else. Like I said earlier, it doesn’t negate what other people want to do, it just legalizes and permits people to have their own homeschooling.

Right now we have a curriculum set up that’s free from pre-k to the fifth grade that’s available at ronpaulcurriculum.com and every year we’re going to be adding more grades and classes. There’s going to be an opportunity first to study and understand what liberty is all about, where it comes from and the relationship of the individual to government, and also to help teach people how to defend it because we get a bad rap, conservatives, constitutionalists, and libertarians that we’re heartless and that we don’t care. Well, we have to learn how to show that if you really care about people, you don’t want to go with an authoritarian dictatorship, you want to go with more liberty because that’s when more people eat better, live better and are happier.

We start with those two things and then if people want to go to college, which we obviously encourage, if that’s what their goal is because you hardly get to be a doctor without going to college and to medical school, that it [the curriculum] is a preparation for college testing and getting into college.

Many homeschoolers, we haven’t had ours tested yet, but others have been able to get into college at 15, 16, and 17 years of age. They get in sooner, they do well and also if people say “well, I want to go in a different direction, I want to go into business,” there’s going to be a lot of courses available to teach people how to do home businesses and we found that not only have we had young people interested in that, we’ve had their parents saying “hey, some of this stuff sounds pretty good so we better look at it.”

But it’s not for everybody. We’re hoping to direct it to those elites who are desirous of sowing this message that requires self-discipline and they have to be motivated. They won’t have to buy any textbooks that they don’t want to buy because everything is going to be available, like the lectures, on the Internet. Although it will be similar in some ways to others, it is going to be unique in that it’s designed to promote the understanding of liberty and how important it is for the future of this country.
67 year old patriarch of a Mormon family. Father of 14 children and 52 grandchildren. The BLM says Bundy owed them $1.1 million. The Bundy family have ranched cattle on the same land for 136 years and have coexisted peacefully with the desert tortoise, which benefit from the cattle.

Cliven Bundy's family homesteaded the ranch in 1877. It is the last remaining ranch out of the 52 that were in the area. "I'm the last man standing. How come? Because BLM regulated these people off the land and out of business."

Harry Reid championed the construction of the One Nevada Transmission Line, which began carrying electricity in January. The 230-mile-long line is part of a larger project meant to bring hydroelectric, solar, and wind-generated power to the Southwest and California.

Harry Reid was in attendance at the ground breaking ceremony, 35 miles from the Bundy Ranch. The 250 megawatt Moapa Southern Paiute Solar Project is planned to deliver renewable energy to the city of Los Angeles for the next 24 years.

Each of Reid's sons works for the Lionel Sawyer & Collins firm as special interest lobbyists and/or mitigators.

One of the richest men in China. Founder of ENN group. Met with Harry Reid in 2011 and joined him to win incentives and land in Nevada for a massive solar energy project in southern Nevada.

Attorney and lobbyist as well as shareholder at Lionel Sawyer & Collins firm. Was chief representative for ENN Group. Served as chairman of the Clark County Commission.

Convicted and sentenced 2 years in jail for unlawfully funneling $133,400 in contributions to Harry Reid. Former Senior partner at Lionel Sawyer & Collins.

Attyorney and lobbyist as well as shareholder at Lionel Sawyer & Collins firm. Was chief representative for ENN Group. Served as chairman of the Clark County Commission.

One of the richest men in China.

Head of the BLM. Former Senior Policy Advisor to Harry Reid from 2003-2011.

Designates specified wilderness areas administered by the BLM in Clark County, Nevada, as wilderness and as components of the National Wilderness Preservation System. The Bundy Ranch is the only ranch left in this area.

To protect an endangered tortoise, Clark County set aside habitat by buying and retiring all of the government grazing leases in Gold Butte starting in 1993. During that time, The BLM was reportedly euthanizing the tortoises in droves.

May 2012 - Harry Reid proposed to create a National Conservation Area at Gold Butte. The bill would create a 348,515-acre Gold Butte National Conservation Area to be managed by the BLM. Designates specified wilderness areas administered by the BLM in Clark County, Nevada, as wilderness and as components of the National Wilderness Preservation System.
67 year old patriarch of a Mormon family. Father of 14 children and 52 grandchildren. The BLM says Bundy owed them $1.1 million.

SLAUGHTERED CATTLE

6 HEAD OF CATTLE

were slaughtered by the BLM during the round up.

900 HEAD OF CATTLE

The BLM ceased its operation against Bundy and was forced to return the 380 seized cattle after a tense standoff.

136 YEARS

The Bundys have ranched cattle on the same land for 136 years and have coexisted peacefully with the desert tortoise, a species which benefit from the cattle.

CLIVEN BUNDY

$133,400

Convicted and sentenced 2 years in jail for unlawfully funnelling $133,400 in contributions to Harry Reid. Former Senior partner at Lionel Sawyer & Collins.

BUNDY RANCH

1 OF 52

Cliven Bundy’s family homesteaded the ranch in 1877. It is the last remaining ranch out of the 52 that were in the area. “I’m the last man standing. How come? Because BLM regulated these people off the land and out of business.”
As Ron Paul explained in the interview, it is critical for the liberty movement to develop alternatives to government-run schools that can provide real education to children. When government officials dictate school curriculum rather than the parents, the result is an educational system with a built-in bias towards large, unconstitutional government. Prosperity only comes from liberty, but children taught under government programs such as Common Core may never fully understand this. Government-run education benefits politicians and bureaucrats at the expense of children and parents.

This is why last year Dr. Paul introduced his own homeschool curriculum consisting of a rigorous program of study in economics, mathematics, history and science while also teaching children the benefits of a free society. Through the use of the Internet, students in the program are able to actively engage with each other and to work together on the subject matter. This combined with frequent written assignments allow them to develop excellent communication skills which are essential to many career paths.

And while the program addresses the significant role that religion played in the development of Western civilization, it is not biased towards any religion so that Christian, Jewish, Muslim, pagan, agnostic and atheist parents can feel comfortable using the curriculum for their children.

Students will also learn the basics of the United States Constitution, the Bill of Rights, the free market and how liberty was won in America, how it's been lost and how it will be restored.

The program’s first six years, from kindergarten to fifth grade, are free of charge and there are no textbooks or workbooks to buy. The Ron Paul Curriculum also offers daily lecture videos for each course and the courses are self-taught, meaning that parents won’t have to teach any of it, not even advanced courses such as chemistry or physics.

As of this writing, the courses from sixth grade to 12th grade cost $50 each and the tutorial forums cost $250 per family per year, an outstanding value for an education which rivals the most prestigious private schools. It also should be noted that a high-speed Internet connection is required for the courses.

To find out more and to sign up, please visit http://www.schoolof1776.com
Attention Class!

Know Your Rights

1. Invoke your right to remain silent.
2. Do not breathe into any device.
3. Do not agree to any eye exam.
4. Do not give a blood test.
5. Do not consent to a search of the vehicle.

Zip it buddy, I'm trying to listen to doobie

Doobie... what do we do if they still search the vehicle after I did not consent to a search?

Make the officer aware that he is now violating your 4th amendment right from unreasonable search and seizures.

Zap Zap Zap Zap Zap

Yeah but what if that doesn't work!

Know your rights class!!!

Law Offices of Jamie Balagia, P.C.
AKA The DWI Dude
Always Ready to Fight for Your Legal Rights!

ATX
512-278-0935
420DUDE.COM

SATX
210 394-3833
DWDUDUDE.COM
White House Counterterror Chief: “Confrontational” Children Could Be Terrorists

Obama Adviser Tells Parents To Be Suspicious Of Their Own Kids

Written By: Paul Joseph Watson

White House counterterrorism and Homeland Security adviser Lisa Monaco gave a speech this week in which she urged parents to watch their children for signs of “confrontational” behavior which could be an indication of them becoming terrorists.

During the speech at Harvard University’s John F. Kennedy School of Government on April 15, Monaco, who replaced John Brennan last year in overseeing the executive branch’s homeland-security activities, said that parents need to be suspicious of “sudden personality changes in their children at home.”

“What kinds of behaviors are we talking about?” she asked. “For the most part, they’re not related directly to plotting attacks. They’re more subtle. For instance, parents might see sudden personality changes in their children at home—becoming confrontational.”

Monaco lamented the fact that, “The government is rarely in a position to observe these early signals,” encouraging parents to act as watchdogs to detect radicalization similar to President Obama’s goal of combating homegrown extremism.

Over the last decade, the federal government has broadened its definition of what constitutes potential terrorism to such a degree that the term has lost all meaning and is clearly being used as a political tool to demonize adversarial political activism.

Indeed, Senator Harry Reid caused outrage when he labeled supporters of Nevada cattle rancher Cliven Bundy “domestic terrorists”.

Although such tactics pre-date the 2009 release of the MIAC report, the Missouri
Information Analysis Center document was perhaps the most shocking in that it characterized a whole swathe of conservative Americans as domestic extremists, including Ron Paul supporters, people who own gold and people who display political bumper stickers.

A Homeland Security study leaked in 2012 upped the ante even further, demonizing Americans who are “suspicious of centralized federal authority,” and “reverent of individual liberty” as “extreme right-wing” terrorists.

Lisa Monaco’s speech and the federal government’s track record in assailing both banal behavior and political activism as potential “terrorism” serves as a reminder that the war on terror has now been focused inwardly against innocent Americans, making it all the more difficult to detect actual terrorists.

“OVER THE LAST DECADE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS BROADENED ITS DEFINITION OF WHAT CONSTITUTES POTENTIAL TERRORISM TO SUCH A DEGREE THAT THE TERM HAS LOST ALL MEANING AND IS CLEARLY BEING USED AS A POLITICAL TOOL TO DEMONIZE ADVERSARIAL POLITICAL ACTIVISM.”
Mass Civil Disobedience In New York Against Gun Registration And Confiscation

Molon Labe: Gun Control Law A Total Failure

This act is not safe for me.
The state of New York is refusing to say how many gun owners complied with a mandate to register their assault weapons under the Safe Act while a Sheriff says he will not enforce the measure, suggesting that just like a similar law in Connecticut, the gun control effort has been a total failure as Americans engage in mass civil disobedience against curbs on the Second Amendment.

Owners of assault-style weapons had until April 15 to register their weapons under the new law, but firearms advocates say they expect less than 10 per cent have complied, while, “The state refuses to say how many were registered, claiming it is confidential information protected by the law,” reports the Buffalo News.

Meanwhile, Erie County Sheriff Timothy B. Howard said he would not ask his deputies to enforce the new law, stating, “I don’t know. I am not encouraging them to do it. At the same time, their own consciences should be their guide. I am not forcing my conscience on them. That is a decision they should make.”

Protesters against the measure, labeled the “toughest” gun control law in the United States by Governor Andrew Cuomo, marked the deadline by shredding their registration cards during a demonstration in upstate New York on Tuesday, arguing that the law merely creates a new class of criminals out of responsible gun owners.

“They have been shredding the Constitution for years,” said Rus Thompson. “You shred the Constitution, we’ll shred any form you want us to fill out. They can’t arrest a million people. What are they going to do?”

A YouTube user by the name of Van Prepper posted a video showing him placing an anti-Safe Act sign outside his property wondering whether it would last one or two nights before being defaced.

Meanwhile, Assembly Minority Leader Brian Kolb derided the Safe Act as “the worst piece of legislation I have seen in my 14 years as a member of the Assembly.”

“This is a law that was created absent of any public input or participation. It has been costly. It has been confusing. And it has not made New York any safer than it was prior to its enactment. Bad legislation does not get better with age, and time will not make the SAFE Act acceptable to those whose constitutional rights have been compromised,” said Kolb.

A New York judge dismissed a last minute challenge to the law yesterday, ruling that it was not unconstitutional.

Mass disobedience against gun control in New York state mirrors what happened in Connecticut earlier this year, where residents were required by law to register high capacity magazines and assault rifles manufactured after 1994.

Just over 50,000 assault weapons were registered after the law came into effect, despite the fact that there are at least 370,000 assault rifles in the hands of Connecticut gun owners, meaning that just 13% of assault rifles were registered.
A leading privacy watchdog has warned that the FBI plans to have up to a third of all Americans on a facial recognition database by next year.

The Electronic Frontier Foundation notes in a communique that some 52 million Americans could be on the Next Generation Identification (NGI) biometric database by 2015, regardless of whether they have ever committed a crime or been arrested.

The group managed to obtain information pertaining to the program via a Freedom of Information request. The database will also hold fingerprints, of which the FBI has around 100 million records, as well as retina scans and palm prints. Profiles on the system will contain other personal details such as name, address, age and race.

The system will be capable of searching through millions of facial records obtained not only via mugshots, but also via so called “civil images”, the origin of which is vague at best.

“[T]he FBI does not define either the ‘Special Population Cognizant’ database or the ‘new repositories’ category.” The EFF writes. “This is a problem because we do not know what rules govern these categories, where the data comes from, how the images are gathered, who has access to them,
A map within the EFF’s piece shows which states are already complying with the program, and which ones are close to accepting deals to do so.

The EFF notes that currently, the FBI has access to fingerprint records of non-criminals who have submitted them for any kind of background check, by an employer or government agency. Going forward, however, all records, both criminal and non-criminal will be stored on the same database.

“This means that even if you have never been arrested for a crime, if your employer requires you to submit a photo as part of your background check, your face image could be searched – and you could be implicated as a criminal suspect, just by virtue of having that image in the non-criminal file,” notes the EFF.

EFF points to a disturbing assertion from the FBI that it will not “make positive identifications,” via the database, but will use it to produce “investigative leads.” The Feds claim that “Therefore, there is no false positive [identification] rate.” ‘[T]he FBI only ensures that “the candidate will be returned in the top 50 candidates’ 85 percent of the time ‘when the true candidate exists in the gallery.’” EFF states.

“It is unclear what happens when the ‘true candidate’ does not exist in the gallery—does NGI still return possible matches?” the feature asks, noting that those identified could potentially be subjected to criminal investigation purely because a computer has decided that their face is similar to a suspect’s.

EFF continues: “This doesn’t seem to matter much to the FBI—the Bureau notes that because ‘this is an investigative search and caveats will be prevalent on the return detailing that the [non-FBI] agency is responsible for determining the identity of the subject, there should be NO legal issues.’”

“This is not how our system of justice was designed and should not be a system that Americans tacitly consent to move towards,” the EFF piece concludes.

It is somewhat remarkable that when Google announced the release of its Glass product, it was forced to ban applications with the capability for facial recognition due to a huge privacy backlash. The federal government, however, continues to use such technology unhindered to create biometric profiles on anyone and everyone.

The Department of Homeland Security also has its own facial recognition program, which it routinely outsources to police departments. Meanwhile, new innovations in facial recognition technology continue to be billed as potential tools for law enforcement, including the prediction of future crime.
Report: FBI Visiting Gun Shops To Investigate “People Talking About Big Government”

“If You See Some Middle Eastern Guy Come In, You Don’t Have To Be So Worried About That”

**WRITTEN BY: PAUL JOSEPH WATSON**

FBI counterterrorism agents are visiting gun shops in South Carolina to investigate “suspicious purchases” made by people who talk about “big government,” according to a new report.

Author Brandon Turbeville says he was approached by an individual who works in a Columbia, South Carolina gun shop to relate the story of how an FBI agent entered the store on April 14, showed his credentials, before proceeding to ask a series of stunning questions.

The agent told the gun store worker he was tasked with visiting all the firearms outlets in the local area to check on “suspicious purchases” for counterterrorism purposes. He then began discussing what in actual fact were “completely normal transactions,” such as, “paying with cash, purchasing long guns, and other similarly innocuous behavior.”

The FBI agent reportedly made a shocking remark that almost seems too chilling to believe.

“If you see some Middle Eastern guy come in, you don’t have to be so worried about that. What we’re really looking for are people talking about being sovereign such as sovereign citizens or people talking about big government,” the agent reportedly stated.

Before the agent left the store, he handed the employee a flyer which lists paying with cash, buying in bulk, along with other seemingly innocent transactions.

“THE AGENT TOLD THE GUN STORE WORKER HE WAS TASKED WITH VISITING ALL THE FIREARMS OUTLETS IN THE LOCAL AREA TO CHECK ON ‘SUSPICIOUS PURCHASES’ FOR COUNTERTERRORISM PURPOSES. HE THEN BEGAN DISCUSSING WHAT IN ACTUAL FACT WERE ‘COMPLETELY NORMAL TRANSACTIONS,’ SUCH AS, ‘PAYING WITH CASH, PURCHASING LONG GUNS, AND OTHER SIMILARLY INNOCUOUS BEHAVIOR.’”
activities, as suspicious.

While there’s little chance of verifying the story since the FBI would almost certainly deny the claim, the notion of FBI agents characterizing normal activity as a potential indication of terrorism is firmly established in the federal agency’s own literature and training procedures.

The FBI has also repeatedly labeled those who identify as “sovereign citizens” to be domestic terrorists.

In 2012, we reported on how the FBI’s Communities Against Terrorism (CAT) program was instructing businesses that banal activities conducted by millions of Americans on a daily basis were potential indications of terrorist activity.

Flyers for the program being handed out to businesses such as Internet cafes even listed paying for a cup of coffee with cash as a suspicious activity.

A flyer aimed at Military Surplus stores also encourages owners to report people who “make bulk purchases of items to include….meals ready to eat”.

In total, there are 25 different CAT flyers aimed at businesses from across the spectrum – everything from hobby shops to tattoo parlors.

The flyer pictured to the left which was reportedly handed to the gun store worker by the FBI agent contains very similar language to that used in flyers produced for the FBI’s Communities Against Terrorism program.
Disposable Heroes
A First Hand Account Of Why The Suicide Rate Is Skyrocketing In Our Armed Forces

WRITTEN BY: SSG JOSEPH R. BIGGS

“I WANT TO SEE THE MILITARY MOVE AWAY FROM DRUGGING US UP LIKE LAB RATS AND REALLY HELPING THE VETERAN IN A ONE ON ONE BASIS. TEACH THEM HOW TO DEAL WITH THESE ISSUES. LET THEM KNOW IT’S NOT THEIR FAULT AND IT CAN BE FIXED WITH COUNSELING AND A STRONG SUPPORT CHANNEL VIA FRIENDS AND FAMILY, WITH GROUP THERAPY AND MAKING IT AN APPROACHABLE PROBLEM AT THE PLATOON/COMPANY LEVEL. NO SOLDIER SHOULD FEEL ASHAMED AND EMBARRASED TO ASK FOR HELP LIKE I DID. HOW MANY MEN AND WOMEN MUST DIE BEFORE WE MAKE A CHANGE IN HOW WE DEAL WITH THIS?”

A staggering 22 veterans take their own lives every day, but those numbers only cover about 40% of our population, according to a figure released by the Department of Veterans Affairs this February. Many suicides won’t be counted, however some, such as homeless vets, planned drug overdoses and intentional car crashes without a note will not be considered a suicide.

The first Iraq war combat veteran to serve in the U.S. Senate introduced legislation on April 17 aimed at reducing the number of military veterans who commit suicide. No matter the cost of the measures suggested in the sweeping bill, “that is the cost of war,” Democratic Sen. John Walsh of Montana told CNN.

We are a country that has been at war for 13 years. Many vets have been on numerous deployments into combat. Military units at their lowest levels of enrollment are ignoring veterans’ complaints with PTSD and struggles with depression and anxiety.

As someone who has served in the military and been deployed multiple times, I’ve experienced and seen the harsh effects of war firsthand. It’s a deep rabbit hole that can consume the veterans’ mind when he or she comes home. While you’re over there you don’t have time to process what’s going on. It’s when you get home and you have time to reflect that you can slip into the darkness.

I remember seeing friends drink to the point that they passed out just so they wouldn’t have to dream about it, and soon thereafter I did the same. Anything to suppress the thoughts that washed through my mind. Life began to turn dark and gloomy.

I used to hate sleeping because every time I closed my eyes, I went back to Iraq or Afghanistan. I didn’t want to relive the horrors, the smells and the screams that echoed through my ears while I was there. Every night I had to go through it all over again until I reached a breaking point.

It depends on each individual veteran as to how he or she will deal with that problem. Some soldiers don’t have a good support chain at work to go to, or fear they will be viewed as weaklings. I knew in my unit that if I were to have gone to my chain of command I would have been mocked and
ridiculed. So I kept it to myself. I eventually couldn't take it anymore and went to the doctor. The army, "being all it can be," didn't focus on the core of the problem, but instead wrote a prescription for me and another...and another.

That's where my life started to spin out of control. Instead of being happy and well-rested like they said I would be from taking the drugs, I became irritable, angry and a bit violent. Over a span of three years I lost friends and family in my life due to my reactions to these drugs. The drugs made me forget the real issues that caused my pain and sorrow. Friends of eight years had to turn their back on me because I was too hard to be around. My drinking and pill use was a nightmare to my body and loved ones. There were times I contemplated death. I was almost at a point where I felt the only way to reach peace was through death. It saddens me now to know I went through that and felt like I was all alone. I used to just lay in the desert and look up in the sky and ask why. Why can I not stop feeling this way? I had no one that understood what I was going through and it was more terrifying than combat.

I was at a point where I began to beg my unit to just send me back over there. At least that all made sense to me, so I could feel normal in all that chaos and not be left to just sit around and think about all the bad. If I was on missions, I would be too busy to think negatively and just maybe I could save myself from myself.

My friend almost lost his whole family because of the drugs prescribed to him by the government. They had made him so paranoid he thought the police were after him, his wife was cheating and everyone was out to get him. He eventually turned to more serious drugs and almost took his life because the voices in his head were too much for him to handle.

I know first hand that this is a serious epidemic and I want to see some serious reform in the military on how they deal with this issue. I want to see the military move away from drugging us up like lab rats and really helping the veteran in a one-on-one basis to teach them how to deal with these issues. Let them know it's not their fault and it can be fixed with counseling and a strong support channel via friends and family, with group therapy and making it an approachable problem at the platoon/company level. No soldier should feel ashamed and embarrassed to ask for help like I did. How many men and women must die before we make a change in how we deal with this?

If you mix multiple deployments with mass medication and ignore the real problems at hand, suicide rates will continue to skyrocket.

Our armed forces are shipping our young men and women out multiple times without even seeing if it's something they can handle. After two long deployments, your version of reality begins to fade and dealing with "real life" back home become intolerable. Shorten the deployment times. Limit the amounts of deployments a person can go on and start taking care of the veterans.
Disney has a history of questionable content, from pro-Nazi cartoons to “Shutterbug,” a cartoon character teaching children that drones and surveillance are great things, but as possible atonement for these sins they have released Marvel Comics films like “Iron Man 3” which exposes false flags and Marvel’s latest “Captain America: Winter Soldier.”

Steve Rogers, better known as Captain America, is constitutionally educated and a throwback to days when people had morals. This is evident in the film when Cap questions his organization about “holding a gun” to everyone’s head and calling it freedom. Rogers admits that he at times has compromised his ethics when engaging the enemy, but has no intention of compromising when it comes to innocent civilians.

Cap is also unapologetic about his religion, as he said in the “Avengers” movie: “There’s only one God, ma’am, and I’m pretty sure he doesn’t dress like that.” Meanwhile, real troops are being persecuted for their spiritual beliefs. (Army Halts Program That Labeled Christians Radical Extremists, Infowars.com, Oct. 25, 2013)

In contrast to Captain Rogers, the film has the Black Widow. She’s more a spy than a solider and will unconditionally follow any order unlike Cap. The film alludes to Widow having a very questionable past by working against the U.S. but didn’t go into much detail as to how. If the idea of a Russian super spy being welcomed into the American security apparatus seems odd to you, consider how the same thing is happening right now in the real world. (Foreign Troops Are Already Operating Inside America, Infowars.com, July 3, 2013)

Captain America and Black Widow are both employed by Shield, Marvel’s anti-terrorism unit headed up by Nick Fury. Originally in the comics Shield was a shadow agency, in more modern times it is a public entity. Even though its more visible, Shield continues to preform black ops missions unknown to the public. It goes to show the status of society when such an organization can pass as legitimate with a decent public relations campaign. The real life equivalent of Shield is the Department of Homeland Security. President Obama is on record saying domestic security forces, such as the DHS, should be just as powerful and as well-funded as any military.

One of my favorite moments in the film is when Fury realizes how expendable he is to a military-industrial complex he helped build. When Fury stumbles upon a plan for patriot genocide, an attempt on his life is made by those in power who know Fury won’t go along with the plan. I would hope this concept isn’t lost on active duty military and law enforcement. (OKC Officer Terry Yeakey’s Death was an Inside Job: OKC Witness Speaks Out, Infowars.com, Dec. 26, 2011)

Fury is no boy scout, though. Fury is trading information in a way that is very much illegal. The scenario involves the kidnapping of a high value target, similar to what happened in the real world Benghazi incident. But, a Shield team is able to rescue the asset unlike Ambassador Stevens who was killed in Benghazi. (Confirmed: Benghazi was Cover-up of Arms Transfer to al-Qaeda, Infowars.com, Aug. 4, 2013)

The film references Project Paperclip, where Nazis of considerable skill were brought in to the U.S. to further scientific interests. In the film this allows Hydra, a Nazi collaborator group, to grow stealthily inside of Shield.

In the film a Hydra agent even states that people are almost ready to sacrifice their liberty for security, which is very real considering many U.S. politicians are pushing for NSA spying and the abolition of Second Amendment rights. Hydra plans to use Shield’s giant drones to kill anyone that a com-

“ONE OF MY FAVORITE MOMENTS IN THE FILM IS WHEN FURY REALIZES HOW EXPENDABLE HE IS TO A MILITARY-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX HE HELPED BUILD. WHEN FURY STUMPLES UPON A PLAN FOR PATRIOT GENOCIDE, AN ATTEMPT ON HIS LIFE IS MADE BY THOSE IN POWER WHO KNOW FURY WON’T GO ALONG WITH THE PLAN. I WOULD HOPE THIS CONCEPT ISN’T LOST ON ACTIVE DUTY MILITARY AND LAW ENFORCEMENT.”
puter concludes is a potential threat to Hydra. Here in the real world there are plenty of politicians who want more drones here in the states, and Infowars filed a great video report documenting civilian drone deaths entitled Obama Orders Children Murdered that can be found at prisonplanet.tv.

Cap’s former teammate/sidekick Bucky Barnes is the film’s new villain, the Winter Soldier. Barnes is experimented on both mentally and physically to make him perfect soldier. The most obvious example is his metal arm. But, most intriguing to me is that he has an MK-Ultra like method of operating. After carrying out his mission, Barnes’ mind is wiped leaving him with little to no recollection of his actions. The article US Jazz Musicians Were Drafted into CIA’s MKUltra by Jon Rappoport is a great place to start researching on this topic.

The film also touches on topics such as the singularity, advanced combat gear for troops and freedom of information.

Captain America: Winter Solider is much more than guys in tights punching each other. It’s a smart, relevant film which is in line with other adaptations such as “V for Vendetta” or original films like “The Matrix.”

And, while I don’t support Disney’s RFID bracelets or sexual innuendos in past animated movies, Winter Solider is a great tool introduce the masses to ideas of liberty.
Recent weeks have led to a fairly significant drop in stock valuations, with many expert analysts struggling to figure out exactly why it’s happening. You’ll hear them cite the weather, or market over-reaction, or any number of reasons for why stocks have seen their share prices reduced and why they’ll be rebounding in the near-term.

What they won’t show you on mainstream financial channels is what’s really happening behind the scenes. Forget about all the minute-by-minute noise for a moment and take a look at the following chart. It gives a very simple overview of earnings.
“Most people, when you ask them how the economy is doing, will point to the Dow Jones, NASDAQ and S&P 500 as evidence of a healthy recovery. What the majority of those people fail to look at is the underlying valuations for the stocks within those indexes.”

growth trends for stocks listed on the S&P 500 on a quarterly basis.

Last year saw what analysts would call fairly robust growth, and they had no problem citing these numbers for evidence of economic recovery.

We’re curious what they’d call it now, considering this chart shows a massive collapse in earnings per share growth across the board.

Pay close attention to that yellow line, which indicates growth (or lack there of) for the first quarter of 2014. According to Zero Hedge this is a flashing red warning as earnings growth plunges to its lowest levels since 2012:

While the so-called “experts” were adamant in repeating that one must ignore all Q1 economic data (because of harsh weather you know), one thing the same “experts” pounded the table on was the earnings growth in 2014 which confirmed that the Fed was correct in tapering and that the corporate sector was well on its way to achieving “escape velocity” and a stable recovery.

Most people, when you ask them how the economy is doing, will point to the Dow Jones, NASDAQ and S&P 500 as evidence of a healthy recovery.

What the majority of those people fail to look at is the underlying valuations for the stocks within those indexes.

If you are an investor and hold stocks, or are thinking about jumping in because this latest “correction” is about to take a turn for the better, we direct your attention to this absolutely critical piece of information regarding price-per-earnings from Karl Denninger of Market Ticker.

A bit of perspective is in order here. The number of stocks that have been trading on nothing more than QE-addled leverage, with nosebleed territory P/Es including Facebook (96), Amazon (537!), Netflix (180), LinkedIn (762), Salesforce (Negative P/E) and Twitter (ditto; -$3.41 EPS.)

Yeah, but the market is “cheap”, right? Sure it is with all these big-cap techs trading at prices like this...

There is only one reason to buy such a stock — you’re convinced that some other sucker will pay you an even greater multiple to sales (say much less earnings) than you paid.

That the air will eventually come out of such a market is inevitable.

The P/E ratio of a stock is basically the price of the stock compared to the earnings of said share. In the case of Amazon trading at 537 times it’s earnings, this is an INCREDIBLE number considering most conservative financial advisers recommend dividend earning stocks in the 10 – 12 P/E range for investment purposes. In essence, the easiest way to interpret Amazon today is that an investor is willing to pay $537 for $1 in current earnings. In the case of Amazon trading at 537 times it’s earnings, this is an INCREDIBLE number considering most conservative financial advisers recommend dividend earning stocks in the 10 – 12 P/E range for investment purposes. In essence, the easiest way to interpret Amazon today is that an investor is willing to pay $537 for $1 in current earnings. In the case of Amazon trading at 537 times it’s earnings, this is an INCREDIBLE number considering most conservative financial advisers recommend dividend earning stocks in the 10 – 12 P/E range for investment purposes. In essence, the easiest way to interpret Amazon today is that an investor is willing to pay $537 for $1 in current earnings. In the case of Amazon trading at 537 times it’s earnings, this is an INCREDIBLE number considering most conservative financial advisers recommend dividend earning stocks in the 10 – 12 P/E range for investment purposes. In essence, the easiest way to interpret Amazon today is that an investor is willing to pay $537 for $1 in current earnings. In the case of Amazon trading at 537 times it’s earnings, this is an INCREDIBLE number considering most conservative financial advisers recommend dividend earning stocks in the 10 – 12 P/E range for investment purposes. In essence, the easiest way to interpret Amazon today is that an investor is willing to pay $537 for $1 in current earnings. In the case of Amazon trading at 537 times it’s earnings, this is an INCREDIBLE number considering most conservative financial advisers recommend dividend earnings in the 10 – 12 P/E range for investment purposes. In essence, the easiest way to interpret Amazon today is that an investor is willing to pay $537 for $1 in current earnings. In the case of Amazon trading at 537 times it’s earnings, this is an INCREDIBLE number considering most conservative financial advisers recommend dividend earnings in the 10 – 12 P/E range for investment purposes. In essence, the easiest way to interpret Amazon today is that an investor is willing to pay $537 for $1 in current earnings.

So, investors who bought Amazon stock at its current price should see a return on that investment... in about 537 years (give or take) at current earnings.

Yes, that’s how crazy the stock market is right now, and Amazon is certainly not alone as far as over-valuation is concerned.

Couple that with the earning growth chart above and you can clearly see that we are in very dangerous territory here.

And this doesn’t even take into account the economic warfare playing out between East and West, where Russia has now announced it will be actively pursuing a strategy to decouple its resource trade from the US dollar, meaning it will now trade in local currencies as opposed to the world’s traditional reserve currency.

As this new form of warfare plays out by the world’s super powers, all monetary systems will be affected. So how is this going to affect you? These effects will cause a continued degradation of the U.S. dollar with the real possibility that China and Russia will stop funding our debt. If and when this happens, the-you-know-what will inevitably hit the fan.

As Paul Craig Roberts noted recently, there is a reckoning coming and all evidence points to economic failure in 2014.

Or, we can all just go along with the prevailing narrative and pretend like happy days are here again.

This article first appeared at SHTFPlan.com
RoundUp Tainting our Food
Monsanto Misleads on Glyphosate-Tainted GM Crops

If you believed Monsanto, you would think conventionally-grown crops have higher levels of toxic glyphosate than GM varieties. Not surprisingly, you would be wrong. Despite Monsanto’s assurance that their GM crops are safe, a recent analysis in Food Chemistry revealed that not only do GM crops “take up and accumulate” dangerous pesticides during the growing season, but that Monsanto’s research to the contrary is completely misleading.

According to the researchers on that project, Thomas Bohn and Marek Cuhra, genetically modified foods contain “extreme levels” of pesticide residues, glyphosate and its principal breakdown product Aminomehtylphosphonic acid (AMPA).

For the study, the researchers tested three different soybean samples for nutrients and various compounds including pesticides, glyphosate, and AMPA. Those three samples included: organic soybeans, GM soybeans, and conventional (non-GM) soybeans. None of the organic or conventional soybeans showed residues of glyphosate or AMPA. The GM soybeans, however, had residues averaging 9.0 mg/kg, an amount that surpasses many vitamins in the crop.

So how is this possible, considering Monsanto has always said the pesticide contamination is worse in conventionally grown crops when compared with their Roundup-ready GM varieties? Not surprisingly, it seems the “truth” simply depends on who’s telling it.

In 1999, Monsanto said glyphosate levels in conventionally grown soybeans measured up to 16-17 mg/kg, which would be an alarmingly-high rate. But, these residues were present because of a pre-harvest pesticide spraying.

Monsanto has also said that residue levels up to 5.6 mg/kg found in GM-soy are extreme and “far higher than those typically found”. In other words, they are outside the norm, according to the GM-giant. But seven of 10 of the tested GM samples in the latest study had glyphosate far higher than this “extreme level.”

It’s likely true that glyphosate contamination is actually increasing as time passes. This is because the number of glyphosate-tolerant weeds in GM-fields are increasing and so farmers are having to apply more pesticides than ever, in both higher concentrations and more frequent applications.

The toxicity of RoundUp has in part been underestimated because regulatory agencies are only testing the safety of claimed active ingredients. This despite the listed “inert” ingredients in RoundUp having disastrous consequences when combined and sprayed liberally on foods.

With the prevalence of GM foods and the use of RoundUp at alarming levels, we are only beginning to fully understand the effects. In 2012, a study indicated glyphosate’s presence in the urine of all men tested. Most recently, a study has even found that chronically sick people have even more glyphosate in their urine than healthy people.

The chemical is inside us and there is evidence it is leading to fertility problems, cancer, mental illness, and more.

This article first appeared on NaturalSociety.com.

“DESPITE MONSANTO’S ASSURANCE THAT THEIR GM CROPS ARE SAFE, A RECENT ANALYSIS IN FOOD CHEMISTRY REVEALED THAT NOT ONLY DO GM CROPS ‘TAKE UP AND ACCUMULATE’ DANGEROUS PESTICIDES DURING THE GROWING SEASON, BUT THAT MONSANTO’S RESEARCH TO THE CONTRARY IS COMPLETELY MISLEADING.”
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BAGHDAD:
The Mercer consulting group has released a survey showing Baghdad is now the world’s worst city. Once considered the most advanced city in the Arab world, it is now a dangerous ruin stricken by sectarian and religious violence, corruption, crime, unemployment, pollution and numerous other problems. It is categorized along with Bangui in the Central African Republic and the Haitian capital Port-au-Prince.

Prior to Bush Senior’s invasion, Iraq had the highest living standard in the Middle East. Iraqi medical care and education were the envy of the region. Literacy stood at 80 percent. By February of 1991, however, the country was pitched into the Dark Ages.

The objective of Bush’s invasion was to reduce Iraq to a preindustrial wasteland. Electrical power generation, water treatment and distribution systems, telephone and radio exchanges, food processing and storage, roads, highways, bus depots, public transportation, railroads, oil wells, sewage treatment facilities, factories, mosques, churches, residential areas and even historical sites were all targeted for destruction.

Tens of thousands of people died from dehydration, dysentery, lack of potable water, starvation and malnutrition. Following the criminal behavior of Bush Senior and his coterie of criminal neocons, the risk of epidemics of cholera, typhoid, hepatitis and other diseases skyrocketed. The U.S. compounded this by deliberately targeting Iraq’s water supply.

For the global elite who engineered the destruction of Iraq, this was only the beginning. They subsequently imposed sanctions comparable to a medieval siege. The globalist United Nations imposed resolution 687 on the ravaged country in an effort to further compound the misery and death of the Iraqi people. The former Assistant Secretary-General of the United Nations, Denis Halliday said in 2000, three years before Iraq would once again be invaded, that he had been instructed “to implement a policy that satisfies the definition of genocide: a deliberate policy that has effectively killed well over a million individuals, children and adults... What is clear is that the Security Council is now out of control, for its actions here undermine its own Charter, and the Declaration of Human Rights and the Geneva Convention. History will slaughter those responsible.”

Instead, history followed the “creative destruction” course.
mapped out by the global elite with the assistance of their neocon think tanks. In 2003, George W. Bush followed in his father’s footsteps and invaded Iraq. It was at best a redundant exercise that, in effect, made the rubble bounce, although it did ultimately result in the downfall of the former CIA assassin turned dictator, Saddam Hussein, who was summarily lynched for his decades of subservience to the global elite.

According to medical journal The Lancet and other sources, nearly 1.5 million Iraqis died as a direct result of Bush’s invasion and occupation, a number that eclipses the Rwandan genocide (the United Nations recently “apologized” for the genocide in Rwanda).

The United States military, as the “world’s policeman” (or executioner, take your pick), has unleashed similar death and destruction in Afghanistan and Libya (30,000 killed in the latter “humanitarian” slaughter) and is now attempting to do the same in Syria, although the manufactured political consensus has so far faltered after decades of war weariness.

The establishment media in the United States repeatedly insists Bashar al-Assad had killed over a 100,000 people in Syria, a figure cited by one of the most demented warmongers in the country, Arizona Senator John McCain. Even the globalists admit the figure is an outright lie. According to SOHR data cited by Micah Zenko and Amelia M. Wolf of the Council on Foreign Relations, “most of the reported deaths in Syria have not been committed by forces under Bashar al-Assad’s command.” In fact, “more pro-regime forces than civilians have been killed during the Syrian civil war,” although you will not hear this on CNN or Fox News.

Baghdad’s fate will be suffered by all who stand in the way of the bankster and corporatist drive for global domination. In order to assert its psychopathic dominance, the ruling elite are determined to fashion order out of chaos and level societies so they might be rebuilt as vassal states ruled by a fascist one world government.

Russia and China, equally interested in authoritarian domination within their related spheres of influence, obstruct the Wall Street game plan for global domination. Thus we see the world’s policeman, more accurately the financial elite’s hit man, undermining China’s interests in Africa and, more poignantly, along Russia’s European border.

“Washington, the ‘exceptional, indispensable country,’ is committed to its hegemony over the world. Russia, China, and Iran are in the way of Washington’s hegemony and are targeted for attack,” writes Paul Craig Roberts. “The attack on Russia is mounting.”

If, indeed, the attack comes, either by calculation or a mistake, the result will be catastrophic.

Russia has around 45,000 nuclear weapons. China, between 100 and 400 (the figures are unreliable), and the United States, approximately 70,000 nuclear warheads, more than all other nuclear-weapon states combined.

“TENS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE DIED FROM DEHYDRATION, DYSENTERY, LACK OF POTABLE WATER, STARVATION AND MALNUTRITION. FOLLOWING THE CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR OF BUSH SENIOR AND HIS COTERIE OF CRIMINAL NEOCONS, THE RISK OF EPIDEMICS OF CHOLERA, TYPHOID, HEPATITIS AND OTHER DISEASES SKYROCKETED. THE U.S. COMPOUNDED THIS BY DELIBERATELY TARGETING IRAQ’S WATER SUPPLY.”
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**SURVIVAL SEED VAULT**

- Non-GMO
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- Open Pollinated

- 20 VARIETIES OF HARDY HEIRLOOM SURVIVAL SEEDS PASSED DOWN FROM OUR FOREFATHERS.
- SURVIVAL SEEDS RATED FOR 6+ YEARS OF STORAGE AT 75°F, LONGER AT LOWER TEMPERATURES.
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The Infowars Seed Center

Cabbage
Dependable yields of good quality 2-4 lb. round heads. Nice compact plant with a good, mellow cabbage taste. Average 63 days.

Lettuce
Tender, crisp, and tasty with exceptional heat tolerance. Average 55 days.

Carrots
Nearly core less, very attractive carrots have fine grained flesh with thin skin. 6-7" long. Average 68 days.

Bell Pepper
Glossy deep green or semi-red with red at maturity. These peppers reach 4-6" long and 3-4" in diameter. Average 75 days.

Onion
A true-yielding variety that produces large sweet onions – sometimes weighing to excess of 2 lbs each. Average: 111 days.

Tomato
Produces well in hot humus environments, also can be grown with success throughout most temperate regions.

Broccoli
Good quality, high yields! Compact plants grow to 3 feet and will produce a 3 to 4 inch dark green main head. Maturity begins in 40 days.

Watermelon
Great, sweet flavored melons – a popular watermelon variety. Average: 65 days.

Spinach
Slow to bolt with thick textured, crinkled leaves that are glossy and green. Fresh spinach is just 60 days!

Beet
Great for the home garden and good for canning. Flattened globe shaped roots are dark purple end in color. Average: 40 days.

Melon
Flash is orange, sweet, fragrant and delicious. Average: 65 days.

Cauliflower
Pure white heads grow from 6 to 8 inches across. Average: 66 days.

Zucchini
Cucy black grove fruits average 6-9" long and 2" in diameter and are best when harvested young. Great eating! Average 56 days.

Black Bean
Beans are mature when 80% of leaves have fallen from plants and beans have turned from white to black. Average: 60 days.

Cucumber
Disease resistant and a favorite. Holds well in summer heat. Average: 70 days.

Bush Bean
This excellent variety will produce fruit longer period of time. Average: 60 days.

Corn
Heat tolerant. Good roasting ears - dried kernels can be ground for flour! Average: 77 days.

Pea
3'-5' long pods are packed with 8-10 oval sweet peas each. 36-38" vines. Average: 67 days.

View our entire selection now at Infowarsstore.com to order call 1.888.253.3139
“THE COURSE WILL BE OVERSEEN AND SHAPED BY THE HOMELAND SECURITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A GROUP CONSISTING OF GOVERNMENT, ACADEMIA AND PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYEES WHO PROVIDE INFORMATION AND SECURITY-RELATED RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE DHS SECRETARY. OF THE MANY REQUIREMENTS, APPLICANTS ARE INFORMED OF THEIR DUTY TO MEET WITH THE COMMITTEE ON A REGULAR BASIS.”
The Department of Homeland Security is partnering with a Washington state school district to recruit future employees through a new high school curriculum.

An employment application posted by Evergreen Public Schools requests a certified “Homeland Security Instructor” to steer young students into a career with the agency.

“Instruct high school juniors and seniors in skills and knowledge leading to entry-level employment or further education in Homeland Security occupations,” the job description reads. “In-depth instruction will include theory, application and work based learning activities. The instructor will work closely with the Skills Center and administrative staff and the program advisory committee to continually upgrade the program curriculum and skills competencies (outcomes) needed by students for employment.”

The course will be overseen and shaped by the Homeland Security Advisory Committee, a group consisting of government, academia and private sector employees who provide information and security-related recommendations to the DHS secretary. Of the many requirements, applicants are informed of their duty to meet with the committee on a regular basis.

“Attend all Homeland Security Advisory Committee meetings. Actively seek consultation and advice from the advisory committee about prevailing practices, equipment, and facilities necessary for maintaining up-to-date competencies (outcomes) and up-to-date instructional program,” the application states.

The instructor will also be required to actively evaluate all students, maintaining “appropriate student records” that will be provided to “appropriate personnel.”

The specific course content is completely unavailable in the job application as well as on the Evergreen Public Schools website, which also fails to make any mention whatsoever of the upcoming class.

While there are no facts suggesting that the program is purposely being kept under wraps, the most concerning questions surround not only the lesson plan, but the district’s stance toward the agency’s many controversies.

One must ask whether the district will inform parents and students alike of the agency’s $2 million purchase of paper shooting targets depicting young children, pregnant woman, and the elderly last year. Although the target producer was forced to publicly apologize to outraged Americans, the DHS has yet to even acknowledge the outrageous acquisition.

Given the fact that former Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano labeled returning military veterans as the number one terror threat in 2009, will students be shown the New York Times report where the agency trained Boy Scout Explorers to kill “disgruntled Iraq war veterans?”

Multiple DHS-funded drills throughout the country have also portrayed children and parents outside of the public school system as terrorists. In 2004, Michigan police apologized to families after carrying out a drill that consisted of homeschoolers carrying out a terrorist attack on a public school bus.

Despite the fact that Americans are far more likely to die from bee stings than terrorism, the DHS appears eager to instill baseless fear into the minds of the next generation.
Wake Up America! Immune Support Blend is the healthy choice for the gourmet coffee lover. These 100% organic, fair trade beans are taken to an extra level by infusing them with organic extracts of: Agaricus Blazei, Cordyceps Sinensis, Gritola Frondosa, Ganoderma Lucidum (Reishi), Coriolus versicolor, and Lentinula Edodes. These particular herbal mushroom extracts have been widely studied and are celebrated for enhancing immune response and physical stamina.

The Wake Up America! Patriot Blend is fair trade, 100% organic coffee that is shade-grown in Chiapas, Mexico at the ideal altitude. The fair trade and shade-grown classification indicates that the growers were fairly compensated for their labor and that these local farmers raised the coffee in harmony with the existing forest canopy. It is 100% organic meaning that the plants were grown without pesticides or using commercial inorganic fertilizers.
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