

THE MODERN DICTATOR





New Line Me Old Way



INFOWARSSTORE.COM 1.888.253.3139

QUOTES



Nelson Mandela

IF YOU LOOK AT THOSE MATTERS, YOU WILL COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THE ATTITUDE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IS A THREAT TO WORLD PEACE.



Huey P. Long

WHEN FASCISM COMES TO AMERICA, IT WILL COME WRAPPED IN AN AMERICAN FLAG.



George Orwell, 1984

UNTIL THEY BECOME CONSCIOUS THEY WILL NEVER REBEL, AND UNTIL AFTER THEY HAVE REBELLED THEY CANNOT BECOME CONSCIOUS.

ON THE COVER



Almost 30 years later, the prophetic writings of George Orwell welcome us into the new era of rampant power plays and deceitful dictatorship. Gone are the days of national warlords portrayed as embodiments of evil raging against the free world. The picture of dictators as we have known them now seem quaint in comparison to the cunning of the wolves clothed as sheep we willingly raise up for ourselves in the bastardized name of tolerance, liberty, and justice for all.






>>> WRITTEN BY: KIT DANIELS



>>> WRITTEN BY: ALEX JONES



>> WRITTEN BY: ALEX JONES & PAUL JOSEPH WATSON

8 COP KILLS 13-YEAR-OLD BOY

>> WRITTEN BY: PAUL J. WATSON

10 NATIONAL MOVEMENT TO BOYCOTT NFL

>> WRITTEN BY: ADAN SALAZAR

12 CITY FAILS TO KILL SECOND AMENDMENT

>>> WRITTEN BY: KIT DANIELS

14 COP KILLS UNARMED MAN

>> WRITTEN BY: STEVE WATSON

17 GUN REGISTRATION LEADS TO CONFISCATION

>> WRITTEN BY: KIT DANIELS

18 MANDELA'S DARK LEGACY

>> WRITTEN BY: INFOWARS STAFF

22 SPY AGENCY'S NEW LOGO

>> WRITTEN BY: STEVE WATSON

24 POLICE STATE FOR SUPFR ROW

>>> WRITTEN BY: ADAN SALAZAR

27 CAN OBAMA SUSPEND ELECTION LAWS?

>> WRITTEN BY: PAUL J. WATSON

30 OBAMA HITS NEW ALL TIME LOWS

>> WRITTEN BY: STEVE WATSON KIT DANIELS

33 MODERN MIND CONTROL VICTIMS?

>>> WRITTEN BY: PAUL J. WATSON

36 JUNK SCIENCE

>> WRITTEN BY: KURT NIMMO

39 ARMY OFFICER VOWS TO CONFISCATE GINS

>> WRITTEN BY: PAUL J. WATSON KIT DANIELS

45 EXPANSION OF GOVERNMENT POWERS

>> WRITTEN BY: MIKAEL THALEN

46 OBAMA'S SOCIAL DICTATORSHIP

>>> WRITTEN BY: ADAN SALAZAR

49 BRAIN EATING VACCINES

>>> WRITTEN BY: ALEX JONES

60 GOVERNMENT CRIMINALIZES CHURCH GROUPS

>> WRITTEN BY: ANTHONY GUCCIARDI

VOL. 2 ISSUE 5 JAN. 2014

Dear Alex Jones

When I took the subway into Manhattan and stepped off the train at the World Trade Center stop I couldn't help but notice all the eerie mosaic tiled All-Seeing Eyes literally everywhere on all the walls throughout the station. As far as I know, it's the only station in New York City which has them. I couldn't help but think this was the Illuminati's creepy way off "marking their territory" to indicate their victory over what they did on 9/11.

- Jorge G.

Dear Alex Jones

My Scooter which I just sold. The new owner had not heard of Infowars or Alex Jones but he sure has now! He left these stickers on the scooter and is now a listener.

-William B.

Dear Alex Jones

Architecture for tyranny (current state of affairs) collage.

-Bryan H.

Dear Alex Jones

Here's my roving Infowars billboard guys! I ride for Liberty everyday. Be well and keep up the good work. Sincerely,

-Norman S.

Dear Alex Jones

Thanks for getting back to me! I love the work you guys do (Alex totally woke me up 2 years ago) and I'd like to contribute to your cause however I can.

-Josh H.

Dear Alex Jones

Spreading the message of truth here in Australia.


-Noete G.











A SHORT STORY OF US:

Infowars Magazine was founded as a monthly publication in 2012 as the answer to the eminent threat of internet censorship. The first issue debuted in September 2012, bringing the fight for the Infowar to a new front, the changing landscape of print media. Over 100,000 copies are distributed world-wide each month, spreading truth journalism with the passion of grassroots publication and the permanence of physical print. Our distribution points across the globe continue to grow and our subscriptions increase as people like you take the Infowar to the streets, providing magazines to stores, restaurants, businesses, and neighborhoods. Take a stand against tyranny and join the front lines in the fight for freedom. Subscribe today, advertise with us, or order in bulk to become a micro-distributor.

ABOUT ALEX JONES:

The Alex Jones Show is a nationally syndicated news/talk show based out of Austin, TX. The show is syndicated by the Genesis Communication Network on over 130 AM and FM radio stations across the United States, and has a gained a large internet based audience. You can listen to the show on-demand over the internet, either when the show is on the air (Mon-Fri, 11:00 a.m.—2:00 p.m CST and Sunday from 4:00.—6:00 PM CST) or you can stream a feed of the latest show at www.infowars.com/

₽ PUBLISHER

ALEX JONES

*** MANAGING EDITOR**

JOHN MCENTIRE

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

ALEX JONES
PAUL J. WATSON
KURT NIMMO
KIT DANIELS
ADAN SALAZAR

ANTHONY GUCCIARDI STEVE WATSON

MIKAEL THALEN

ART DIRECTOR

MOLLY ROGERS

MOLLY ROGERS ROB REEGER CARTER WATKINS : CONTRIBUTING ARTISTS

ANTHONY FREDA JUSTIN WHEELER

PROJECT MANAGER

TIM FRUGE

KIT DANIELS

- GRAPHICS@INFOWARS.COM

- TWITTER: @INFOWARSMAG

- LETTERS@INFOWARS.COM

- FACEBOOK: INFOWARSMAGAZINE

INFOWARS SITES

WWW.INFOWARS.COM

Home of the #1 Internet news show in the world.

> WWW.PRISONPLANET.COM

Reporters on the scene, articles, and special coverage.

> WWW.PRISONPLANET.TV

Get access to all the channels: The Alex Jones Show, Nightly News, Exclusive Video Reports, 60+ Movies and Documentaries, Books, and more.

WWW.PLANETINFOWARS.COM

Instantly connect to what's most important to you. Follow your friends, experts, favorite celebrities, and breaking news.

WWW.INFOWARSSHOP.COM

Check out Infowars gear, exclusive Alex Jones Movies, Infowars Magazine, health and wellness, preparedness products, and more.

> SOCIAL SITES:

Facebook.com/AlexanderEmerickJones Twitter: @RealAlexJones Youtube.com/TheAlexJonesChannel

SUBSCRIPTIONS and bulk orders are available online at www.infowarsstore.com or through customer service at 1-888-253-3139 • **ADVERTISING** inquiries can be directed to 512-646-4414 or advertising@infowars.com • **INFORMATION** requests, whether general or editorial, can be found by contacting letters@infowars.com • **Infowars Magazine** is published by Free Speech Systems, LLC. 12 times a year with a circulation of 100,000 copies. All content within this magazine is copyright of Free Speech Systems, LLC. To receive a free electronic version of the magazine, become an Infowars Insider by signing up at www.infowars.com/newletter • **PERMISSION** to reprint original Infowars articles in whole or in part is gladly granted, provided full credit is given. Some articles are copyrighted by our contributing writers, so check to see if copyright-free status applies. The articles appearing within this publication reflect the opinions and attitudes of their respective authors and not necessarily those of the publisher, advertisers, or editorial team.

Cop Who Killed 13-Year-Old Boy Armed With Plastic BB Gun Back on Duty

■ WRITTEN BY: **Paul Joseph Watson**

Officer Talked About Turning on the "Mean Gene"

Sonoma County sheriff's deputy was back on duty just six weeks after he shot a 13-year-old boy seven times for carrying a plastic BB gun. On October 22, Deputy Erick Gelhaus and a trainee responded to reports of a boy armed with an AK-47 walking along Moorland Avenue in southwest Santa Rosa. Gelhaus drove up behind middle school student Andy Lopez in a patrol car and ordered him to stop. When Lopez turned around with the "gun" raised, Gelhaus opened fire, killing the boy after shooting him seven times.

The "AK-47 turned out to be a plastic airsoft toy gun that fires plastic BB pellets. The encounter took place in the middle of a bright day, and the toy gun had a transparent plastic middle, leading critics to contend that Gelhaus should have been able to ascertain that the toy was not a real AK-47 before opening fire. The fact that Gelhaus was also a department armorer has prompted charges that he should have been able to identify the toy gun as a replica.

Gelhaus is already back on administrative duty and may return to patrol duty once an investigation of the incident has been concluded. "He will remain on the desk assignment until District Attorney Jill Ravitch reviews an investigative report and makes a finding about whether he committed criminal wrongdoing," reports the *Press Democrat*. Website PoliceOne.com heralded Gelhaus' return in a Facebook post which announced, "We're glad to have you back, officer!"

Whether or not you believe Gelhaus was justified in shooting the teen dead (seven times seems a little excessive), the fact that he is back on the job within weeks and before any kind of investigation has been concluded is patently ludicrous. Some have also pointed to a 2008 article written by Gelhaus which was published by *SWAT Magazine* in which he expressed trigger-happy sentiments. "Today is the day you may need to kill someone in order to go home. If you cannot turn on the 'mean gene' for yourself, who will? ... Taking some kind of action — any kind of action — is critical," Gelhaus wrote.

Two months before the shooting, Gelhaus also pointed his gun at the head of terrified Santa Rosa resident Jeffrey Westbrook during what should have been a routine traffic stop. "This is very, very, very bad news," said Michael Rothenberg, a member of the Andy Lopez Organizing Group. "A large part of Sonoma County think of Gelhaus as a murderer. They think he's dangerous. And we've seen through investigation that he's had problems out on the street."

SOME HAVE ALSO
POINTED TO A
2008 ARTICLE
WRITTEN
BY GELHAUS
WHICH WAS
PUBLISHED BY
SWAT MAGAZINE
IN WHICH HE
EXPRESSED
TRIGGER-HAPPY
SENTIMENTS.





National Movement to Boycott NFL Launched

Pro-Obamacare NFL Launched Another Attack on the Second Amendment

WRITTEN BY: ADAN SALAZAR

ith the yearly mass distraction spectacle that is the Super Bowl fast approaching, it's essential this month to reiterate our boycott on the NFL, and stress how the game is used, not only to distract, but as a mind warfare weapon to indoctrinate Americans into accepting a host of societal ills. It's important to note the NFL is not solely responsible for attempting to quash the Second Amendment. Partnering TV networks, such as Fox, NBC, and ESPN, along with sportscasters such as Bob Costas, are likely being prodded behind the scenes by the federal government. However, they're still equally complicit in treason for undermining the Bill of Rights and attacking the rights of the American people.

In December 2012, Infowars launched a boycott on the NFL following Kansas City Linebacker Jovan Belcher's murder-suicide. The next day, Bob Costas, with the full support of NBC and the NFL, indicted the

entire "gun culture" for his death, quoting an article by Fox Sports columnist James Whitlock which ludicrously blamed guns for the tragic deaths.

Last November, the NFL again revealed itself to be an establishment political tool when it declined to run a Super Bowl ad submitted by rifle and gun parts manufacturer Daniel Defense. The company's "offensive" ad depicts a former marine arriving home to greet his wife and child, accompanied by an internal monologue stating, "No one has the right to tell me how to defend them."

The ad supposedly violates the NFL's advertising guidelines, which bar ads featuring "firearms, ammunition, or other weapons," even though the ad doesn't actually show any of the above, aside from an illustration of their popular DDM4 rifle featured below Daniel Defense's logo. The NFL's firearm ad restrictions, in full, state: "Firearms, ammunition, or other weapons; however, stores that sell firearms and ammunitions (e.g.,

outdoor stores and camping stores) will be permitted, provided they sell other products and the ads do not mention firearms, ammunition, or other weapons."

"According to these guidelines," writes *Guns & Ammo*, "Daniel Defense's Super Bowl commercial does not violate NFL policy for two reasons:

- Daniel Defense has a brick-and-mortar store, where they sell products other than firearms such as apparel.
- The commercial itself does not mention firearms, ammunition or weaponry."

When Daniel Defense received notice their advertisement violated NFL policies, which it clearly didn't, the company attempted to rectify the situation by conceding to alter their logo instead to feature an American flag in the rifle illustration's place "and/or the words 'Shall not be infringed," according to *Guns & Ammo*. "The NFL replied with another non-negotiable denial."

It certainly comes as no surprise that the

nation's beloved sporting franchise — notable for consuming vast amounts of Americans' time, money, and cognitive processes through the bombardment of innumerable matches, needless merchandise, and unimportant stats — is once again being used to downplay the value of self-defense, gun ownership, and the nuclear family. As gun rights activist Colion Noir pointed out in an excellent video analysis, there is an almost incomprehensible double standard regarding what the NFL allows on its bread and circus broadcasts, and what it deems inappropriate.

While the NFL has no problem airing anti-gun or racist rants from the likes of Costas; urging compliance with Obamacare; promoting Mayor Bloomberg's Mayors Against Illegal Guns campaign; or airing violent video game ads, ads to join the military, or halftime shows littered with half-naked women idolizing a commercial representation of the Illuminati, it ludicrously chooses to take a stand against an ad depicting wholesome, manly behavior, such as, in this case, instinctually wanting to protect one's family.

Their gun ad ban is just the latest example of the golden calf that is the NFL selling Americans on the notion that the natural right to self-defense is "offensive." More and more the NFL has proven itself to be a soviet-style political tool useful only in propagandizing Americans, in addition to distracting the masses from the real pressing issues. If folks can't rid themselves of the illusion of freedom the NFL has constructed by either boycotting it or altogether ignoring it, is there really any hope for America?

THEIR GUN AD BAN IS
JUST THE LATEST EXAMPLE OF THE GOLDEN
CALF THAT IS THE NFL
SELLING AMERICANS
ON THE NOTION THAT
THE NATURAL RIGHT
TO SELF-DEFENSE IS
"OFFENSIVE."

ESPN Bans Christmas Ad Mentioning "Jesus" & "God"

Unfortunately, overzealous censorship isn't limited to just Fox and NBC. Last month, all-sports network ESPN refused to run an ad from a Catholic children's hospital because it contained the words "Jesus" and "God." The commercial encouraged viewers to "send messages of hope" to sick children.


"At SSM Cardinal Glennon Children's Medical Center, we celebrate the birth of Jesus and the season of giving, bringing hope to the many children, parents, and families that we serve," the commercial said, according to *The Christian Post*. "Our patients are filled with hope as they receive a message each day from the treasure chest beneath our tree of hope. Help us reveal God's healing presence this Christmas. Send your message of hope at Glennon. org."

The foundation's executive director, Dan Buck, said ESPN

denied the ad due to its religious connotations. "ESPN came back to us and said it was denied due to religious advocacy," Buck said. "We were disappointed and dumbfounded with their decision."

Contrary to the NFL's irreversible decision to ban Daniel Defense's rifle ad, ESPN finally caved to mounting public pressure and aired the 30-second ad during an NCAA basketball game, but the commotion stirred could have a lasting chilling effect on future religious groups.

It should be noted the New World Order agenda relies not only on establishing a one-world government and one-world currency, but also a one-world religion. Theoretically, this would involve demonizing and ultimately extinguishing the world's current religions — including Christianity and Catholicism.



Corrupt City Governments Fail in Their Attempts to Kill Second Amendment

Municipalities Violate State Laws and Their Own City Charters With Anti-Gun Ordinances

WRITTEN BY: KIT DANIELS

ocal governments across the country are trying unsuccessfully to enact gun control through city ordinances even though such attempts violate the Bill of Rights, state laws, and even their own city charters. Recently, cities in North Carolina were prevented from enforcing gun bans in conflict with state law, and the Town of Bethany Beach, Delaware, was stopped from closing down a legally-licensed gun store.

Last year, a Bethany Beach resident wanted the Town Council and the mayor to prohibit Bethany Cycle and Fitness from selling and transferring firearms even though the bike shop had obtained a Federal Firearms License from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. "Selling guns in Bethany Beach doesn't fit with the image we're trying to project," the resident said according to the *Daily Times*. "I don't see why it's necessary. It's a family resort with a lot of retired persons ... It's simply not the venue for selling guns."

Appeasing to the resident's demand, the city moved to outlaw gun shops, but was fortunately stopped by the Delaware Code which states that municipalities cannot restrict the ownership, transfer, or possession of firearms. "It's interesting that the state would pass a law that the towns can't do something that they should have the right to do," Mayor Tony McClenny said to the *Daily Times*.

Yet the city's own charter states, in the first paragraph no less, that the Town of Bethany Beach may not pass "ordinances, rules, resolutions and regulations" that are "in conflict with the Constitution and Laws of the State of Delaware or of the United States." In essence, the mayor and the Town Council wanted to violate their own city charter, as well as individual

rights, and the mayor complained when they were prevented from doing so.

In 2011, the North Carolina General Assembly passed a law prohibiting municipalities from banning Concealed Handgun License holders from carrying concealed guns in public parks. The legislation, however, also allowed city governments to ban concealed firearms in "recreation facilities" such as "a playground, an athletic field, a swimming pool, and an athletic facility," according to the *Charlotte Observer*.


In response, several cities across the state began to abuse the provision by passing gun bans which exceeded the assembly's intent. "Unfortunately what we found after that was municipalities for ideological reasons ... were actually torturing the English language to include as recreation facilities entire parks with hiking trails, greenways, and other areas which were clearly not the intent of the General Assembly," Paul Valone, founder of Grass Roots North Carolina, said to the newspaper.

His group as well as others pushed for legislation to stop these unlawful gun bans, and their efforts resulted in a new state law which expanded where CHL holders could carry legally. Grass Roots North Carolina also made sure that the municipalities obeyed this new law and even threatened to sue the City of Raleigh for not removing "No Guns Allowed" signs from public parks.

The city finally took down the signs under this intense pressure. "The days when local government bureaucrats can ignore state law with respect to firearms are over," Valone said. "We want these municipalities to comply with the law ... I don't think that's too much to ask."

"THE DAYS
WHEN LOCAL
GOVERNMENT
BUREAUCRATS
CAN IGNORE
STATE LAW
WITH RESPECT
TO FIREARMS
ARE OVER."

-PAUL VALONE





MAKE THE RIGHT CHOICE LEARN MORE AT INFOWARSSTORE.COM OR CALL 1.888.253.3139



Cop Kills Unarmed Man After Sarcastic Remark

Honor Student's Last Words Were "Oh, You're Gonna Shoot Me?"

campus police offer in San Antonio has been placed on paid leave following the shooting of an unarmed student who directed a sarcastic remark toward the officer. The incident took place the morning of December 6 during a traffic stop close to the University of the Incarnate Word. KSAT News reports that 23-year-old honor student Robert Cameron Redus was shot to death by Corporal Christopher Carter at around 2 a.m.

After pulling Redus over for speeding, according to the police report, witnesses said that the officer emptied his gun into the student without warning and despite the fact he was unarmed. "I didn't hear him say anything like, 'Get down on your hands and knees,' you know?" one witness said. "I didn't hear him say anything. He just started shooting. He emptied the gun on him ... Boom, boom, boom. Six shots — five or six."

Another witness, Mohammad Haidarasl, says that Redus' last words were "Oh, you're gonna shoot me?" said to Carter in a sarcastic off-handed tone. Haidarasl added that he heard the officer saying "Stop resisting, stop resisting." Haidarasl lived below Redus and described him as "the nicest guy." Other residents described Redus as "kind, intelligent, compassionate, and well-loved within the community." "He was not an aggressive person at all, so the story doesn't make sense,"

one resident said.

Carter has claimed that shortly after he stopped Redus, "a struggle ensued" between himself and the student. An official statement from the university noted that Carter has "an extensive law-enforcement background," and has worked on the campus for "several years." However, the *San Antonio Express-News* notes that Carter has only been in the job two-and-a-half years, after working for eight different law enforcement agencies in the same number of years.

The case provides yet another example of a police officer using lethal force in a situation where it was almost certainly not warranted. Similar stories are being reported on a weekly basis. This latest case also brings to mind the incident in California earlier this year, in which a police officer was caught on tape shooting and killing an unarmed homeless man, Hans Kevin Arellano, because he called her a "bitch."

Cops are now routinely shooting and killing people when they perceive any kind of threat, even kids with toy guns and small dogs. But then again, this is America, a police state where law enforcement officers who are called in to defuse minor domestic disputes end up shooting people, including kids, dead. And even if you get lucky and the cops miss when they shoot at you, you'll most likely end up facing an assault lawsuit.

BUT THEN AGAIN, THIS IS AMERICA, A **POLICE STATE** WHERE LAW **ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS WHO ARE CALLED IN TO DEFUSE MINOR DOMESTIC DISPUTES END UP SHOOTING** PEOPLE, **INCLUDING** KIDS, DEAD.





Proof: Gun Registration Leads To Confiscation

Gun Confiscations Begin in New York, Aided by Existing Firearms Registry

n the wake of New York's latest gun control law, the New York Police Department is now sending out notices to registered gun owners demanding that they give up their firearms, clear proof that gun registration leads to outright confiscations. The notice provides gun owners, who possess firearms now prohibited under New York's unconstitutional SAFE Act, the "options" to either surrender their firearms to the police, remove them from the city limits, or otherwise render them inoperable.

The NYPD knew exactly who to send the notices to by using a centralized firearms registry which lists the city's gun owners and what firearms they have in their possession. With the gun database already in place, the police merely needed to compile a list of firearm makes and models now banned under the SAFE Act and send the notices to the appropriate owners.

The SAFE Act, which was passed by the state legislature and signed by the governor on the same day in January, has numerous draconian provisions, including but not limited to:

- Outright ban of magazines holding over 10 rounds.
- Restriction on more than seven rounds being loaded into a magazine; the limited exceptions do not include home defense.
- Mandatory background checks for ammunition.
- The creation of a firearms registry for what the state considers "assault weapons."
 - A requirement for firearm permit holders

to fill out a form to keep the state from publicly identifying them.

These unconstitutional provisions and the overall law itself have met significant resistance. Erie County Sheriff Timothy B. Howard publicly stated that his department will not enforce the SAFE Act, adding that the law is one of the strongest examples of the government not listening to the people. "It's an unenforceable law, and I believe it will ultimately be declared unconstitutional," he said during a press conference. "Do you want law enforcement people that will say 'I will do this because I'm told to do this, even if I know it's wrong?""

Earlier this month, Howard won his re-election due to his stance against the gun control law. "The SAFE Act was a major issue in this [Erie County Sheriff] election," Carl J. Calabrese, a political consultant, said to the *Buffalo News*. "A lot of people in Erie County, both Republicans and Democrats, are hunters, gun owners, and shooters ... These are motivated people who get out and vote ... In a low-turnout election year like this one, it can make a huge difference."

Howard told the newspaper that he did what he thought was the right thing to do. "People in Western New York feel strongly about the Constitution and Albany's misreading of it," he added. While the NYPD's notice proves that gun registration leads to outright confiscations, Howard's re-election also proves that Americans are beginning to reassert their birth rights as recognized by the Constitution.

THE NYPD
KNEW EXACTLY
WHO TO SEND
THE NOTICES
TO BY USING A
CENTRALIZED
FIREARMS REGISTRY WHICH
LISTS THE CITY'S
GUN OWNERS
AND WHAT
FIREARMS THEY
HAVE IN THEIR
POSSESSION.

Mandela Love Fest Ignores Dark Side of Legacy

elson Mandela stands atop a hallowed pedestal in the pantheon of political correctness. His struggle against the scourge of apartheid — government sanctified racism — was fashioned into a human rights struggle by the establishment and its propaganda media. The distorted image of Saint Mandela has been dutifully scrubbed of its dark side. There is no room for truth or historical fact as the tribute to Saint Mandela unfolds and will continue to do so well beyond his passing.

Here's what you won't hear. Nelson Mande-la was a terrorist. His Umkhonto we Sizwe, the military wing of the African National Congress, targeted civilians. On May 20, 1983, Umkhonto we Sizwe (aka "Spear of the Nation") set off a car bomb near the Nedbank Square building on Church Street in the South African capital of Pretoria. The bomb was timed to go off at the height of rush hour. The attack killed 19 people and wounded 217.

The BBC reported on the bombing that day: "A huge pall of smoke rose hundreds of feet into the air as debris and bodies were strewn around the scene of the explosion.

"It is understood the bomb had been placed in a blue Alfa Romeo car outside the multistory building, which houses the South African air force headquarters.

"It exploded at the height of the city's rushhour as hundreds of people were leaving work for the weekend.

"Glass and metal were catapulted into the air as shop-fronts and windows were blown out.

"Many passers-by had limbs amputated by the flying debris. Others bled to death."

MSNBC and CNN and even Fox will not mention this today as they celebrate Mandela's "extraordinary example of moral courage, kindness, and humility," as the White House put it. Of course, it is hardly surprising the government and its media would praise a terrorist and make him out to be saint. It does this all the time.

From Taliban "freedom fighters," (as the script-reader Reagan dubbed them) who would later become convenient enemies, to the creation and support of al-Qaeda and its terrorist operations in Afghanistan, Bosnia, Libya, Syria, and elsewhere, the U.S. government remains actively in the business of manufacturing terrorists, although most of them are not anointed with sainthood like Nelson Mandela.

But, when you think about it, Mandela is merely a low-level terrorist when stacked up against the sort of psychopaths and knuckle-draggers the U.S. government has supported over the years, including General Augusto Pinochet in Chile and General Suharto in Indonesia, to name but two. Pinochet, with the help of the CIA, disposed of political enemies by throwing them out of helicopters. He was also behind a terrorist car bombing in Washington, D.C., that killed Chile's ambassador and American citizen Ronni Moffitt. Suharto, a brutal dictator who was installed by the CIA, massacred countless thousands of his fellow Indonesians and arrested and tortured more than 750,000 others.

Other notable examples include Pol Pot in Cambodia. His genocidal Khmer Rogue was favored by the ruling elite as a counter force to Vietnam after it fell to communists outside the bankster sphere of influence. It would take the country a couple decades to transform itself into a globalist slave labor gulag. It probably will not be mentioned that in the not too distant past the government Obama now represents (or reads a teleprompter for) supported racists in South Africa and Rhodesia.

Reagan, who liked to fashion himself a libertarian (or his speechwriters did, anyway), supported P.W. Botha's South African government and its "total war" on a black majority. Nixon did likewise when Ian Smith's Rhodesian government engaged in starving to death citizens who wanted to throw out the white minority government ruling with an iron fist. The list of favored thugs and mass murderers is long and sordid. It includes tenacious bankster support for Adolf Hitler and the Nazis (supporters included members of the Bush crime family).

Finally, while Obama and the establishment lionize Mandela and couple him to the struggle for human rights in Africa, the Pentagon continues its move into the continent under the rubric of AFRICOM, the U.S. military command for Africa. In order to put this into perspective, consider the invasion of Libya that killed more than 30,000 of the north African nation's citizens and installed al-Qaeda and the crazed jihadists. AFRICOM is designed to pacify the region ahead of normal bankster operations conducted by the World Bank and the IMF. AFRICOM's mission was underscored by Vice Admiral Robert T. Moeller at Fort McNair on February 18, 2008, when he declared the guiding principle of AFRICOM is to protect "the free flow of natural resources from Africa to the global market."

Nelson Mandela is another establishment generated distraction. His sanitized presence in the manipulated flow of corporate media propaganda and entertainment fluff is designed to bolster the latest tool of domination devised by the ruling elite — color and culturally based political correctness. All who disagree or take issue with the ruling elite and their plan for global domination are dismissed as scurrilous racists and haters.

IT EXPLODED AT THE HEIGHT OF THE CITY'S RUSH-HOUR AS HUNDREDSEOF PEOPLEWERE LEAVING WORK FOR THE WELKEND.

GLASS AND METAL WERE CATAPULTED INTO THE AIR AS SHOP-FRONTS AND WINDOWS WERE BLOWN OUT. MANY PASSERS-BY HAD LIMBS AMPUTATED BY THE FLYING DEBRIS. OTHERS BLED TO DEATH."

BBC REPORTED MAY 20, 1983

NEW PRODUCT

Think of all the times a dashcam would have been useful in helping you prove your story.

RECORD TRUTH "your car's blackbox"













Survival Shield™

Survival Shield^M is the Infowars Life brand of standardized nano-colloidal nascent iodine. It is manufactured with a unique transformative bio-elemental matrix using a proprietary process. Iodine is an essential mineral that supports thyroid health and well-being. Proper iodine levels may provide major support against environmental and dietary toxins

Fluoride Shield™

For years. Infowars has been fighting to remove forms of fluoride from the water supply. With much support from around the world. But while we may not be able to remove toxic forms of fluoride from the all our water supplies just yet, we can actively make the decision to help remove it from our bodies. Introducing Fluoride Shield[™], an Infowars Life exclusive blend of key herbs and ingredients specifically infused within the formula to help support the elimination of toxic forms of fluoride and other dangerous compounds like mercury, chlorine, and bromine from within the body.

Super Maile Vitality™

As men age, they may often experience a slow down in vitality, energy, sexual drive, and overall wellness. Super Male Vitality™ is specifically designed to assist the body in regulating proper hormone balance to create superior vitality in males, and has been used by Alex Jones in order to maximize vitality when working up to 12 hours a day or more in the fight for freedom.

Spying Spooks Throw It in Americans' Faces With New Octopus-Engulfing-World Logo

Intelligence Head Tweets Badge Stating "Nothing Is Beyond Our Reach"

ollowing this year's further revelations on the NSA actively spying on Americans' communications, along with the president's promise to "reign in" the powers of U.S. spooks, one would have expected that the government would be engaged in a damage control campaign going forward. However, this is the criminally insane military-industrial complex-usurped government we're referring to, so instead, they're putting world-eating Octopus logos on the side of spy satellites and tweeting about it.

The National Reconnaissance Office, considered on of the "Big 5" spy agencies, photographed the launch of NROL-39, the latest government spy satellite being overseen by the NRO, which provides signals intelligence to the NSA, among other agencies. The program is classified, but "what the hell, let's tweet about it" was presumably the conclusion of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, the over-arching agency that the NRO reports to. And to really rub it in Americans' faces about how we spy on them and the entire world, let's slap on a logo featuring an octopus enveloping the world with its tentacles with the words "Nothing is beyond our reach."

Really subtle. This would be akin to the DoD sticking the grim reaper on it's unmanned drone program as a logo ... oh wait, it already did that.

A spokesperson for NRO issued a statement that reads, "NROL-39 is represented by the octopus, a versatile, adaptable, and highly intelligent creature. Emblematically, enemies of the United States can be reached no matter where they choose to hide." The statement continues, "Nothing is beyond our reach' defines this mission and the value it brings to our nation and the warfighters it supports,

who serve valiantly all over the globe, protecting our nation."

The Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) is headed up by James Clapper, the principle advisor to President Obama on intelligence and national security, and the man who openly lied to Congress during a March Senate Intelligence Committee hearing on the NSA's spying programs, then later apologized for doing so. Indeed, fresh calls were made in Congress this week to prosecute Clapper, a retired Air Force lieutenant general, for committing a federal offense.

"The only way laws are effective is if they're enforced," said Rep. James Sensenbrenner Jr., the original author of the Patriot Act. "If it's a criminal offense — and I believe Mr. Clapper has committed a criminal offense — then the Justice Department ought to do its job."

You'd think Clapper would want to be keeping a low profile right about now. But no, like some bad guy out of a James Bond movie, he's tweeting about engulfing the world like a crazy cephalopod or a crackers kraken. He's letting the world know that he's about to become a maniacal mollusc, launching a giant spy telescope into space. He's proudly announcing that he's an insane invertebrate collecting and devouring all your metadata and tracking your exact whereabouts under a 30-year-old executive order.

If you're thinking that you've seen this logo somewhere before, it may be because you watched the feature-length episode of the original Batman series when the Joker, the Penguin, the Riddler, and Catwoman joined forces as the "United Underworld." Yes, The octopus engulfing the globe was the logo of the most vile villains in all of Gotham city. Very fitting.

"In addition its primary payload, the rocket

will also carry a dozen mini satellites funded by the National Reconnaissance Office and @ NASA," the ODNI twitter account also notes.

The only other thing known about the NROL-39 spy satellite launch is its trajectory, which places it into an orbit identical to existing spy satellites used for radar imaging. It is thought by some that the mission is therefore part of a series of launches in an effort to retrieve components from the failed spy satellite program known as "Future Imagery Architecture." In 2007, *The New York Times* labeled the program "perhaps the most spectacular and expensive failure in the 50-year history of American spy satellite projects." So much for "nothing is beyond our reach" then.



SI BEYOND SUR REACH

CONTRACTOR STREET

STORAGE AND

HEAT SHE

TILL SCOPE & SEXTANT POR

PLACES

SEBARATION PLANE

CONTROL LANGE

LICESS HOL

AW CONTROL CHAINE

THESE ARE JUST THE LATEST EFFORTS BY THE MORONIC SPECTACLE THAT IS THE NFL TO ACCLIMATIZE THE PUBLIC TO ACCEPT THE DISINTEGRATION OF PRIVACY AND THE FOURTH AMENDMENT.

>>> WRITTEN BY: ADAN SALAZAR

NYPD to Roll Out Police State for Super Bowl

NYPD Will Deploy Heavily Armed Officers, Canines, Radiation Detectors, and More

he New York City Police Department will deploy gun boats, as well as armed officers with "heavy weapons" in the week prior to the Super Bowl — efforts the department claims will "protect the public," deter terrorists, and prevent them from conducting reconnaissance. They also plan to use radiation detectors, canine teams, and "heavily visible" Hercules foot patrols, along with closed-circuit TV cameras to thwart evil-doers looking to rain on Super Bowl festivities.

The heavy-handed show of force is in support of NYC's Super Bowl Boulevard, a weeklong jumble of football related activities sponsored by GMC set to take place within a cordoned off 14-block expanse running along Broadway in Manhattan. "As always the NYPD is taking a multilayered approach to protect the public at these events. Many of the technologies and procedures that we will be employing throughout this period are already being used throughout the city on a daily basis," said Counterterrorism Bureau Inspector John O'Connell at a conference Tuesday, according to Bloomberg News.


Asked whether people will be allowed to tote backpacks or other bags during the festivities which fall during New Year's Eve, O'Connell responded they weren't "prepared to answer that right now." To be sure, backpacks and bags won't be allowed inside MetLife Stadium, the site of Super Bowl XLVIII, unless they're see-through. Earlier this year, the NFL implemented a "clear bag policy" banning fans from bringing backpacks and bags to stadiums, claiming the measure would "enhance public safety." A "buffer area" of bag police, with the aim to prevent dangerous bags from entering the stadium, will also greet fans.

Make no mistake, Super Bowl security paranoia will be cranked up to 11 during the February 2 event. Fans will not be allowed to tailgate or arrive at the stadium on foot. "You cannot walk to the Super Bowl. You can get your hotel to drop you off at one of the New Jersey Transit locations or get the shuttle to take you to a Fan Express location, but you cannot walk," the games's committee CEO Al Kelly recently stated.

Super Bowl patrons will also endure the standard TSA-style pat downs and airport-style metal detectors "in the name of

safety," and will also likely be under the watchful eye of DHS-trained employees ordered to "See Something, Say Something," as was the case at Super Bowl XLV in Dallas. These are just the latest efforts by the moronic spectacle that is the NFL to acclimatize the public to accept the disintegration of privacy and the Fourth Amendment, a right guaranteeing Americans protections against unreasonable searches.

However, given that a majority of Americans are more concerned with Miley Cyrus and football than preserving civil liberties, it's no surprise the NFL has come this far in acclimating the public to accept slavery in return for football seats. Infowars and Alex Jones recently relaunched a boycott of the NFL after the franchise again demonstrated itself to be a political weapon of the establishment when it refused to run a mild ad for rifle and gun parts manufacturer Daniel Defense. Our previous boycott was in response to sportscaster Bob Costas, the NFL, and NBC using their influence on national television to promote gun control.



SRD TIMES THE CHARM

WRITTEN BY: **Paul Joseph Watson**

Congressman Fears Obama Could Suspend Election Laws

Amidst Calls for POTUS to Run for Third Term

epresentative Trey Gowdy
(R-S.C.) fears that the Obama
administration's refusal to
enforce immigration laws could
lead to Obama himself failing
to enforce election laws, a concern voiced
amidst calls by some for Obama to run
for a third term in office. Under the 22nd
Amendment to the Constitution, a President
is limited to two terms in office.

During a House Judiciary Committee hearing on December 4, Gowdy asked Simon Lazarus, senior counsel to the Constitutional Accountability Center, "If the president can fail to enforce immigration laws, can the president likewise fail to enforce election laws?"

Lazarus responded "no," to which Gowdy shot back, "Why not? If he can suspend mandatory minimum and immigration laws, why not election laws?" Lazarus answered, "Because we live in a government of laws, and the president is bound to obey them and apply them." Gowdy responded by reiterating that Obama was not applying immigration and marijuana laws, a stance with which Lazarus disagreed.

Gowdy subsequently asked George Washington University Law School Professor Jonathan Turley what the likelihood of the administration suspending election laws was, to which Turley responded, "I think that some of these areas I can't imagine to be justified through prosecutorial discretion. It's not prosecutorial

discretion to go into a law and say, 'an entire category of people will no longer be subject to the law.' That's a legislative decision."

Turley added that the country was currently embroiled in "the most serious constitutional crisis in my lifetime" and that Congress was becoming increasingly irrelevant.

Concern about the Obama administration's failure to enforce election laws arrives in the aftermath of a *Washington Post* editorial by Jonathan Zimmerman, a professor of history and education at New York University, which caused controversy by advocating that Obama run for a third term in order to avoid being made a scapegoat by critics within his own party. Despite acknowledging Obama's record low approval ratings, Zimmerman asked, "If Obama could run again, would he be facing such fervent objections from Senators Charles Schumer (D-N.Y.) and Robert Menendez (D-N.J.)?"

"Probably not," he added. "Democratic lawmakers would worry about provoking the wrath of a president who could be reelected. Thanks to term limits, though, they've got little to fear." Zimmerman's argument caused understandable consternation amongst conservatives, some of whom expressed the fear, which is somewhat routine when any president is coming to the end of his term, that the stage was being set for some kind of engineered crisis that would be exploited to justify a third term for Obama.

JONATHAN
ZIMMERMAN
CAUSED
CONTROVERSY
BY ADVOCATING
THAT OBAMA
RUN FOR A
THIRD TERM IN
ORDER TO AVOID
BEING MADE A
SCAPEGOAT BY
CRITICS WITHIN
HIS OWN PARTY.



>>> INFOWARS MAGAZINE | INFOWARS.COM <<



VIEW OUR ENTIRE SELECTION NOW AT INFOWARSSTORE.COM TO ORDER CALL 1.888.253.3139

Obama Approval Hits New All-Time Lows


■ WRITTEN BY: **Steve Watson & Kit Daniels** ■

New Polls Show Support for Selfie-Shooting POTUS as Low as 38 Percent

hile the president laughed his way through the Nelson Mandela funeral, shaking hands with dictators, taking "selfies," and flirting with the leader of Denmark, he also managed to hit new all-time low approval ratings at home. As MSNBC was forced to admit, a brace of polls show that Obama's approval level continues to sink at a rapid rate, mostly due to the disaster that seemingly has no end — Obamacare.

A Quinnipiac University poll shows that a massive 57 percent of Americans now disapprove of the President, with just 38 percent saying they approve of the job Obama is doing — a new record low for the Narcissist-in-Chief. A similar poll produced by NBC and *The Wall Street Journal* also found that 54 percent of Americans disapprove of Obama, while only 43 percent of voters approve of the President — still record highs when discounting the Quinnipiac poll.

Meanwhile, according to the figures, only 28 percent rate the president highly when it comes to achieving what he set out to do. That figure is down 16 points from last January. The survey also found that just 37 percent believe Obama is honest and straightforward,



down 5 points from June, while 44 percent say he is effective in handling a crisis, down another 5 points since June.

"These are tough and sobering numbers for the president and his administration," said Democratic pollster Fred Yang, one of the principal conductors of the survey. "A rousing chorus of 'Bah! Humbug!' for President Barack Obama as American voters head into the holidays with little charitable to say about the president," said Tim Malloy, assistant director of the Quinnipiac University Polling Institute.

The Quinnipiac poll also found that 50 percent now believe Obamacare to be a "bad idea," with only 34 percent saying it is a "good idea." A majority of Americans, 52 to 44 percent, say Obama is not honest and trustworthy, while 51 to 47 percent of voters say the president is not a strong leader. The poll also found that Obama is dragging down the Democratic party, with a majority of Americans saying they'd like to see Republicans take full control of Congress in the 2014 midterm elections.

That is startling, given that American voters currently disapprove 74 to 19 percent of the way Republicans in Congress are performing. "President Obama could be pretty lonely during his last two years in office if voters decide they want Republican majorities in the House and Senate." Malloy noted.

To understand Americans' disgust with Obama's presidency, particularly with Obamacare, just take a look at the official Facebook page for Healthcare.gov. Like any other Facebook page for a music band or place of business, Facebook users can post their own views on the page for the public to see. Browse through the comments posted and you'll understand precisely why Obama's poll numbers are collapsing.

"My premiums are over \$300 a month and I $\,$ want, Obama." make just over \$12,000 a year," one lady said.

"How can anyone afford this stuff?" She also stated she was giving up on insurance. "I guess I will have to continue with no insurance, and hopefully I don't get sick and die because I can't see a doctor," the lady continued. "I haven't seen a doctor in nearly four years."

Another commenter said that she was saving \$500 a month by not "signing up for this train wreck that is Obamacare."

In yet another revealing comment, a veteran wrote that he had been on military insurance for many years. "All I can say is this new healthcare law is proof positive that you really can polish a turd and sell it to people," the vet said. "Just you all wait and see just how wonderful government-run healthcare really is!"

"Same coverage for wife and me, from \$400 a month to \$1,060 a month and \$500 more [for the] deductible. This from your own website," another veteran chimed in.


"So, how is this affordable again?"

"I am a male age 56. I have had a vasectomy. I do not plan on getting pregnant, or getting anyone else pregnant," one gentleman stated. "Why would I have to be paying for pregnancy coverage? Can I decline pregnancy coverage to save some money? This is crazy!"

One person posted that even with a \$375 monthly tax credit, her monthly premium was still over \$540 a month with a \$5,000 deductible. "I am so disappointed," another individual said. "These prices are outrageous and there are huge deductibles."

Someone else made a similar comment, stating that it was "too bad" she couldn't afford the "affordable' coverage and ridiculously high deductible." "Not cheap at all," another lady declared. "\$1,000 a month? I don't think so. I won't have health insurance and I have a pre-existing health problem."

"So just drop me if that's what you want, Obama."





Alleged Boston Bomber Believed He Was a Victim of Mind Control

Tamerlan Tsarnaev Feared He Had Been Brainwashed to Act on Trigger Phrase

uspected Boston marathon bomber Tamerlan Tsarnaev believed that he was a victim of mind control, according to the results of a five-month investigation published on December 15 by the *Boston Globe*. Tsarnaev, who was killed in a shootout with police four days after allegedly carrying out the bombings with his brother Dzhokhar Tsarnaev, was thought to have "some form of schizophrenia," according to family friends, while his mother said Tsarnaev "felt like there were two people iving inside of him."

"He believed in majestic mind control, which is a way of breaking down a person and creating an alternative personality with which they must coexist," Donald Larking, a 67-year-old who attended a Boston mosque with Tamerlan, told *The Globe*. "You can give a signal, a phrase, or a gesture, and bring out the alternate personality and make them do things. Tamerlan thought someone might have done that to him." The link between allegations of mind control and violent acts such as political assassinations or terror attacks has been a running theme in numerous different high profile cases.

Aurora theater gunman James Holmes said he was "programmed" to carry out the massacre by an "evil" therapist, according to an alleged inmate of the "Batman" shooter. Steven Unruh claims that Holmes told him he

"felt like he was in a video game" during the shooting and that he had been brainwashed with the aid of neuro-linguistic programming.

The parallels between James Holmes and another alleged victim of mind control — RFK assassin Sirhan Sirhan — are astounding. As the London *Independent* reported in 2005, evidence strongly indicates that Sirhan was a Manchurian candidate, a victim of mind control who was set up to be the fall guy for the murder. Sirhan was described by eyewitnesses as being in a trancelike state as he pulled the trigger.

"There was no way Sirhan Sirhan killed Kennedy," said (Sirhan's lawyer Larry) Teeter ... He was the fall guy. His job was to get busted while the trigger man walked out. He wasn't consciously involved in any plot. He was a patsy. He was unconscious and unaware of what was happening — he was the true Manchurian Candidate."

The CIA's use of mind control to create killers is a matter of historical record. MK-ULTRA was the code name for a covert, illegal CIA human research program, run by the Office of Scientific Intelligence that came to light in 1975 through investigations by the Church Committee, and the Rockefeller Commission. 14-year CIA veteran Victor Marchetti insists that the program is ongoing and has not been abandoned.

According to his lawyers, Sirhan Sirhan "was an involuntary participant in the crimes

being committed because he was subjected to sophisticated hypno-programming and memory implantation techniques which rendered him unable to consciously control his thoughts and actions at the time the crimes were being committed," and served only as a diversion for the real assassin. Jared Lee Loughner, the gunman who shot Congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords and killed six other people, was also obsessed with mind control.

Were the Tsarnaev brothers set up or brainwashed into carrying out the Boston marathon bombing? It's a claim that would be virtually impossible to prove, but it would explain a number of extraordinary contradictions pertaining to the case, including why the brothers apparently shouted "we didn't do it" during their shootout with police.

The aunt of Tamerlan Tsarnaev claims that the footage which emerged of police arresting a naked uninjured man was her nephew, contradicting the official narrative that Tsarnaev was critically injured in a shootout and suggesting he may have been killed while in custody. According to Tamerlan's mother Zubeidat Tsarnaeva, the FBI "were controlling his every step." It was subsequently confirmed that both the FBI and the CIA added the brothers to at least two terrorist watch lists in late 2011.

WERE THE TSARNAEV BROTHERS SET UP OR BRAINWASHED INTO CARRYING OUT THE BOSTON MARATHON BOMBING?





Junk Science: Obama's Dictatorial Executive Order Imposing Climate Change Policies

n November 1, Barack Obama signed an executive order circumventing Congress and the American people. The move defiantly ignored a decision made by Congress in 2009 rejecting the establishment of a cap-and-trade system purportedly designed to discourage the release of greenhouse-gas emissions. Known as the Waxman-Markey bill, the 946-page monstrosity passed the House, but was ultimately defeated in the Senate. At the time Waxman-Markev was introduced, Democrats controlled both houses of Congress. The bill imposed a large number of expensive mandates on energy utility companies, and thus ultimately the American people, despite the fact climate change is a dubious theory. Waxman-Markey would have covered 85 percent of the entire economy, including electricity producers, oil refineries, natural gas suppliers, and industries such as iron, steel, cement, and paper manufacturers, according to a break down posted by Grist Magazine in June, 2009.

Article I, Section I of the Constitution unambiguously states that Congress, not the executive, has legislative power. Although executive orders are not lawful legislation, there are members of Congress who believe Obama is entitled to impose law by fiat so long as it accomplishes what they perceive as a noble cause, namely addressing climate change. "An Executive Order is a policy or procedure issued by the President that is a regulation that applies only to employees of the Executive Branch of government," notes the Tenth Amendment Center, "When a President issues an unconstitutional Executive Order and Congress allows the order to stand they are violating their oath to preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution."

President Obama's unconstitutional executive order established a task force of state and local officials "to advise the administration on how to respond to severe storms, wildfires,

droughts and other potential impacts of climate change," Fox News reported. Obama's executive order includes extreme weather events under the increasingly discredited rubric of climate change. It mandates new federal building codes under Agenda 21 "sustainability" and promises to "address climate impacts and infrastructure needs." The order was praised by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and its administrator, Gina McCarthy, who said it serves as a "roadmap for agency work" and forces the nation to face what the EPA deems are "climate-related challenges." In September, the EPA announced first steps to be taken by utilities under Obama's Climate Action Plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. "EPA is proposing carbon pollution standards for new power plants built in the future, and is kicking off the process of engagement with states, stakeholders, and the public to establish carbon pollution standards for existing power plants," the agency has announced on its website.

The executive order mandates money will be withheld from the states if they do not sign on to the latest climate proposals. It will "bring sweeping new changes to land use and resource policies," "refocus of climate change data and use of it to push a new agenda into every priority of the federal government," and, importantly, make policies immune to challenge by establishing "a new internal organization for coordination efforts during a government sequestration and possible future shutdowns."

Climate Change Debunked

A study released last year called into question Al Gore's "hockey stick" graph on warming. It states that the statistical outcomes on climate change produced by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and associated organizations are "quite wrong." The study published in the journal *Nature Sunday* "completely debunks all previous claims that temperatures in recent decades are in any

way historic demonstrating instead that things were much hotter on this planet during Roman times," writes Noel Sheppard for Newsbusters. Researchers used previously unavailable data derived from tree rings showing a long term cooling trend that has occurred over the last 2,000 years. The trend, research indicates, is the result of due to gradual changes to the position of the sun and an increase in the distance between the Earth and the sun. "Our results suggest that the large-scale climate reconstruction shown by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) likely underestimate this long-term cooling trend over the past few millennia," said Professor Dr. Jan Esper of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany.

In addition, data compiled by NASA's Langley Research Center earlier this year reveal that greenhouse gas actually blocks 95 percent of the sun's harmful rays, thus significantly reducing solar heating. "The shock revelation starkly contradicts the core proposition of the so-called greenhouse gas theory which claims that more CO2 means more warming for our planet. However, this compelling new NASA data disproves that notion and is a huge embarrassment for NASA's chief climatologist, Dr. James Hansen and his team over at NASA's GISS," write H. Schreuder & J. O'Sulliva of *Principia Scientific International*.

Obama's Climate EO: First Step in Globalist Carbon Taxes

Barack Obama's executive order on climate change arrives several months after the International Monetary Fund called for implementing carbon taxes in the United States. Citing the federal government's claim the economy is on the rebound — when an objective examination excluding the government's skewed statistics reveal the opposite — the IMF demands carbon taxes and other



THE EXECUTIVE ORDER MANDATES MONEY WILL BE WITHHELD FROM THE STATES IF THEY DO NOT SIGN ON TO THE LATEST CLIMATE PROPOSALS.

measures. "New revenues could be raised through a fundamental tax reform which would simplify the tax code and broaden the tax base through a reduction in exemptions and deductions, as well as through the introduction of a carbon tax and a value added tax," declares the Concluding Statement of the 2013 Article IV Mission to the United States of America.

The globalist organization established by the Bretton Woods Conference in 1944 and currently directed by former French Minister of Economic Affairs, Christine Lagarde, is attempting under the cover of a questionable climate-change agenda to impose on the United States an austerity program similar to the one currently at work in the European Union. In September, the IMF said its mission includes devising "appropriate design of fiscal reforms to promote greener growth more broadly." In addition to promoting "widespread changes in behavior," IMF proposals will "also raise substantial

amounts of government revenue," according to a climate and environmental factsheet released in September, 2013. Taxation schemes, the IMF explains, should be disguised to a certain extent. "Cap-and-trade systems are another promising policy, but generally they should be designed to look like fiscal instruments through revenue-raising and price stability provisions," thus hiding the true nature of the taxation scheme.

In effect, the IMF plan would redistribute billions of dollars to the third world, regions the global elite want to develop for future exploitation. "An IMF proposal for a Green Fund would facilitate financial flows to developing countries' to assist in their efforts on climate change adaptation and mitigation," the factsheet notes.

Obama's executive order, designed to put a climate change agenda in place despite a growing body of evidence demonstrating climate change is junk science, reveals the global elite will stop at nothing in their effort to destroy the United States and Western Europe. "The

Obama Administration has used every trick in the book to implement its radical agenda through back door regulations," Louisiana Republican Representative Steve Scalise said in August when his amendment to the Regulations from the Executive in Need of Scrutiny Act passed in the House of Representatives.

Democrats and their globalist puppet masters will try come 2016 to defeat the Republicans, including a vocal tea party-inspired minority, and reinstall a Democrat majority in the lower chamber. Establishment Republicans, including House Speaker John Boehner, are now working to sideline and demonize the tea party faction in the House and help Democrats move the globalist agenda along its predetermined path.





Army Officer Vows to Pry Your Guns From Your Cold, Dead Fingers





ieutenant Colonel Robert Bateman wants you disarmed, and makes his case for slavery is an article he wrote for *Esquire* in December entitled "It's Time to Talk About Guns and the Supreme Court." Here is a snippet:

- **"1.** The only guns permitted will be the following:
- **a.** Smoothbore or Rifled muzzle-loading blackpowder muskets. No 7-11 in history has ever been held up with one of these.

- **b.** Double-barrel breech-loading shotguns. Hunting with these is valid.
- **c.** Bolt-action rifles with a magazine capacity no greater than five rounds. Like I said, hunting is valid. But if you cannot bring down a defenseless deer in under five rounds, then you have no f[**]king reason to be holding a killing tool in the first place.
- **2.** We will pry your gun from your cold, dead, fingers. That is because I am willing to wait until you die, hopefully of natural causes.

Guns, except for the three approved categories, cannot be inherited. When you die your weapons must be turned into the local police department, which will then destroy them. (Weapons of historical significance will be demilled, but may be preserved.)

3. Police departments are no longer allowed to sell or auction weapons used in crimes after the cases have been closed. (That will piss off some cops, since they really need this money. But you know what they need more?

Less violence and death. By continuing the process of weapon recirculation, they are only making their jobs — or the jobs of some other cops — harder.)"

Let's break down some of the assertions Bateman made in his article:

Bateman Incorrectly Attributes "a Well-Regulated Militia" as Being Militias Regulated by the State

The Founders' intent for the Second Amendment is often misunderstood by the public, including Bateman himself in this case. During the founding of our republic, a militia that was "well-regulated" meant that the individuals who comprised of the militia were well-trained and well-rehearsed. The word "regulated" referred to the discipline practiced by the militiamen who spontaneously organized in defense of their homes, their families, and their own lives. Being "well-regulated" had nothing to do with government involvement at all.

As displayed time and time again during the Revolutionary War, a well-regulated militia

was very effective at defending a community without being authorized or controlled by the state. Bateman however attempted to downplay this effectiveness by stating that militias routinely refused to "go out of their own state" and incorrectly implied that militias were meant to be a standing army. The Founders worded the Second Amendment the way they did because they were relying on an armed citizenry for defending the republic to a great degree.

Other countries have also recognized this. In 1917 for example, Mexican President Venustiano Carranza assigned one of his generals to assess the feasibility of a Mexican attack on the American Southwest, the idea of which was sparked by the Zimmerman telegram. The general cited multiple reasons why such an attack would not be successful, including the fact that private Americans were well-armed.

Bateman simply ignored the intent of the Second Amendment and misinterpreted the true role of the militias. Furthermore, he fails to understand the overall purpose of the Bill of Rights, in which each amendment recognizes individual liberties protected from the government. The Second Amendment was no exception. The Tenth Amendment in particular underscores the document's scope.

Bateman Simply Blames the Gun in a Shooting Death and Doesn't Look Into Other, Real Factors

To try and make his case, Bateman refers to a recent shooting in which a woman killed a fellow Alabama fan after a football game because the victim didn't seem "upset enough" about the Crimson Tide's loss to Auburn, according to the victim's sister. Here Bateman blames the gun, not the addict-like obsession with sports we now see in America. Some Americans are so fixated by sports that they lose focus on what's really important in their lives. They pay no attention to the gradual extinction of our rights, the deaths of women and children in drone strikes overseas, or even the domestic destruction of the middle class as megabanks strip mine our wealth through Obamacare and the Federal Reserve System.

They get clinically depressed when their





favorite team loses, but are completely apathetic when the lives of their fellow Americans are destroyed by felony imprisonment for victimless crimes. They unite in spirit for a sports team but won't unite in the spirit of liberty which allows them to enjoy their favorite pastimes. Does Bateman ever touch on this zombie-like addiction to sports at all, which may have led to the death of the Alabama fan? No, he simply blames the gun.

Addictions and obsessions are forms of slavery which keep us chained to the same fixations rather than allowing us to explore the true scope of reality and experience as much as we can during our brief, known consciousness. Yet instead of combating slavery of obsessions and misplaced priorities, Bateman proposes total slavery to the state. That's exactly how the establishment wants it.

Now sport broadcasts are being used to condition Americans into giving up their rights willingly rather than just being distracted while their rights are taken away. We've seen this with Bob Costas's rant against the Second Amendment during a football game, as well as the NFL's ban on

playing a wholesome ad by Daniel Defense.

Critics Threatened After Response

Critics contend that Bateman's revulsion for the Second Amendment, which he woefully misinterprets, "proves he knows nothing about the Constitution he swore an oath to defend." Bateman's promise to "pry your gun from your cold, dead, fingers" was an inflammatory reference, as were his promises to push for a total ban on all firearms besides muskets, shotguns and rifles, and shut down all gun manufacturers except for those who produced weapons for the federal government and the armed forces, providing a state monopoly on firepower.


Bateman says disarming the American people is all about encouraging "less violence and death," although such sentiments weren't evident when he became embroiled in an argument with a blogger which ended up with the Colonel making a thinly veiled death threat. The argument concerned a former senior female Human Terrain Team (HTT) member who was allegedly subjected to a

death threat by an active duty lieutenant at Bagram Airfield in Afghanistan.

After Bateman engaged in "ad hominem attacks" against Maximilian Forte, the blogger who posted the story, and was subsequently banned, he resorted to a veiled death threat of his own. "And I apologize for the future. Not really my fault. But I am sorry nonetheless," wrote Bateman.

"You apologize for the future," responded Forte. "It was worth approving your message just so that others can see the veiled threat." Bateman repeated the threat in a subsequent post when he remarked, "And again, Max, truly, I am sorry for your future."

Bateman's thinly veiled death threats reveal him to be a wolf in sheep's clothing, someone who claims to be all about reducing violence, yet resorts to barely disguised rhetorical threats of violence against his ideological adversaries. It seems abundantly clear that it is Bateman who has a problem with violence and is most likely a danger to himself and those around him. It is therefore Bateman, and not the American people, who should be disarmed.



WRITTEN BY: KIT DANIELS

Obama Usurps Lawmaking Power From Congress

merica is in perhaps the deepest constitutional crisis of its history. Although there's been continuous attacks on the Bill of Rights, the Constitution's separation of powers into the legislative, the executive, and the judicial branches of government have remained more or less unchallenged — until now. President Obama is currently circumventing Congress in order to place legislative power into his own hands in a move that will not only set a precedent for future presidents, but will also radically change the foundation of our republic. His administration is severing the most well-known constitutional restraints placed on presidential power.

On December 3, the House Judiciary
Committee held a hearing on the President's
Constitutional duty to enforce laws. "Throughout President Obama's tenure, we've witnessed a pattern: when the President disagrees with laws, he circumvents them," the hearing's press release read. "Now with Obamacare,
President Obama is rewriting his own law, even though the law doesn't provide him the authority to do so."

"The Constitution is clear: it is Congress' duty to write our laws, and once they are enacted, it is the President's responsibility to enforce them. Article II, Section 3 of the Constitution declares that the President 'shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed." Representative Bob Goodlatte (R-VA), the chairman of the committee, also stated in the press release that it is "apparent that the President's vested interest is not in the protected liberties of the American people, but in the advancement of his own agenda and interests."


What was said at that hearing lived up to the intensity of its press release.

"There is one last thing to which the people can resort to if the government does not respect the restraints the Constitution places on the government," said Michael F. Cannon, the director of health policy studies at the Cato Institute, during the hearing. "Abraham Lincoln talked about our right to alter our government or our revolutionary right to overthrow it, and that is something certainly no one wants to contemplate. But ... if the people come to believe that the government is no longer constrained by the laws, they will conclude that

neither are they. That is why this is a very, very dangerous sort of thing for the President to do, to wantonly ignore the laws and to try and impose obligations on people that the legislature did not approve," he concluded.

That is a very shocking and ominous statement to hear, especially at a House hearing of all places. But what was it about Obama's implementation of his health law that made both Cannon and Goodlatte suggest that the president was becoming more dictatorial?

Well, for one thing, there are multiple provisions within Obamacare which take affect if — and only if — a state establishes its own health insurance exchange. One of these provisions is the employer mandate which is the penalty imposed on employers with more than 50 full-time workers if they do not offer health insurance to their employees. Only 16 states set up their own health insurance exchanges. Therefore, under Obamacare, the 34 states that do not have a state-run exchange are exempt from the employer mandate. Yet Obama is ignoring his own law by enforcing the employer mandate on businesses in those 34 states.



Read that again. Obama is breaking his very own "law of the land" and he's doing it so blatantly that even the mainstream media is reporting on it. "We have a Congress, and we can fact check this with the people who passed the [Obamacare] law," Fox News host Tucker Carlson said to Michael Cannon during a December 7 interview. "They're still there! They're sitting in their seats in that domed building. Where are they when the president is usurping power reserved for the legislative branch?"

"Well, half of Congress likes the outcome here," Cannon answered. "The half of Congress that is controlled by Democrats likes the fact that the President is doing this thing because they think it's going to save Obamacare. But the statute is clear, the legislative history supports the statute, the administration has nothing in the statute or the legislative history that supports its bizarre interpretation of the law or its attempt to really turn this part of the law on its head."

That's because Obama isn't really interpreting the law at all. He's not reading and enforcing the law as passed by Congress. Rather the president is just ruling by decree and if there's a conflict between Obama's will and the actual law, his administration simply manufactures consent from the American people through various propaganda techniques.

During the December 3 hearing, George Washington University law professor Jonathan Turley warned Congress that there are two trends emerging that should be of equal concern to any lawmaker in Washington: "One is that we have had the radical expansion of presidential powers under both President Bush and President Obama," he said. "We have what many once called an imperial presidency model of largely unchecked authority, and with that trend we also have the continued rise of this fourth branch. We have agencies that are now quite large that issue regulations. The Supreme Court said recently that agencies could actually define their own or interpret their own jurisdiction."

The consequences of these trends are massive. If Congress is rendered powerless, there is nothing to stop the president from signing United Nations treaties which completely destroy the Bill of Rights. The administration can then use various federal agencies to enforce

these treaties without any restraint from Congress. Many of our greatest fears, such as gun confiscations and the loss of property rights, could soon be realized. This abuse of power threatens to unravel the very fabric of America.

Unfortunately it has already begun to happen. Back in September, Secretary of State John Kerry signed a U.N. arms trade treaty on behalf of Obama, a treaty which had already been rejected by the Senate. And throughout his presidency, Obama has appointed a number of "czars," high-level officials who operate with little to no congressional oversight.

"The problem with what the president is doing is that he's not simply posing a danger to the constitutional system," Turley also stated. "He's becoming the very danger the Constitution was designed to avoid: the concentration of power in [a] single branch."





Ensuring Continuity of Government during all major emergencies

Current statutory provisions addressing Continuity of Government were primarily written to address the early 1960s Cold War defense era concerns of an enemy attack, Several decades later. Continuity of Government is now recognized as a broader concept applicable to any emergency or disaster, including an earthquake, wild fire or winter storm. Additionally, Continuity of Operations Planning (COOP) is not currently defined or addressed in any state statute - only in Governor directives. COOP is nationally recognized as a critical Continuity of Government component to ensure Washington citizens receive government assistance during emergencies.



Proposed statutory revisions

- Washington Constitution Article II, Section 42 Governmental Continuity During Emergency Periods: Changes/updates made to expand the scope of needing continuity of state and local government beyond periods of emergency resulting from just enemy attack to periods of emergency, disaster or attack. Government must be able to continue to provide essential services beyond an attack from an enemy. This amendment ensures that the constitutional need for governmental continuity $\underline{\text{also applies to}}$ emergency or disasters caused by natural hazards (e.g., tsunami, earthquake, wildland fire, winter storms) and manmade hazards (e.g., terrorism). (Z-0502.1)
- Change 'only enemy attack' to 'any emergency, disaster or attack' in the state's Continuity of Government Act, as well as the Continuity of Government provisions developed for the Office of the Governor, the Legislature, county commissioners and city or town officers. This ensures continuity of government for all domestic natural hazards - like a tsunami, earthquake or flood - as well as man-made hazards, including terrorism. (Z-0503.1)
- Amend the provisions for the governor calling the Legislature into session from 'as soon as practicable or no later than the 30th day after the attack' to 'as soon as practicable.' Additionally, remove provisions that the Legislature convene 'on the 30th day from the attack at the place where the governor has his office' to provide more flexibility to accommodate any scenario. (Z-0503.1)
- Amend the statutory Declaration of Policy and Purpose for emergency management by adding new language expressing the need for the development and exercise of continuity of operations plans by the state. (Z-0503.1)
- Add into statute that state agency directors will be responsible for implementing and conducting a program for interagency coordination of continuity of operations planning by state agencies, boards and commissions. Language should specify that each state agency, board and commission will be responsible for developing an organizational continuity of operations plan that is updated and exercised annually. (Z-0503.1)

Need Information?

Intergovernmental Affairs & Policy Director nancy.bickford@mil.wa.gov, 253-512-7712

Emergency Management Division Planning, Analysis and Logistics Section Manager ufford@mil.wa.gov, 253-512-7052

CREATED AT THE HEIGHT OF THE COLD WAR DURING THE 1960S, THE CONTINUITY OF **GOVERNMENT PROGRAM WAS DESIGNED TO** GIVE THE FEDERAL **GOVERNMENT MARTIAL** LAW POWERS IN THE EVENT OF A **NUCLEAR ATTACK. NOW, STATES HAVE BEGUN FOLLOWING** THE FEDERAL **GOVERNMENT'S LEAD IN GRANTING THEMSELVES MUCH GREATER COG** ABILITIES.

State Moves to Vastly Expand Continuity of Government Powers

document currently circulating through the Washington state government details an attempt to vastly expand Continuity of Government (COG) powers by amending the state's constitution. Exclusively revealed to Storyleak.com, the document entitled "Modernizing State's Continuity of Operation Planning (COOP)" asks state representatives to pass legislation concerning the government's ability to implement COG. Spearheaded by the Washington Military Department and the State Auditor's Office, the document also details the move to add Continuity of Operations planning to state law.

Created at the height of the cold war during the 1960s, the Continuity of Government program was designed to give the federal government martial law powers in the event of a nuclear attack. Now, states have begun following the federal government's lead in granting themselves much greater COG abilities. "Change 'only enemy attack' to 'any emergency, disaster, or attack' in the state's Continuity of Government Act, as well as the Continuity of Government provisions developed for the Office of the Governor, the legislature, county commissioners, and city or town officers," the document states.

The document also goes on to call for erasing the government's requirement of bringing the legislative body together no later than 30 days after a disaster or attack, giving the governor the power to keep COG operations ongoing for undetermined lengths. "Amend the provisions for the governor calling the legislature into session from 'as soon as practicable or no later than the 30th day after

the attack' to 'as soon as practicable," the document reads.

Under revised amendments, each state agency, commission, and board will be directed to begin developing and practicing a yearly continuity of operations plan. "COOP is nationally recognized as a critical Continuity of Government component to ensure Washington citizens receive government assistance during emergencies," the document's first paragraph details. While some feel the expansion of COG operations is a simple update, other laws passed in recent years seems to paint a more focused picture, as historically low government approval ratings coincide with increasingly authoritarian legislation.

President Obama's extension of the National Defense Resources Preparedness executive order last year, which allows the government to completely take over all manufacturing, food, transportation, and water resources in the event of any stated emergency — whether legitimate or not — followed several unprecedented law changes. The 2012 and 2013 National Defense Authorization Act, signed by President Obama, not only declared the entire United States a battlefield in the never-ending war on terror, but granted the U.S. government the ability to kidnap and detain any American citizen without charge or trial indefinitely.


H.R. 347, also known as the "Federal Restricted Buildings and Grounds Improvement Act of 2011," was updated to more easily impose felony charges on citizens who protest at any location or event attended by anyone with secret service protection. Recent revelations, such as the leaked FM 3-39.40 Internment and Resettlement Operations document,

clearly outline the federal government's plan to detain and "re-educate" political activists in military internment camps during times of unrest.

Military drills on the streets of America, which include unannounced machine gun fire from helicopters over busy city streets, have begun fueling debate over the government's plans for the future. With some of the world's leading financial experts predicting the worst economic woes ahead of us, which are admittedly being carried out by design, the update to allow COG implementation over financial disruptions leaves little room for debate.

Now, the same government demanding increasing powers to protect citizens during disasters is stockpiling billions of rounds of ammunition, while spending millions on paper shooting targets of pregnant woman and children. Unsurprisingly, the same government admittedly spying on mainline Americans, now deemed the main terrorist threat, is also unwilling to handle even the slightest disaster.

If Hurricane Katrina is any guide, the federal government's main objective will be confiscating the guns of law-abiding citizens while others desperately wait for food and water. If Americans are lucky like New Yorkers, they will be greeted by "closed due to weather" FEMA signs instead of gun-confiscating soldiers during their next major disaster.



n the North Korean capital of Pyongyang, images of the "great leaders" are encountered frequently in nearly every direction traveled, adorning both the insides and outsides of buildings. Propaganda touting the might of the state floods televisions and radios in restaurants and homes, while photographers and journalists are heavily censored, prohibited from snapping photos or writing articles that could cast a negative light on the state.

It is unsurprising that nearly everything just described can be attributed to the Obama administration. But long before the federal government was rolling out ad blitzes for the disastrous federal healthcare initiative and recruiting American pop culture icons to sell their dysfunctional website, they were busy organizing a doozy of a campaign that would make "Obama" a household name. It is painfully obvious that campaign worked.

It goes without saying Obama is like no president before him.

Besides that no other president has promised so much and done so little, from Obamacare's "you can keep your plan" to government transparency, Gitmo, warrantless domestic spying, etc.; besides that no other president has been as unpopular — a November 2013 Forum Research survey found Rob Ford, Toronto's crack-smoking mayor, was "more popular than Obama;" besides that no other president has single-handedly threatened the Constitution to the point of inciting mass panic (think recent ammo shortage and surging gun sales); besides all of that, no other president has cultivated such a tremendous cult of personality as Barack Obama.

Like none before him, Obama has assumed a pseudo-messianic level, evident in modern works of art depicting him as Jesus — sometimes even nailed to the cross — and a disturbing Youtube video featuring a child literally praying to the Commander in Chief. Several other cringe-inducing videos show children singing songs written in his name, shockingly in public schools. Pro-administration propaganda constantly bombards radio, television, and online realms, while "emergency" text messages disturb the public at the president's whim.

As witnessed throughout history, this form of fervent leader worship is indicative of a full-on dictatorship.

Pop Culture Permeation

The blue and red image of Obama emblazoned with the word "Hope" will forever be ingrained in the public's mind. However, in order to keep the public in a state of perpetual adulation and sell the policies his administration was tasked with implementing, additional gambits would be needed. This would require help from Hollywood.

At the 2012 Soul Train Awards, for instance, actor and musician Jamie Foxx took a brief moment to praise Obama as "our Lord and savior," a remark that evoked an eruption of sycophantic, but boisterous applause. "It's like church in here. First of all, giving honor to God, and our Lord and savior, Barack Obama. Barack Obama! Y'all stand up!" Foxx yelled, willfully ignorant of the president's innumerable transgressions.

In February of last year, another popular figure, comedian Chris Rock, also disappointingly jumped on the Obama worship bandwagon to help push the president's unconstitutional gun laws. "The President of the United States is, you know, our boss. But he is also, you know, the president and the first lady are kinda like the Mom and Dad of the country," Rock said, apparently unaware people have every right to disagree with the president. "And when your Dad says something, you

PASTOR JAMES DAVID MANNING, DECEMBER 6, 2013:

"I THINK THAT WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND OBAMA IN TERMS OF MODERN DICTATORSHIP. GENERALLY WHEN WE THINK OF DICTATORS LIKE HITLER, MUSSOLINI, LENIN, OR OTHERS, WE THINK ABOUT THEM USING THE MILITARY TO DEPLOY THEIR POLICIES AND TO KEEP PEOPLE IN CONTROL. OBAMA HAS FOUND A WAY TO USE ECONOMIC, RACIAL, AND SOCIAL POLICY AS THE MILITARY."

listen. And when you don't, it will usually bite you in the ass later on. So, I'm here to support the president."

Other desperate ploys to ingratiate himself to the masses have involved Obama using network TV shows and even the National Football League to plug his policies, as well as the occasional staging of an awkward photo-op at a local neighborhood tavern, meant to portray him as a brother of the blue-collar worker. The enlistment of Maroon 5 singer Adam Levine, the "sexiest man alive," according to *People Magazine*, to campaign for Obamacare is one of the latest attempts to sell the Obama trademark.

While it can never be known whether the socialites that endorse him are true devotees or whether they merely shill for fear of dwindling bank accounts, at least they have made a choice. Those in the arts and entertainment industries who refuse to take a stand against the administration's rampant and apparent corruption — for fear of losing popularity points, or perhaps for fear of retaliation from a government known for its swift, if not reckless, retribution — should know they are as much accomplices to the continuing evils as those that willingly serve him.

Ruler of Society

Fawning Obama supporters helped spread Obama fervor far and wide. Thus, a new age of political correctness and manufactured racism was born, one in which it would be increasingly difficult to voice legitimate concerns without fear of being labeled a "racist."

As Pastor James Manning declared on the Alex Jones Show, Obama's dictatorial style emerged through economic, racial, and social policy with the aid of a lapdog, unquestioning media.

With Obama as front man, for instance, the IRS was able to escape with impunity when revelations came to light they had targeted Tea Party groups for special tax scrutiny. Instead, only a few resignations resulted from the scandal. With Obama at the helm as official denier, the NSA deftly maneuvered the choppy privacy waters unscathed, free to continue their unconstitutional spy networks wholesale on the American people.

Having Obama as an apologist helped the Department of Health and Human Services stave off criticism over their debacle of a website. In that case, no one was held responsible for the \$292 million website being a total disaster, and the law requiring all citizens purchase insurance or pay a tax still stands. And he "doesn't even have to get to the Constitutional question" over launching an illegal war on Libya. His failed attempt to rally support for a strike on Syria should also give liberals cause for concern.

Obama's ascension to role of social dictator, however, largely escapes the average Obama supporter, who is convinced things will get better if people just give Obama a chance. The mentality of the average Obama supporter was demonstrated perfectly by a woman named Peggy Joseph during Obama's 2008 campaign victory. Peggy was understandably happy that a black man had just become president, but she was also hopelessly delusional. She claimed with Obama as president that she would never have to worry about putting gas

in her car or paying off her mortgage.

Is There Really Any "Hope?"

With the aid of his contrived celebrity status, his bought-and-paid-for friends at the major TV networks, and his trusty teleprompter, Obama has everything he needs in his tyrannical arsenal to freely forge ahead with the policies that will continue to plunge America further toward a New World Order.

But there is hope. Even with nonstop state-sponsored propaganda, Obama struggles to keep his approval ratings afloat. His deplorable "selfie" with the Danish prime minister, inept Obamacare website, inaction on the expanding surveillance state, and penchant for drone-striking innocent people in foreign lands only serve to fuel this consternation.

Luckily, 2014 means only two more years of O, because when a Google Image search yields more than a hundred pictures of people with Obama tattoos, you know something's dreadfully wrong.



The Deadly Deception of American Medical Tyranny

The Rise of Brain-Eating Vaccines

y friends, please pay attention to the information I'm about to cover, and research the topics yourself, so that you understand none of this is my opinion; it is fact.

This issue is the most important issue going on in the world; it is scientific tyranny. I've read literally hundreds of government white papers and documents, as well as books like EcoScience, where the White House science tsar talks about forcibly drugging the water to dumb you down and sterilize you. But now, they're in The New York Times, Time Magazine, and everywhere in all the major medical journals, promoting procedures like drugging the water with lithium, which, by the way, they're already doing, but now they're announcing it, to make you servile. Now, they are admitting that they plan to deploy something that I learned about a decade ago: in hundreds of different vaccines, they are biologically re-engineering live viruses they will inject into your body, and these live viruses then go in and attack your brain.

They claim, "Oh, it's to stop drug addiction." Those same receptor sites that pick up opiates, and nicotine, and alcohol, pick up your normal endorphins. It's part of being a normal human being. Those drugs mimic that, and the viruses attack those receptor sites and kill them, creating dead tissue. It is a vaccine-induced, live-virus lobotomy. They're coming out and saying the American people and the people of the world are sick. Major medical journals are saying, "We need to get every-

body these shots to make them feel better, to stop having anxiety, to stop being angry."

In other words, to take away your instinct for self-preservation, your will to survive; to make you accept all the bad things that are happening, to turn you into a biological android, a type of zombie. I have predicted for years that the globalists who dictate the tyrannical pharmaceutical agenda would push an anti-stress vaccine on the naïve public to destroy their cognitive independence and free will. You cannot make this up. For God's sake, understand how serious this is. This is a global, scientific, corporate takeover of life itself.

The globalists aren't just re-engineering corn and wheat and every other major crop by engineering sterilants into them. Suddenly, all over the news, they're promoting lithium and Prozac and other drugs in the water. The head bio-ethicists at Cambridge — they're the people who advise and set medical policy for doctors — are announcing they want to force drug you, and they're calling it "cognitive enhancement." I've got a CBS news piece where they say that mercury helps your intelligence, when everyone knows it causes brain damage.

So when they say "cognitive enhancement," they mean "cognitive brain damage." They mean taking your essence, literally your psyche — who you are — away. They sit up there saying, "Well, if we put Prozac in the water, it'll cut suicide." When it's admitted that Prozac, on its insert, can cause suicide.


I have mainstream news articles that have just come in England, where people have a ten-fold increase in convulsions and develop epilepsy after the flu shot. I talked to top scientists who studied the flu shot and found bizarre viral RNA in it, which deals with the brain. I've had top brain surgeons on, like Dr. Russell Blaylock, to talk about this and the government documents that he's read about how this is part of a eugenics population control program.

In the London *Telegraph*, "Flu Jab Linked to Fits in Under-5s." It goes on to say that they've been told not to give children, 110,000 of them in England under five, the shot, because they had convulsions at ten times the regular rate.

Lindsay Lohan isn't what matters. Mel Gibson isn't what matters. The Depression doesn't even matter. War with Iran is not even that important compared to a medical dictatorship. Under the National Health Service in England, and now National Health Care in the U.S., they're slashing regular healthcare, like cancer surgery or having a broken leg fixed, and converting it over to psychiatry and this biological warfare, bio-psychiatry.

They say, "Don't worry about drugs any more for your depression. We're going to give you a shot that alters your brain by viruses literally attacking your brain." They're rolling it out in public trials, over 100 of these vaccines, right now, and they're clearly spiking other vaccines with it. If you love your children, if you love your family, you've got to realize this is a creeping, scientific, incremental, soft-kill system.

Let's go over some over these articles. "Jab That Could Put a Stop to Stress Without Slow-



ing Us Down" from *The Daily Mail*. It says, they're going to make humans better. They've re-engineered potatoes and corn, why not just re-engineer humans? They quote all these major medical journals saying how great it is. They say this could change society, the professor said. And they have a government-funded Stanford team, with these neuro-protective viruses. This particular vaccine, one of hundreds, is a live herpes virus, engineered to only eat certain, key brain tissue.

They're not asking you; they're just rolling it out. This isn't like some drug that they give people for a decade, that causes hundreds of thousands of women to have deformed children. This isn't some drug that just makes one person have a heart attack, like all these other recalled drugs. These aren't even drugs. These are genetically-engineered, nanotech viruses that go in and turn you into a servile, biological android.

Do you understand? The vaccines that they're rolling out are live-virus, bio-weapons that re-engineer your brain. You've seen the reports where all over the world — Asia, Africa, Latin America, Central Asia — they give children UN-sanctioned polio shots, and the children come down with weaponized polio

and die. The government admits, "Oh, it was an accident with that vaccine."

People are now waking up to the fact that the vaccines are causing convulsions, brain damage, and cancer. So what's their answer? They're coming out and saying, "Don't worry, instead of a shot, have a banana." They're coming out in articles like Xinhua's "Nobel Laureate Scientist Defines Future Vaccines as Food." They're talking about growing pharmacological crops that grow the love virus, whether it gives you cancer, or gives you polio, or literally sends viruses in to eat parts of your brain; it's all being done.

Bill Gates is even coming out with a biologically-engineered mosquito, to forcibly deliver vaccines to you. He describes it himself at a TED Talk in 2009: "There's new drug discovery going on. Our Foundation has backed a vaccine that's going into Phase 3 trial, that starts in a couple of months. And that should save over two-thirds of the lives if it's effective."


Most sickeningly, over and over again, they claim, "Oh, it's to make you not depressed any more. All of you have problems. You shouldn't be upset about things." Well we're meant to get upset at a biological level and say no to this tyranny. And so, they're coming out,

saying, "Oh, we're going to re-engineer your brain with cognitive enhancements. We're going to help you. The mercury is helping your brain."

On newscasts all over the country, "Oh, the convulsions are helping you." Oh, lithium, a toxic metal that they want to put in the water, "It's helping you." "You're going to feel much better after all of this is done."

They're not coming for us; they're already here. We're in danger. Many of you have a child who seems to be dumbed-down and isn't as smart as anybody else in your family and something seems to be wrong with them. It's the vaccines. It's the GMO food. Many of you had an 18-month-old baby who was healthy and happy. They take that third round of shots; they have a convulsion that night, and they never talk again. They're turning you into servile minions, who will do whatever you're told, and who can't get angry, and can't resist.

Why do they want lithium in the water? They admit, to make you submit. What does sodium fluoride in all the studies do? Make you submit. And what do these vaccines do? They make you passive. They make you unable to get angry. Anger is a propulsion system to make you stand up for your species.



"THE NEW WORLD ORDER, THAT IS IN THE MAKING, MUST FOCUS ON THE CREATION OF A WORLD OF DEMOCRACY, PEACE AND PROSPERITY FOR ALL." -NELSON MANDELA, IN THE PHILADELPHIA INQUIRER, OCTOBER 1994.

This is a hostile, corporate takeover of the very genetic code, of not just the plants and animals, but humanity, itself. It is the most diabolical, covert operation ever seen.

Now these people are out in the open. It's admitted. Do you understand? It's admitted. They want to make these shots mandatory in public schools to make you servile, saying "Oh, but you don't want to become addicted to drugs." What do you think drugs mimic? They mimic natural chemicals in the brain that stir creativity, and anger, and love, and everything else. It's those key receptors.

They're literally going for the soul of man that is in the beautiful, detailed, complex computer that is the human mind. This is a hack against the human mind, a hack against the human soul, and we must stand up and resist it. They realize there's a world-wide revolt against this technocracy, against dehumanization. You need to become educated on these facts and join the resistance against this scientific dictatorship. Now is the time to realize that history is taking place, right now, that we're living in the 21st Century, and a scientific tyranny has taken over.

If we are successful in defeating these people, historians will look back on this time as

the greatest covert genocide in history. They will look back on it as the ultimate example of pure evil and corruption, this creeping death.

Now these enemies come out and talk in their simpering, lisping tones, really softly, to coax you and to advertise all this death and dehumanization, as if it's good. Bioethicist Jacob Appel wants us to believe "In areas where lithium, in trace amounts, is in the drinking water, there seems to be a lower level of suicidality, and, in the Texas counties that were studied, there's actually a lower crime rate ... The theory is that very low or trace amounts of lithium enhance connectivity between neurons. And doing it over the course of a lifetime, a lifetime exposure, makes the brain more healthy."


Now I want to talk to the social engineers, whose religion is a control-freak system of dehumanization. You are like cancer. You're racing through the body politic; you think you're invincible, and you may kill the host. You may destroy our species, but not without a fight. You are disgusting, wicked people, who love ruling over others. Everything you do creates death and destruction in it's path.

You sold Prozac as a way to stop depression, when in your own trials you had massively-increased suicide. Now you're telling us how

great lithium, a toxic metal, an anti-psychotic, is going to be put in the water, mandatorily. We've got the studies; it's already in most of the water supplies. We know you're adding it.

You are sickening, disgusting filth, and we're going to rally the world population against you. We're going to bring you to justice. I pray God that humanity makes it through what you've attempted to do. How you've saddled up next to us like a spider in the dark and tried to spin your webs of control around us while we were asleep, but the sleeping giant that is humanity is waking up to who you are and what you are. I pray to the Creator of the Universe, and I beg for just the average person out there to research just who you are and how you're involved in a scorched-earth takeover of society to secure your ill-gotten gains.

And I want you to know that I'm going to do everything I can to rally free humanity against you. We are going to defeat you. But whether we win or not, whether you lose or fail, at least we were real humans. We were good, decent people who saw the truth and we stood up against you. No matter what happens in this, you are still degenerate, dehumanizing filth. You are aberrant, malfunctioning trash. You look at everything good and wholesome



and tell us that we are malfunctioning, so I pray that you be defeated.

Either way, in this time and space, on this planet, good people stood up against what you are and did everything they could to help the innocents. When I look at all the children whom you've brain-damaged and all the children dying of cancer, and I look at all the things that you've done to humanity, it makes me want to vomit.

You are doing this because this is only the next wave, to first neutralize us, so we're calm and sedate and can't resist, so you can roll in with the hard-kill weapons. I know that. And I want to tell you something else. A lot of you who think that you're part of the winning team, you're going to find out that your masters hate you even more than they hate free humanity, because they know that you know where the bodies are buried.

They know that you're a bunch of wicked control freaks whom they need to control first, once they take over, or you'll start trying to

overthrown them. They understand your nature. They use their propaganda to manipulate good men throughout history to serve their evil aims. So understand this, you're going to fail one way or another. You've decided to put your soul on the side of Darkness for all eternity, for what you're doing to humanity, butchering our DNA and literally mutating the human spirit in your control-freak lust for power.

And so all of you, one way or another, will fail. All that matters is, in this time and space, I stood up for what's right. I saw you clearly for what you are. I know in my heart there's a very good chance we're going to beat you, but that's up to all of the people out there reading this. Do they have the courage to face the facts? Do they have the courage to take action?

For further information visit:

 $http://www.youtube.com/watch?v\!=\!Cm3PYZ0N7Dg$

http://www.infowars.com/food-the-ultimate-secret-exposed/

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-1299540/Jab-stop-stress-slowing-down.html

http://www.infowars.com/new-york-times-and-other-media-pushing-for-drugging-water-supply/

http://www.prisonplanet.com/establishment-media-pushes-brain-eating-vaccines.html

http://www.prisonplanet.com/new-study-shows-vaccinescause-brain-changes-found-in-autism.html

http://www.infowars.com/oxford-bioethics-prof-medicated-water-of-future-would-have-fluoride-cognitive-enhancers/



5 OF ALEX'S MOST INFLUENTIAL AND POWERFUL FILMS:

- · FALL OF THE REPUBLIC: THE PRESIDENCY OF BARACK H. OBAMA
- · THE OBAMA DECEPTION
- · POLICE STATE 4: THE RISE OF FEMA
- · ENDGAME: BLUEPRINT FOR GLOBAL ENSLAVEMENT
- TERRORSTORM (SECOND EDITION): A HISTORY OF GOVERNMENT SPONSORED TERRORISM





DOES YOUR FOOD LOOK HAPPY?



YES? THEN IT'S PROBABLY GMO FREE.



Non-GMO heirioom seeds are a great way to keep you and your family healthy and safe from added toxins that modify the foods you eat. GMOs are in almost all of the foods we have to choose from today. Growing your own GMO-free food will give you the peace of mind that you are eating the best quality fruits and vegetables available today. Find your non-GMO heirloom seeds online at the Infowars Seed Center.

«INFOWARSSTORE.COM»1.888.253.3139



lex Jones: Ladies and gentlemen, you can undoubtedly say, worldwide, one man above all others stands for the individual and anti-forced collectivism, and that is former congressman, medical doctor, air force veteran, Dr. Ron Paul.

I intended to ask him about Syria, and the victory we had in backing off an expansion of war, and the Russians threatening nuclear war over it. I said "Sir, what would you like to cover first?" And he said Syria. So we're on the same page.

Ron Paul: Well, I hope it's good news. So for so good. The people spoke out because they've been listening to programs like yours and a loof other ones. And the people spoke out where the president decided that he would come to the congress and get permission to start bomb

Then we're going to get into the attack on the real Tea Party that he helped found a decade ago. His movement grew into the proto-tea party about eight years ago in his first run for president. It was his second official run, but the first modern run.

Ronpaulchannel.com has a lot of amaz-

lex Jones: Ladies and gentlemen, you can undoubtedly say, worldwide, one man above all others stands for the individual and anti-forced collectivism.

ing guests. I'm very excited to tell folks about the that. More liberty media to displace the dying dinosaur media. We're going to talk about the future of the controlled media and the attack on the internet as well.

First, sir, you said you want to talk about Syria. So please give us, Dr. Paul, your take on that.

Ron Paul: Well, I hope it's good news. So far, so good. The people spoke out because they've been listening to programs like yours and a lot of other ones. And the people spoke out when the president decided that he would come to the congress and get permission to start bombing Syria. The American people evidently said, "We've had enough wars. We don't want it, and we're not going to let our congressmen vote for it," so he knew he couldn't win the votes. He backed off, so they had to back off on the bombings. I think this is a victory.

Does this mean that he has changed his tune?

Probably not. He's still very much involved. He's put out an open hand to the Iranians. I think that is good. Although I am not certain about where he's coming from finally. When there's a chance to at least say, "Well, he's doing the right thing," we shouldn't say "Well, we don't want him to do that." I want a different type of foreign policy, and, so far, I think we're seeing it.

You know, [December 12] there was something in the news I thought was important, and it's related to this. That is, the American government now has said, "We're going to cut off nonlethal help and aide to the Syrian rebels." Low and behold. I mean, can you imagine how long this has been going on? A long time before we even knew about our involvement. You know the CIA's been involved there a long time. To cut off nonlethal aide is good, but why did we send it in the first

"SO FAR, SO GOOD. THE PEOPLE SPOKE OUT BECAUSE THEY'VE BEEN LISTENING TO PROGRAMS LIKE YOURS AND A LOT OF OTHER ONES." -RON PAUL

place, and does that mean they're still going to send lethal aide? He assures us they're going to continue to send humanitarian aid, which is nothing more than a weapon for these factions.

So he's moving the in right direction, but we need to move right a lot faster. My position has been that we just shouldn't be involved at all. The whole pretense is that we're helping the good guys over there, and yet the weapons end up in the hands of the al-Qaeda — at least they're recognizing that. Not only did he back off on the bombing, he's recognizing some of us who said, "don't send the weapons, they'll end up in the hands of the enemy." He's recognizing this, and the president has backed off on sending some of this equipment, but let's keep the pressure on him to back off on sending anything to an area like this, because it cannot be of any benefit to us whatsoever.

Alex Jones: Well, it's very exciting, Dr. Paul, and I want to get your take on this because it ties into the media. that they said, "We've got to go to war. It's going to happen." They launched the Sarin gas attack — AKA the Syrian government Assad — and now Seymour Hersh just came out with documents that it was really the Syrian opposition, backed by the west. We broke that first, with World Net Daily and others. Drudge Report carried our articles. Of course, we got attacked for it, and your son got attacked valiantly in the senate for daring to point out that we're funding al-Qaeda.

But over night now, it's admitted, and suddenly we don't ever hear about Syria in the news anymore. From imminent, "We've got to have war" to "Let's not talk about it, and we've stopped this criminal escalation." To me, we're seeing this now on so many fronts — Obamacare, you name it — their wave has been stopped politically. I've seen this historically where everything they wanted they got,

just by weight of inertia. Now it looks like we've stopped them and are beginning to turn the tide. Do you concur, or do you want to add anything with your deep historical perspective?

Ron Paul: Well, I concur, and I'm excited about it. I'm very pleased, and I praise the direction that we're going. I give the credit to a lot of people who put pressure on their members of the congress, because that's the way the system actually works.

I know that a lot of people get frustrated. "Oh, one person can't do any good. My member of Congress never listens." But when enough people send a message, they will listen, because they're political animals. I see this as being very, very positive, but I'm not convinced that, even though the Pew poll showed that now we have 54 percent of the American people the first time in 40 years saying that we should not be involved in this kind of activity, the American people are quite with us. but they're so much better than they were.

Some of them are just saying "We're running out of money. Let's spend all this money on welfare here at home," but the first step of just stopping this overseas is a big, big help. Therefore, I'm cautiously optimistic.

Everybody knows I spend a lot of time on college campuses. Believe me, reaching young people is important, and the young people do not want to have another war to inherit. They have enough problems and enough things that they have to face that they've been given by a previous generation, including the debt and the mess in medical care and all the military intervention. So one thing for sure, the message I hear in college campuses is we don't need another war. That's good.

Alex Jones: Now, looking at targeting young people, we're targeting to take the blinders off. Obama's targeting them with the Obamacare to dumb them down, which I believe was designed to fail — they now admit


that — to bankrupt the old system and bring in single payer. Now Collin Powell is getting behind that. John Boehner, the speaker of the house, is coming out saying it's ridiculous that Tea Party Republicans, led by Ted Cruz and your son, senator Rand Paul, would not want to have a bigger budget when they boosted \$60 billion, but at \$20 billion, it's rearranging deck chairs on the Titanic.

Dr. Paul, I see the Republican and Democratic leadership with Carl Rove and others really trying to destroy a true libertarian movement in the Republican Party that you've helped forge with the groups that you've set up, the Campaign for Liberty and others. We're trying to save this country. What do we do to get on the offense, to once and for all remove these RINO statists out of the Republican Party? Because they admit they're scared that we could take over.

Ron Paul: Well, I think we're making progress, because the alignment has changed. When these budget agreements come up, it's leadership of both parties that get together, but the grass roots on both sides frequently get together. That's what happened on the bombing. The leadership, republican and democrat, wanted to give permission to the president to bomb Syria, but the people went out and reached the individual congressmen.

That's exactly what happened when we got our "Audit the Fed" bill passed on two occasions in the house. It wasn't because I had any legislative clout, in that sense I didn't have any ability to put leverage on anybody, but we had every republican vote for it, and we had a lot more democrats vote for it too. This comes from a coalition of the people.

I think the most the important thing is changing people's attitudes. Hopefully, issues like war, issues of NSA spying, issues of the fiat transcend some of this party stuff. I love the issues where you can reach both elements,



where we can come together and change policy. But then there's this power struggle that is so disruptive to the whole system in Washington. Even though they do compromise on the big issues, there's a big fight on who gets to pull the strings and write the checks.

Alex Jones: I agree with you. I would be a Democrat if they had Ron Pauls and Rand Pauls and Ted Cruzes in it. I would love to take over the Democratic Party with American free market ideas. Separately, as you know, they're scapegoating capitalism for the problems we're in when it's purely crony capitalism.

How do you see us winning that fight, Dr. Paul, for the hearts and minds as things collapse into collectivism that you've always predicted. You have said many times that the crisis is they'll offer more collectivism as the answer. How do we reverse this QE unlimited and what's happening with the economy? How do we explain to people that it's insider groups doing this and not the free market?

Ron Paul: There's no easy way. We have to keep plugging away, getting information out there. That's your business, and that's been my goal. If you change the prevailing attitudes of the people, you will change the attitudes of people in Congress.

There's been a couple things going on here in these last five years. I especially noticed it during the presidential campaigns that I was involved in. Communications are different now. Your communications are not average.

You're not Fox News. You communicate with a lot of people, but differently. We have the internet, we have independent radio programming. That vehicle is available to us like never before.

But also, the evident failure of the system is so clear. We look at it and say, "Yeah, the foreign policy in the Middle East is a disaster. Let's slow it down," so this information has gotten out. We can use that to our benefit. I think it's going to be the failure of the system. But I think the question that you might be raising here is, "Yes, we know it isn't working, and we know things are going to come down, but what will the results be?"

That's still up for grabs. Although, we can look at some areas that are very positive. We can be optimistic. But that is the bottom line: who gets blamed. Will it be capitalism in the good sense, or will it be crony capitalism that gets the blame.

We have these Wall Street demonstrators, but it should be shown that they're against crony capitalism, not free capitalism. It's an educational effort, and I think the opportunity is there, the opportunity to spread a message, and at the same time, the failure of the system is going to play into our hands, because there has to be a need. There has to be a replacement, because if we allow a vacuum to come with the failure of the economic system, believe me, we're going to end up with a worse situation. Then we will have dictatorship. That's why empires and dictatorships depend

on lies. Just look at the lies that we've had to put up with in the last several decades. They get worse and worse. At the same time, we have more whistle blowers than ever before.


Alex Jones: That's right.

Ron Paul: That, to me, is a good trend.

Alex Jones: Let me throw this out at you then, since you mentioned dictators. They're finally having congressional hearings, as I'm sure you know as a news hound. With Jonathan Turley on the left and the Cato Institute people on the right saying Obama has pretty much become a dictator. It doesn't mean he's physically a dictator who will stay in office, but they're making the office dictatorial on immigration, on Obamacare, on power plans.

What do we do about repudiating Obama? Because if we just let him sail off into the sunset and don't impeach him or don't repudiate him, it sets that precedent that you just mentioned. What do we do about dictatorship? Then separately, give me your take on Obamacare.

Ron Paul: Well, Obamacare, we can dismiss that rather easily as a total disaster. The medical care that you will get is no better than the computers that he has been working with. The dictatorship is a very real thing, but I don't see it as limited to Obama. Because when you look at what's happened — what has happened with the attack on civil liberties, the spying, and the covert war — there is both Republican and Democrat. What about



the National Defense Authorization Act? That was under another administration.

And we have this idea of assassinations — this too often is bipartisan. If you want to tag it on and show what's happening with Obama, that is fine, but I think we're going to accept that dictatorship is elective. People say "Well, he's going to announce for a third term and he's going to be a dictator." I don't think that's going to happen. I think it would shake the American people, finally, and I think it would serve our interests, but that doesn't mean that we will have a less dictatorial government. That's what I'm afraid of.

Alex Jones: I totally agree. We now have a kind of dictatorial bureaucracy and a system that has just set the precedent to get away with whatever they want. So let me ask you this: how do we reverse that? Give me your take on where you think Obamacare is going now.

Ron Paul: Well, I think Obamacare probably is going to limp into existence, and the election next year will show that it wasn't very popular. They'll probably back off a little bit. But even if the Republicans have more control next year, they're not going to get rid of the whole thing, and they're not going to take a principled stand of questioning why should the government be involved at all.

So, as bad as it is now, and as bad as Obama's trying to make it, I think that not a whole lot is going to happen for the long term future until after the next election. Then I think, hopefully, they'll at least allow individuals to opt out of the system and say, "All I want is to be able to take care of myself" — a big request in a free society. I think that it doesn't look good on the long run, but at least maybe it will get improved a little bit after next year's election.

Alex Jones: Rand Paul's bill to make Congress, the House and Senate, live under the same laws they pass for us is big. For me, that's major discrimination that they're exempt. I know you pushed against that. And, speaking of that, Former Reagan advisor David Stockman, a top economist, told Bloomberg earlier in the week that every market valuation has lost every anchor to the real world — that sounds like Ron Paul talking. A lot of economist say the big event has to happen by the middle of next year with all this QE unlimited and the bubble bursting. What do you think is happening in the real economy with all this fiat? And then, what's the word on your son running for president?

Ron Paul: Well, you know, I think in the real world the economy is a lot worse than people think. Of course, the people who are suffering, they know darn well. That's the bottom one third, at least. If you look at the workforce, only 61 percent of the workforce are employed, so more than a third of the people are essentially unemployed. They are having a terrible time, and yet, stock markets go up. You look at Wall Street and there's

more wealth than before in certain groups.

It's a bipolar world. It's the very rich and the very poor, and that is going to continue. It's a predictable event by Austrian economics that this would happen and the middle class would be destroyed, but that's going to lead to a lot of political problems as well. Economic problems lead to political problem. The whole thing is who's going to get blamed for it. Will freedom and the free market be blamed, or will it be too much government? Will it be Obama hype, Obamacare, big government, and big government spying? Are they going to get the blame? That's still our job. We have a lot of work to do.

Alex Jones: Now, obviously, you"e going to let him answer this, but, undoubtedly, if we show support, I think your son is, hands down, the best candidate. I'd like to see a Rand Paul run for president and a Ted Cruz run for Vice President, or I guess you could have a father-son team up. You for president, Rand for Vice President. Certainly, as a father, you can't speak for your son, but, as a father, could you ever have imagined that your son could end up, not just being president, but being a libertarian president to restore the republic?

Ron Paul: Well, it takes some adjustment to think about it, but he's in a position now. I was toiling in the fields for a lot of years and a lot of decades. I made some progress, but I think he's in a much better position now — being in the senate makes a difference. I



think the freedom movement is much further along. I think the failure of our economic and foreign policy system is in shambles. So, he's in a much better position now to play an instrumental role in whatever he does. Whether it's in the senate or how well he does in the presidential election. Most people are assuming that's probably what he'll do. I'm sure he hasn't made a final decision. Even though he hasn't discouraged the discussion about whether or not he might run.

Alex Jones: Well, he has just really made us all proud. I'm so glad I backed him. He's turned out even better than I imagined. It's hard to be a total clone off the old block, but I tell you, he really is a statesman. God bless you, Dr. Paul. I look forward to speaking to you again soon.

Ron Paul: Very good, talk to you soon. **Alex Jones:** Wow. There goes former congressman Ron Paul. That is very exciting. I tell you, collectivists everywhere hate that person, hate that man that you just heard on air. I could get Rand Paul on the show, but he goes through a lot of flack with the Republicans and the establishment. Last time he was on, he called up and said "Hey, I want to come on your show. I haven't talked to you in probably six months."

I need to call him up privately and talk to him though, because it's good to have controversy. I think it would probably be good for him to come on and have MSNBC attack him for coming on here: "You go on that crazy person's show?" "Yeah, the guy that said the NSA was spying on us 15 years ago or the guy that said they were preparing for war with the American people."

I mean, yeah, I act crazy a lot folks because I'm an angry real person. I think we should be acting crazy about being raped, having our liberties pillaged, being financially abused, having a bunch of Marxists and communists and socialists and fascists running rampant all over the place. I'm upset.

We've got our calm, stately Ron Paul. We've got statesmen like Ted Cruise and Rand Paul, but we need some firebrands. Believe me, this isn't an act. I am like this, but I fit in. And regardless of what color you are, how old you are, where you're from, male or female, Catholic, Christian, whatever you are, Protestant, Muslim, Jewish, it doesn't matter. Can't we all just agree on justice and liberty and try to restore the republic?





Government Criminalizes Church Groups Feeding Homeless

WRITTEN BY: **ANTHONY GUCCIARDI**

isturbingly, the government has attacked and begun an overall assault on church groups that are going out and trying to feed the homeless, selflessly giving sometimes up to 5,000 or 10,000 meals a month, sometimes more.

Specifically, this all started back on November 29 when we broke the news on Storyleak.com and Infowars.com, which was highly syndicated out, that there was a church group going out for Thanksgiving to try to feed homeless individuals — men and women — with Thanksgiving meals that they normally would not be able to get or afford. The park ranger came over and told them that they needed to leave and that they were not

allowed to feed the homeless.

It was, we thought, an isolated incident. We thought it was showing the decline of society, the insanity of the police and how they believe their power is to be used to assert over church groups feeding the homeless. But unfortunately, I'm sad to say, we have now been flooded with emails and were contacted on the story by an exclusive new source.

On December 5, Mikael Thalen, a writer for Storyleak.com, contacted me. Another church group that feeds 5,000 individuals a month has been shut down, and the government is in the process of issuing an ordinance just to make them stop feeding these homeless individuals. This is in Olympia, Washington. The other case was in Palm Beach County.

The government and the police in this area in Olympia, Washington, are saying that there are so many homeless men and women that are getting fed for free by this church group called Crazy Faith that they're blocking traffic in some instances because there's a line, and they sometimes may get near the road. That's their reason for shutting them down and saying you're not allowed to feed the homeless. You're not allowed to use government property to do anything. You're not even allowed to go out on your own terms and feed homeless people any more, because you could mess with traffic.

It's just like in Dallas when they told us we can't hold a sign near a road, any road that's somewhat busy — that's the entirety of



Dallas. These ordinances are truly insane. It gets worse. We thought okay, that's another incident. Now, we're getting emails from all of these church groups saying the same thing is happening. It's either the park rangers or the police. They're all shutting us down, saying we can't feed the homeless any more.

This is absolute insanity. This affects me personally. I always go out, and I try to give homeless people on individual levels some food here and there when I actually find them. The key thing is to not give money to these homeless individuals. I'd say the good majority of them understand what's going on. They actually are down on their luck. But, you ask any expert on the subject they always say you ought to give them food, not money,

because some of them might have drug issues. So, that's what we're doing.

The police now are saying don't give them food. Don't give them anything. If you're going to give them something you can give them a gift of money or a donation, but don't do that anywhere where we can see it, because you're ruining traffic. This is ludicrous.

I am doing my best right now to push this into a national headline issue. I believe that we can come together and wake people up, because the reality is I'd say 99 percent of the country understands this is ludicrous. 99 percent of the country understands that targeting church groups for feeding homeless people is not the activity that the United States government should be pursuing.

I encourage you to share this information. Share the articles and share the news. We need to make this a national issue. Church groups are now being targeted along with other nonprofits. The emails are rolling in. The reports are rolling in. The exclusive comments and everything, they're all coming in. This is a purge during the holiday season against feeding the homeless.

I'm encouraging you to share this article and get the word out, because if we can't put a stop to it now it will only grow and become even more insane.



HOPELESS



"The argument that the two parties should represent opposed ideals and policies, one, perhaps, of the Right and the other of the Left, is a foolish idea acceptable only to doctrinaire and academic thinkers. Instead, the two parties should be almost identical, so that the American people can 'throw the rascals out' at any election without leading to any profound or extensive shifts in policy."

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR CARROLL QUIGLEY, TRAGEDY AND HOPE



"The Trilateralist Commission is international...(and)...is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States. The Trilateralist Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power – political, monetary, intellectual, and ecclesiastical."

BARRY GOLDWATER



"We are grateful to The Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine, and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost 40 years ... It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supernational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national autodetermination practiced in past centuries."

IDAVID ROCKEFELLER, MEMOIRS

WRITTEN BY: ALEX JONES & PAUL JOSEPH WATSON

Brand Obama: Totalitarianism 2.0

America has been seized by an executive dictatorship

istory has witnessed almost every kind of dictatorship imaginable, but what Americans are facing in the 21st century is a form of totalitarianism so pernicious that it is able to camouflage its unified agenda behind a veil of contrived partisan politics that tricks people into believing they have choice and freedom, when in reality the entire country and the office of president has been seized by a cabal of corporate looters.

This cabal manufactured Brand Obama just as they will manufacture future presidents as mere figureheads for Totalitarianism 2.0 — a new form of tyranny which places large corporations and military-industrial complex titans above the law with diplomatic immunity, while exploiting the office of president to achieve a fusion of corporate and state dictatorship via executive fiat.

"The argument that the two parties should represent opposed ideals and policies, one, perhaps, of the Right and the other of the Left, is a foolish idea acceptable only to doctrinaire and academic thinkers. Instead, the two parties should be almost identical, so that the American people can 'throw the rascals out' at any election without leading to any profound or extensive shifts in policy." Georgetown University Professor Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope.

The office of president is now merely a rubber stamp for the machinations of a global elite that rule the world through secret societies, private organizations, think tanks, and huge transnational corporations, all of which combine to form the "military-industrial complex" and the "technological elite" that Eisenhower warned Americans about in his farewell speech over 50 years ago, the same special interests Barry Goldwater outed during his presidential campaign.

"The Trilateralist Commission is international...(and)...is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing

control of the political government of the United States. The Trilateralist Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power – political, monetary, intellectual, and ecclesiastical." Barry Goldwater.

These special interests could not have successfully consolidated executive power without the aid of the mainstream media in covering it all up, keeping Americans distracted, deluded and decadent, as arch-elitists like David Rockefeller set about their business.

"We are grateful to *The Washington Post*, The *New York Times*, *Time Magazine*, and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost 40 years ... It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supernational sovereignty





"For more than a century ideological extremists at either end of the political spectrum have seized upon well-publicized incidents such as my encounter with Castro to attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate influence they claim we wield over American political and economic institutions. Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure — one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it."

I DAVID ROCKEFELLER, MEMOIRS



"The great concern I have for this body [Congress] is that it is not only being circumvented, but it is also being denied the ability to enforce its inherent powers ... [T]he president is outside the line, but it has to go before a court, and a court has to grant review, and that's where we have the most serious constitutional crisis I have viewed in my lifetime. And that is, this body is becoming less and less relevant."

GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY LAW PROFESSOR JONATHAN TURLEY



"If the people come to believe that the government is no longer constrained by the laws, then they will conclude that neither are they."

MICHAEL CANNON, CATO INSTITUTE



of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national autodetermination practiced in past centuries." David Rockefeller.

Rockefeller himself even bragged that he was "guilty" and "proud" of being part of "a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States" in his own book.

"For more than a century ideological extremists at either end of the political spectrum have seized upon well-publicized incidents such as my encounter with Castro to attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate influence they claim we wield over American political and economic institutions. Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure — one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it." David Rockefeller, Memoirs.

Easily the most impressive marketing

campaign in the history of mankind, enthusiastically sold by the corporate media which Rockefeller thanked, was the manufacturing of Brand Obama. While Obama, a constitutional law scholar, vowed to reverse the constitutional train wreck that was the Bush administration and restore America's global image, the cabal who put him in office planned to use that cloak of empty promises to achieve the exact opposite.

As Jon Pilger warned shortly after Obama was elected, "President Obama does one thing, and brand Obama gets you to believe another. This is the essence of successful advertising. You buy or do what the advertiser wants because of how they make you feel ... and so you are kept in a perpetual state of childishness."

This "perpetual state of childishness" still dominates many on the political left nearly five years after Obama took office. Millions of Americans remain under the delusion that Obama is fighting against an obstructionist Congress as he tries in vain to fix the country. In reality, the media has invented the myth

"THE ARGUMENT THAT THE TWO PARTIES SHOULD REPRESENT OPPOSED IDEALS AND POLICIES, ONE, PERHAPS, OF THE RIGHT AND THE OTHER OF THE LEFT, IS A FOOLISH IDEA ACCEPTABLE ONLY TO **DOCTRINAIRE AND ACADEMIC** THINKERS. INSTEAD, THE TWO PARTIES SHOULD BE ALMOST IDENTICAL, SO THAT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE CAN 'THROW THE RASCALS OUT' AT ANY ELECTION WITHOUT LEADING TO ANY PROFOUND OR EXTENSIVE SHIFTS IN **POLICY."** -GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR CARROLL QUIGLEY, TRADGEDY AND HOPE





"Congress had a chance to provide a path to citizenship for children and they refused to do so. So Congress refused to act and the president substitutes his judgment for Congress' and does by executive fiat what the legislative branch refused to do."

REPRESENTATIVE TREY GOWDY



"This is precisely the kind of egregious distortion of justice that Americans have always ridiculed in so many dictatorships overseas. A great man named Solzhenitsyn became the hero of so many of us when he exposed the Soviet Union's extensive gulag system. Is this really the kind of United States we want to create in the name of fighting terrorism?"

CONGRESSMAN RON PAUL



"The danger is quite severe. The problem with what the president is doing is that he's not simply posing a danger to the constitutional system. He's becoming the very danger the Constitution was designed to avoid. That is the concentration of power in [a] single branch."

GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY LAW PROFESSOR JONATHAN TURLEY



of an obstructionist Congress in an effort to justify and legitimize Obama's dictatorial crusade against the Constitution as he continues to enrich the executive branch with unbridled power.

Examples of how totalitarianism 2.0 has been expanded under Obama are innumerable.

- 1) After failing to pass gun control measures via Congress, Obama has vowed to savage the Second Amendment through executive orders as part of an end run around the right to keep and bear arms. This agenda stems from a March 2011 White House meeting when Obama promised to launch a sneak attack against the Second Amendment "under the radar."
- 2) The Obama administration, as part of its pursuit to "bankrupt" the coal industry, has used the EPA to shut down coal-fired plants across the country, driving up prices for consumers and increasing America's dependence on foreign oil. This assault on the free

market has been achieved via arbitrary and extra-legal "regulations" invented by the EPA in the name of halting global warming, which is a completely fraudulent hoax. However, Obama has provided his corporate backers like General Electric with waivers so that they **4)** After Congress rejected the DREAM Act, are exempt from the regulations.

"The great concern I have for this body [Congress] is that it is not only being circumvented, but it is also being denied the ability to enforce its inherent powers ... [T]he president is outside the line, but it has to go before dented level" of executive fiat. a court, and a court has to grant review, and that's where we have the most serious constitutional crisis I have viewed in my lifetime. And that is, this body is becoming less and less relevant." George Washington University law professor Jonathan Turley.

3) After passing the Affordable Care Act, Obama moved to selectively enforce provisions of the law completely outside the purview of Congress, a totally unconstitutional move that sets the precedent of rendering Congress virtually irrelevant.

"If the people come to believe that the government is no longer constrained by the laws then they will conclude that neither are they." Michael Cannon, Cato Institute.

Obama moved to allow illegal immigrants brought into the United States by their parents to be exempt from law enforcement actions, a power grab characterized by Representative Trey Gowdy (R-S.C.) as an "unprece-

"Congress had a chance to provide a path to citizenship for children and they refused to do so. So Congress refused to act and the president substitutes his judgment for Congress' and does by executive fiat what the legislative branch refused to do." Representative Trey Gowdy.

5) Obama has brazenly undermined the power of Congress by insisting his authority came from the United Nations Security Council prior to the attack on Libya and that Congressional approval was not necessary. "I



don't even have to get to the Constitutional question," said Obama. This is an act that "constitutes an impeachable high crime and misdemeanor under Article II, Section 4 of the Constitution," according to Congressman Walter Jones.

- **6)** Obama ignored Congressional rejection of the cybersecurity bill, and instead, indicated he will pursue an unconstitutional executive order.
- 7) Obama signed into law the National Defense Authorization Act which includes provisions that permit the abduction and military detention without trial of U.S. citizens, violating habeas corpus. Despite Obama claiming he would not use the provisions to incarcerate U.S. citizens, it was his administration that specifically demanded these powers be included in the final NDAA bill.

"This is precisely the kind of egregious distortion of justice that Americans have always ridiculed in so many dictatorships overseas. A great man named Solzhenitsyn became the hero of so many of us when he exposed the Soviet Union's extensive gulag system. Is this really the kind of United States we want to create in the name of fighting terrorism?" Congressman Ron Paul.

8) Obama violated Article II of the Constitution by using signing statements as part of his executive usurpation of power.

"The danger is quite severe. The problem with what the president is doing is that he's not simply posing a danger to the constitutional system. He's becoming the very danger the Constitution was designed to avoid. That is the concentration of power in [a] single branch." Jonathan Turley.

- **9)** Obama violated the Constitution's Takings and Due Process Clauses when he bullied the secured creditors of automaker Chrysler into accepting 30 cents on the dollar, while politically connected labor unions and preferential others received better deals.
- **10)** Obama has violated federal law by overseeing a cover-up surrounding Operation Fast and Furious, the transfer of guns to Mexican drug cartels direct from the federal government.
- **11)** Obama has aided America's enemies, violating his oath, by sending funds to insurgents in Syria who are being commanded by al-Qaeda terrorists.

This isn't a partisan issue, it isn't a matter of Left versus Right. This is about how the office of president itself has been seized by corporate interests, who have wrecked the Constitution while the figure of president himself has been replaced by nothing more than a teleprompter reading sock puppet. Only by embracing the true understanding of the fact that the government is completely illegitimate and withdrawing our consent en masse can we as Americans successfully put the country back on the right course and turn the cabal that currently rules the nation into a relic of history to be reviled in the same context as the onerous totalitarian systems of decades and centuries beforehand.



INFO//ARS

MEWS REVIEWS EVENTS INTERVIEWS TRUTT



Hazzy New Year, americal