



# <image>



### >>> INFOWARS MAGAZINE | INFOWARS.COM <</th>



### DOUGLAS WILSON

"We live in a feminist and effeminate culture. Because of this, at best, as a people we are uneasy with masculinity, and with increasing regularity, whenever it manages to appear somehow, we call for someone to do something about it."

### THOMAS HUGHES

"In this life-long fight, to be waged by every one of us singlehanded against a host of foes, the last requisite for a good fight, the last proof and test of our courage and manfulness, must be loyalty to truth—the most rare and difficult of all human qualities. For such loyalty, as it grows in perfection, asks ever more and more of us, and sets before us a standard of manliness always rising higher and higher."

### NORMAN MAILER

"Masculinity is not something given to you, but something you gain. And you gain it by winning small battles with honor."

### JOHN WAYNE

"I won't be wronged, I won't be insulted, and I won't be laid a hand on. I don't do these things to other people, and I require the same from them."









# Table of Contents

VOL. 2 ISSUE 7 MAR. 2014





# A SHORT STORY OF US:

*Infowars Magazine* was founded as a monthly publication in 2012 as the answer to the eminent threat of internet censorship. The first issue debuted in September 2012, bringing the fight for the Infowar to a new front, the changing landscape of print media. Over 100,000 copies are distributed world-wide each month, spreading truth journalism with the passion of grassroots publication and the permanence of physical print. Our distribution points across the globe continue to grow and our subscriptions increase as people like you take the Infowar to the streets, providing magazines to stores, restaurants, businesses, and neighborhoods. Take a stand against tyranny and join the front lines in the fight for freedom. Subscribe today, advertise with us, or order in bulk to become a micro-distributor.

# ABOUT ALEX JONES:

The Alex Jones Show is a nationally syndicated news/talk show based out of Austin, TX. The show is syndicated by the Genesis Communication Network on over 160 AM and FM radio stations across the United States, and has a gained a large internet based audience. You can listen to the show on-demand over the internet, either when the show is on the air (Mon-Fri, 11:00 a.m.—2:00 p.m CST and Sunday from 4:00.—6:00 PM CST) or you can stream a feed of the latest show at www.infowars.com/show.

### PUBLISHER

ALEX JONES

MANAGING EDITOR KELEN MCBREEN

ART DIRECTOR MOLLY ROGERS

### GRAPHICS & PHOTOGRAPHY

MOLLY ROGERS ROB REEGER

PROJECT MANAGER

TIM FRUGE

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT KIT DANIELS

### CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

PAUL J. WATSON KURT NIMMO KIT DANIELS ADAN SALAZAR ANTHONY GUCCIARDI MICHAEL SNYDER JON RAPPOPORT RON PAUL ELIZABETH RENTER LISA GARBER JONATHAN BENSON WILLIAM NORMAN GRIGG JURRIAAN MAESSEN

GRAPHICS@INFOWARS.COM
 TWITTER: @INFOWARSMAG

LETTERS@INFOWARS.COM
 FACEBOOK: INFOWARSMAGAZINE

### 

Ð

<u>ONTRIBUTION</u>

# INFOWARS SITES 🕓

- WWW.INFOWARS.COM
  Home of the #1 Internet news show in the world.
- WWW.PRISONPLANET.COM
  Reporters on the scene, articles, and special coverage.

### ► WWW.PRISONPLANET.TV

Get access to all the channels: The Alex Jones Show, Nightly News, Exclusive Video Reports, 60+ Movies and Documentaries, Books, and more.

► WWW.PLANETINFOWARS.COM INSTANTLY CONNECT TO WHAT'S MOST IM-PORTANT TO YOU. FOLLOW YOUR FRIENDS, EXPERTS, FAVORITE CELEBRITIES, AND BREAKING NEWS.

### ► WWW.INFOWARSSHOP.COM

Check out Infowars gear, exclusive Alex Jones Movies, Infowars Magazine, health and wellness, preparedness products, and more.

### **SOCIAL SITES:**

Facebook.com/AlexanderEmerickJones Twitter: @RealAlexJones Youtube.com/TheAlexJonesChannel

**SINGLE ISSUES** and bulk orders are available online at www.infowarsstore.com or through customer service at 1-888-253-3139 • ADVERTISING inquiries can be directed to 512-646-4414 or advertising@infowars.com • INFOR-MATION requests, whether general or editorial, can be found by contacting letters@infowars.com • Infowars Magazine is published by Free Speech Systems, LLC. 12 times a year with a circulation of 90,000 copies. All content within this magazine is copyright of Free Speech Systems, LLC. To receive a free electronic version of the magazine, become an Infowars Insider by signing up at www.infowars.com/newletter • PERMISSION to reprint original Infowars articles in whole or in part is gladly granted, provided full credit is given. Some articles are copyrighted by our contributing writers, so check to see if copyright-free status applies. The articles appearing within this publication reflect the opinions and attitudes of their respective authors and not necessarily those of the publisher, advertisers, or editorial team.

# U.S. Postal Service Announces Giant Ammo Purchase

Post Office Joins Other Federal Agencies Stockpiling Over Two Billion Rounds Of Ammo

### WRITTEN BY: **KIT DANIELS**



Since the publication of this article, the USPS has amended its pre-solicitation, claiming that the ammunition is a "standard purchase" for the Postal Police. This does not explain, however, why the Postal Police was not listed in the original notice if this is standard. As the federal government grows larger, more and more federal agencies such as the Dept. of Education and NOAA are forming and arming their own "law enforcement divisions" with hundreds of thousands spent on full-blown arsenals. Even the EPA has its own SWAT teams conducting raids on peaceful Americans. Expect to see more large-scale firearm and ammunition purchases by these bureaucracies as they become even more militarized.

he U.S. Postal Service is currently seeking companies that can provide "assorted small arms ammunition" in the near future, joining the long list of non-military federal agencies purchasing large amounts of ammunition.

On Jan. 31, the USPS Supplies and Services Purchasing Office posted a notice on the Federal Business Opportunities website asking contractors to register with USPS as potential ammunition suppliers for a variety of cartridges.

"The United States Postal Service intends to solicit proposals for assorted small arms ammunition," the notice reads, which also mentioned a deadline of Feb. 10.

"assorted small arms The Post Office published the notice ammunition" in the near ng the long list of non-mill agencies purchasing large ammunition. (R-Ky.) announced his proposal to remove a federal gun ban that prevents lawful concealed carry holders from carrying handguns inside post offices across the country.

> Ironically the Postal Service isn't Service. the first non-law enforcement agency NOAA seeking firearms and ammunition. len resp

Since 2001, the U.S. Dept. of Education has been building a massive arsenal through purchases orchestrated by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and

Firearms.

The Education Dept. has spent over \$80,000 so far on Glock pistols and over \$17,000 on Remington shotguns.

Back in July, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration also purchased 72,000 rounds of .40 Smith & Wesson, following a 2012 purchase for 46,000 rounds of .40 S&W jacketed hollow point by the National Weather Service.

NOAA spokesperson Scott Smullen responded to concerns over the weather service purchase by stating that it was meant for the NOAA Fisheries Office of Law Enforcement for its bi-annual "target qualifications and



BACK IN JULY, THE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN-ISTRATION ALSO PURCHASED 72,000 ROUNDS OF .40 SMITH & WESSON, FOLLOWING A 2012 PURCHASE FOR 46,000 ROUNDS OF .40 S&W JACKETED HOLLOW POINT BY THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE.

training."

That seems excessive considering that JHP ammunition is typically several times more expensive than practice rounds, which can usually be found in equivalent power loadings and thus offer similar recoil characteristics as duty rounds.

Including mass purchases by the Dept. of Homeland Security, non-military federal agencies combined have purchased an estimated amount of over two billion rounds of ammunition in the past two years.

Additionally, the U.S. Army bought almost 600,000 Soviet AK-47 maga-

18,000,000 rounds of 7.62x39mm ammo which is not standard-issue for either the U.S. military or even NATO. It would take a Lockheed Martin C-5 Galaxy, one of the largest cargo aircraft in the world, two trips to haul that many magazines.

A month prior, the army purchased nearly 3,000,000 rounds of 7.62x39mm ammo, a huge amount but still only 1/6th of what the magazines purchased can hold in total.

The Feds have also spent millions on riot control measures in addition to the ammo acquisitions.

Earlier this month, Homeland Secuzines last fall, enough to hold nearly rity spent over \$58 million on hiring

security details for just two Social Security offices in Maryland.

DHS also spent \$80 million on armed guards to protect government buildings in New York and sought even more guards for federal facilities in Wisconsin and Minnesota.

While the government gears up for civil unrest and stockpiles ammo without limit, private gun owners on the other hand are finding ammunition shelves empty at gun stores across America, including shortages of once-common cartridges such as .22 Long Rifle.

# DHS Portrays Gun Owners As Bio-Weapons Threat

Second Amendment Advocates Depicted As Domestic Terrorists During Drill



THE MISSOURI INFORMATION ANALYSIS CENTER (MIAC) ALSO PRO-DUCED A DOCUMENT IN 2009 WARNING ABOUT THE DANGER OF A PURPORTED "MODERN MILITIA MOVEMENT," INCLUDING THE LIKES OF RON PAUL, BOB BARR AND CHUCK BALDWIN.

ast January, Infowars reported on a "safety" exercise involving police, national guard and emergency management officials in Portsmouth, Ohio. The premise of that exercise was that individuals "disgruntled over the government's interpretation of the Second Amendment" plotted to use weapons of mass destruction to terrorize the local community.

In February the investigative non-profit Media Trackers posted documents released by the Ohio National Guard's 52nd Civil Support Team a full year after the exercise. The documents reveal a deliberate effort to portray Second Amendment supporters as racist right-wing terrorists who use chemical weapons on school children.

"On the chalkboard as well as the tables there were several statements about protecting Gun Rights and Second Amendment rights," a scenario document received by Media Trackers dated January 17, 2013 states. According to the fictional account used in the exercise, the leader of the Second Amendment group was a white nationalist going by the name William Pierce.

"No apology to Ohioans who supported limited government and the Second Amendment appears to be forthcoming," writes Jesse Hathaway for Media Trackers. "Scioto County Emergency Management Agency director Kim Carver refused to comment, telling Media Trackers she was 'not going to get into an Ohio Army National Guard issue that you have with them.""

Ohio National Guard Communications Director James Sims II said Media Trackers had inferred the scenario, demonized gun owners and Second Amendment activists.

The Department of Homeland Security's "Rightwing Extremism: Current Economic and Political Climate Fu-

eling Resurgence in Radicalization and Recruitment" document leaked to Infowars in 2009 contributed to the current political environment where gun owners are increasingly demonized and portrayed as mentally ill right-wing terrorists.

The Missouri Information Analysis Center (MIAC) also produced a document in 2009 warning about the danger of a purported "Modern Militia Movement," including the likes of Ron Paul, Bob Barr and Chuck Baldwin. MIAC and fusion centers around the country coordinated with the DHS to "collect, evaluate, analyze, and disseminate information and intelligence" on the supposed threat posed by libertarians and constitutionalists, including Second Amendment advocates. Between 2004 and 2007, the DHS provided \$254 million to fusion centers engaged in surveilling Americans considered a terrorist threat by the government.

In 2013, the DHS released a report, "Hot Spots of Terrorism and Other Crimes in the United States, 1970 to 2008," that employed the phrase "extreme right-wing, ethno-nationalist/separatist" to describe individuals and groups it claimed represented a domestic terrorist threat. The report takes its definitions from a 2011 study entitled Profiles of Perpetrators of Terrorism, produced by the National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism.

On May 1, 2013, Infowars posted a video portraying a mock news report produced by the Department of Homeland Security depicting American gun owners as terrorists.

The documents obtained by Media Trackers provide more evidence that the government and its counterterrorism infrastructure consider American gun owners at the top of the list of domestic terror threats.





BRAVENEWBOOKSTORE.COM 512.480.2503 • 512 BITCOIN (248-2646) 1904 GUADALUPE ST (DOWNSTAIRS)






# You Stand for LIBERTY Now Show It!

America's founding documents scrolled inside protective brass shell-casings


In honor of the words of our forefathers and our rights to Liberty they swore to defend.

\*The US Constitution\* \*The Bill of Rights\* \*The Declaration of Independence\*

Custom patriotic avvards and gifts to honor our sons and daughters of Liberty



LibertyScrolls.com custom Orders 512-784-6982



# Mayor Exposes Bloomberg's Plan to Completely Disarm Americans

# Bloomberg uses lies and deception to push for gun confiscations across America

current New York mayor publicly announced his decision to leave Mayors Against Illegal Guns this past month because the gun control group demands an all-out "confiscation of guns from law-abiding citizens."

In an announcement published by his city's newspaper, Poughkeepsie, N.Y. Mayor John C. Tkazyik said he quit the group after realizing it was simply a vehicle for Michael Bloomberg to "promote his personal gun-control agenda."

"It did not take long to realize that MAIG's agenda was much more than ridding felons of illegal guns," he stated. "Under the guise of helping mayors facing a crime and drug epidemic, MAIG intended to promote confiscation of guns from law-abiding citizens."

"I don't believe, never have believed and never will believe that public safety is enhanced by encroaching on our right to bear arms and I will not be a part of any organization that does."

Founded in 2006 by Bloomberg and former Boston Mayor Thomas Menino, Mayors Against Illegal Guns recruits U.S. mayors into its gun control agenda under the guise of "making communities safer" through gun control laws. Through this coalition designed to deceive the public, Bloomberg is pushing for gun confiscations across America which will cause violent crimes against disarmed Americans to explode.

"Those who doubt this hard fact

might want to study Chicago, which Reininger's trial. An appeals court also has among the most restrictive gun-control laws in the country, as well as some of the highest rates of gun-related crime and killing," Tkazyik added. "Depriving law-abiding citizens of their right to own firearms only makes them more vulnerable."

In Jan. 2013, over 42 people were murdered in Chicago, Ill., which has the strictest gun control laws in the country. Chicago police seized over 7,400 guns used in crimes in 2012 alone even though only around 7,600 Chicago residents have firearm permits, clear proof that violent criminals do not obey gun laws. The city's politicians have basically granted criminals a subsidy by disarming the population, which makes it easier for criminals to beat, rape and kill residents. Bloomberg wants every city in America to be just like Chicago and he's misleading the public into believing that gun control will make them safer.

Additionally, prisons will be filled with peaceful Americans who violated the victimless crimes that are gun control laws. For example, a New Jersey judge sentenced former police officer Dustin S. Reininger to five years in prison after he was arrested in 2009 for carrying firearms in the back of his vehicle during his move from Maine to Texas. Even though federal law provides safe passage for a driver transporting firearms through a restrictive iurisdiction such as New Jersey, the jury never heard about the law during

claimed that the law didn't apply in his case because the SUV he was driving did not have a trunk. This is what Bloomberg wants in America: citizens such as Reininger to be victimized by both the system and by criminals.

Others have also been victimized throughout history as governments around the world passed gun control laws to disarm and later oppress their populations.

In 1929, the Soviet Union enacted gun control and over the next 25 years, around 20 million disarmed dissidents were rounded up and killed. Germany also established gun control in 1938 and by 1945, at least 13 million defenseless people were murdered. The Nazis also inherited gun registration lists from the Weimar Republic which allowed them to quickly disarm their political opposition and cement their control over Germany. New York City began using the same tactic over 75 years later to send out notices to registered gun owners demanding that they turn in their firearms. Because the gun registry was already in place prior to New York's latest gun control law, all the New York Police Dept. had to do was compile a list of newly-banned firearms and identify which residents owned them.

And unfortunately, there's no shortage of politicians willing to do the same in their jurisdictions. At a gun control event last year, Austin, Texas City Council Member Mike Martinez admitted that gun control is simply



a step-by-step process to completely eliminate the Second Amendment. While pointing at a sign held by a protestor which read "Stop Gun Ban," Martinez said that "someone needs to inform him that there is no gun ban currently, but because of the work we're doing today, we will make [his] sign legitimate shortly."

"So you hang on to that [sign]," he said to a cheering crowd of gun control advocates.

And in a flashback to 1995, Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Ca.) told 60 Minutes that she wanted to outright ban all firearms owned by Americans.

"If I could have gotten 51 votes in the Senate of the United States for an outright ban, picking up every one of them ... 'Mr. and Mrs. America, turn them all in,' I would have done it," she said.

This is the true intent of gun control, even though many of its advocates whitewash the truth with a variety of propaganda techniques or by just simply being ignorant. For example, the organizers for the event at which Martinez spoke told reporters that they didn't want to ban guns even though that is exactly what Martinez demanded in his speech. Gun control advocates constantly parrot deceptive phrases such as "common sense

solutions" and "we don't want to ban guns" when selling their agenda which will only lead to the complete disarmament of the population by authoritarians.

Fortunately, on the other hand, Mayor Tkazyik is joining an ever-increasing list of public officials who have denounced gun control, including many of his fellow mayors.

"Nearly 50 pro-Second Amendment mayors have left the organization [MAIG]," he said. "They left for the same reason I did."

In the same vein, Detroit's Police Chief James Craig told reporters last month that legal gun owners deter crime. "Coming from California, where it takes an act of Congress to get a concealed weapon permit, I got to Maine, where they give out lots of Carrying Concealed Weapon [permits], and I had a stack of CCW permits I was denying; that was my orientation," he said. "I changed my orientation real quick; Maine is one of the safest places in America."

"Clearly, suspects knew that good Americans were armed."

And last year, Erie Co., N.Y. Sheriff Timothy B. Howard publicly announced that his department would not enforce New York's latest gun control law, the SAFE Act.

"It's an unenforceable law and I believe it will ultimately be declared unconstitutional," he said to reporters. "Do you want law enforcement people that will say 'I will do this because I'm told to do this, even if I know it's wrong?"

It is refreshing to see elected officials serving their constituents while also respecting individual rights, unlike Bloomberg and other gun control advocates who are using lies and deception to push for gun confiscations across America.

On a final note, it is important to realize that gun control ties into a broader agenda to condition Americans into becoming dependent on the system in a collectivist society where individual rights cannot flourish. In order for authoritarians to establish a tiny elite at the top who centrally control everything, they must take power from the people. That is why there are so many attacks on rugged individualism, particularly gun owners who are dependent on themselves for their own safety and the safety of their families. People are being pushed away from the "John Wayne" lifestyle of strong individualism because tyrants get their power from making individuals slaves.



# Duck Dynasty Controversy: Bible to be Banned as "Hate Speech"?

# **Old Testament Incites Violence Against Gays**

uck Dynasty star Phil Robertson's views on homosexuality have been condemned by many as "hate speech," yet his words were infinitely less savage than those contained in the Old Testament.

If we are to accept that Robertson's rhetoric represents hate speech, then the Bible should immediately be banned because it openly incites violence against gays.

Compare what Robertson told GQ Magazine to what appears in Leviticus 20:13.

Robertson: "It seems like, to me, a vagina — as a man — would be more desirable than a man's anus. That's just me. I'm just thinking: There's more there! She's got more to offer. I mean, come on, dudes! You know what I'm saying? But hey, sin: It's not logical, my man. It's just not logical... Start with homosexual behavior and just morph out from there. Bestiality, sleeping around with this woman and that woman and that woman and those men."

Leviticus 20:13: "If there is a man who lies with a male as those who lie with a woman, both of them have committed a detestable act; they shall surely be put to death. Their bloodguiltiness is upon them." (NASB)

"If a man lies with a male as with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination; they shall surely be put to death; their blood is upon them." (ESV)

Robertson also paraphrased another

part of the Bible – Corinthians – when he stated, "Don't be deceived. Neither the adulterers, the idolaters, the male prostitutes, the homosexual offenders, the greedy, the drunkards, the slanderers, the swindlers—they won't inherit the kingdom of God. Don't deceive yourself. It's not right."

Robertson expresses his disagreement with the homosexual lifestyle and characterizes it as a sin. The Bible characterizes homosexuality not only as a sin but as an abomination punishable by death.

According to the Supreme Court, hate speech is permissible under the First Amendment unless it is likely to lead to imminent hate violence.

Since the Bible openly and aggressively advocates that homosexuals be put to death, it can easily be argued that such rhetoric is likely to lead to imminent hate-driven violence.

Indeed, there have been numerous cases around the world of pastors being arrested under hate speech laws for quoting Bible passages which relate to homosexuality.

Given that conclusion, the characterization of Robertson's words as "hate speech" must automatically mean that the Bible – to an even greater degree – also represents "hate speech" and should immediately be withdrawn from 37 million Christian churches worldwide and treated in the future only as a historical relic.

ROBERTSON EXPRESSES HIS DISAGREEMENT WITH THE HOMOSEXUAL LIFESTYLE AND CHARACTER-IZES IT AS A SIN. THE BIBLE CHARACTER-IZES HOMO-SEXUALITY NOT ONLY AS A SIN BUT AS AN ABOMINATION PUNISHABLE BY DEATH.

### WRITTEN BY: MICHAEL SNYDER

# 221 Percent Increase In One Year? Why Are So Many People Renouncing American Citizenship?

# A Look Into The Reasons Behind This Growing Trend

he number of Americans that renounced their citizenship was 221 percent higher in 2013 than it was in 2012. That is a staggering figure, and it is symptomatic of a larger trend. In recent years, a lot of really good people with very deep roots in this country have made the difficult decision to say goodbye to the United States permanently.

A few actually go to the trouble to renounce their citizenship, and that is mostly done for tax purposes. But most willingly choose to leave America for other reasons. Some were very serious when they said they would leave the U.S. if Barack Obama got a second term, some (such as Jesse Ventura) are dismayed at how our freedoms and liberties are eroding and are alarmed at the rise of the Big Brother police state, some are absolutely disgusted by the social and moral decay that is eating away at the foundations of our society, and there are yet others that consider "the grass to be greener" on the other side of the planet.

Personally, I have a number of friends that have made the very hard decision to relocate their families thousands of miles away because they see what is coming to America and they believe that there isn't any hope of turning things around at this point. I also have a lot of friends that are determined to stay in the United States no matter what. When it comes to the future of America, almost everyone has a very strong opinion, and these are discussions that we need to start having.

Once upon a time, the United States was seen as "the land of opportunity" all over the globe and it seemed like everyone wanted to come here.

But now that is all changing. As we have abandoned the principles that this country was founded upon, our economy has gone steadily downhill.

As I recently wrote about, the middle class in America is slowly dying. As millions of good paying jobs have been shipped out of the country, the competition for the remaining jobs has become quite intense. At this point, there is

IN RECENT YEARS, A LOT OF REALLY GOOD PEOPLE WITH VERY DEEP ROOTS IN THIS COUNTRY HAVE MADE THE DIFFICULT DECISION TO SAY GOODBYE TO THE UNITED STATES PERMA-NENTLY.



even tremendous competition for minimum wage jobs.

Compared to exactly six years ago, 1,154,000 fewer Americans have jobs. Meanwhile, our population has gotten significantly larger since then. There simply are not enough jobs for everyone, and we continue to fall even farther behind. In January, the economy only added 113,000 jobs and in December the economy only added 75,000 jobs. Both of those figures are well below what we need just to keep up with population growth.

Looking ahead, things look even more troubling.

The number of "planned job cuts" in January was 12 percent higher than 12 months earlier, and it was actually 47 percent higher than in December.

The competition for jobs has also resulted in an extended period of declining incomes in the United States.

As I mention frequently, median household income in the United States has fallen for five years in a row, and the rate of homeownership in the United States has fallen for eight years in a row.

Those that read my articles regularly probably have those facts memorized by now.

In addition, a study that just came out has shown that the number of "low-wage breadwinners" in the United States is at an alltime high...

A staggering number of American households are relying on low-wage jobs as their leading or sole source of income.

Meet the low-wage breadwinner. There were about 21 million of them in the United States in 2011, according to a forthcoming study by University of Massachusetts Boston economists Randy Albelda and Michael Carr.

Unlike other studies which often focus just

on low-wage workers, the researchers looked at those who also live in low-income households. This way, they were able to strip out the teenager making \$8 an hour flipping burgers but still living comfortably with his parents. Or the mom who works a part-time job in retail to supplement her husband's otherwise ample salary.

For tens of millions of average American families, there simply is not enough money left at the end of each month.

That is why many of them turn to debt to try to make up the difference. Consumer credit is increasing at an alarming pace once again, and when the next great economic shock arrives many of those families are going to be in for a tremendous amount of financial pain.

In this type of economic environment, it should not be a surprise that anger, frustration and desperation are rising to very dangerous levels.

It was desperation and a fear of losing everything that he had ever worked for that drove one 80-year-old man to become a methamphetamine courier.

It was intense anger and frustration that drove a 58-year-old military veteran to package up cat feces and send it to employers that had turned him down...

Rather than simply grumble to himself or complain to others, a St. Louis man aggrieved by a company's failure to hire him took another approach.

Jevons Brown packaged up cat feces and sent it through the mail.

Brown, 58, was sentenced Friday to two years of probation after pleading guilty in August to a misdemeanor charge of mailing injurious articles.

The plea says Brown, a veteran, became frustrated with his lack of employment opportunities and lashed out at employees of companies that is not necessarily greener on the other failed to hire him.

This is just the tip of the iceberg.

In the years ahead, we are going to see much, much worse.

And if you do lose everything, don't expect anyone to care very much. There is already a frightening lack of compassion for those that are down on their luck in the United States today. For example, in Pensacola, Florida it is actually illegal for homeless people to use blankets or cardboard boxes to shield themselves from the cold...

So there I was with my wife and three kids, all of us huddled under blankets with the fireplace roaring, watching the temperature continue to drop from a comfortable 65 degrees down to 45. But outside it was 17 degrees and raining and sleeting, and if you were homeless, you had to consider that if you used a blanket to shield yourself from the elements, that you might be hauled off to jail for a violation of a local ordinance prohibiting using blankets, cardboard, or newspaper to cover yourself.

Once you lose everything, society just wants you to go away.

And this lack of compassion is going to get a whole lot worse during the very hard times that are coming.

So it is easy to understand why many Americans would want to get out of this country while they still can.

However, the truth is that the grass side.

For instance, you may be dreaming of moving to a tropical paradise where you can enjoy the sand and the sun every single day.

In the past, many Americans considered Puerto Rico a good place to relocate to. After all, it is a United States territory and if you only speak English you can still get around pretty well.

But you wouldn't want to move down to Puerto Rico these days. Right now it is in the middle of a full-blown economic collapse...

Puerto Rico's slow-motion economic crisis skidded to a new low last week when both Standard & Poor's and Moody's downgraded its debt to junk status, brushing aside a series of austerity measures taken by the new governor, including increasing taxes and re-balancing pensions. But that is only the latest in a sharp decline leading to widespread fears about Puerto Rico's future. In the past eight years, Puerto Rico's ticker tape of woes has stretched

unabated: \$70 billion in debt, a 15.4 percent unemployment rate, a soaring cost of living, pervasive crime, crumbling schools and a worrisome exodus of professionals and middle-class Puerto Ricans who have moved to places like Florida and Texas.

In fact, Puerto Rico is a preview of the kind of societal chaos that we could be seeing inside the United States in just a few years...

Schools sit shuttered either because of disrepair or because of a dwindling number of students. In this typically convivial capital, communities have erected gates and bars to help thwart carjackers and home invaders. Illegal drugs, including high-level narcotrafficking, are one of the few growth industries.

Well, what about South America?

In recent years, South America has been an extremely popular destination for those wishing to leave the United States.

Unfortunately, many areas of South America are experiencing full-blown economic collapse right now as well. As I wrote about recently, deteriorating economic conditions have resulted in widespread crime, looting, violence, blackouts, shortages of basic supplies, and runs on the banks in Argentina and Venezuela. The following is an excerpt from a recent interview with Fernando Aguirre who actually lives down in Argentina... Chris Martenson: Okay. Bring us up to date. What is happening in Argentina right now with respect to its currency, the peso?

Fernando Aguirre: Well, actually pretty recently, January 22, the peso lost 15% of its value. It has devalued quite a bit. It ended up losing 20% of its value that week, and it has been pretty crazy since then. Inflation has been rampant in some sectors, going up to 100% in food, grocery stores 20%, 30% in some cases. So it has been pretty complicated. Lots of stores don't want to be selling stuff until they get updated prices. Suppliers holding on, waiting to see how things go, which is something that we are familiar with because that happened back in 2001 when everything went down as we know it did.

Chris Martenson: So 100%, 20% inflation; are those yearly numbers?

Fernando Aguirre: Those are our numbers in a matter of days. In just one day, for example, cement in Balcarce, one of the towns in Southern Argentina, went up 100% overnight, doubling in price. Grocery stores in Córdoba, even in Buenos Aires, people are talking about increase of prices of 20, 30% just these days. I actually have family in Argentina that are telling me that they go to a hardware store and they aren't even able to buy stuff from there because stores want to hold on and see how prices unfold in the following days.

Well, what about Europe? Isn't Europe a lot more stable?

Unfortunately, that is not necessarily true. In recent years we have seen rioting, civil unrest and depression-like conditions in Ukraine, Greece, Spain, Italy and Portugal.

And now you can add Bosnia to that list...

More than 150 people were wounded in Bosnia in the worst civil unrest in the country since the 1992-95 war as anger over the dire state of the economy and political inertia boiled over.

Angry protesters set fire to part of the presidential palace in Sarajevo in protests over unemployment and corruption, as well as government buildings in the capital Sarajevo, Tuzla and Zenica.

Just because you move out of the United States does not necessarily mean that you will avoid what is coming.

We are heading for a global economic collapse, and the pain is going to be felt to the farthest corners of the planet.

But of course there are many that will end up leaving the United States and will ultimately thrive.

So what do you think?

Is now a time for people to consider leaving the United States permanently?

# WRITTEN BY: JON RAPPOPORT

# 297 Scientists, Experts Sign Statement: Gmos Not Proven Safe

# Debate On The GMO Topic Is Far From Over

he statement was drawn up by the European Network of Scientists for Social and Environmental Responsibility. It was released on October 21, 2013. Since then, 297 scientists and experts have signed it.

Thus exploding the myth that "the science is settled." Exploding the claim that a consensus about GMOs has been reached. You can read the statement and the signatories at ensser.org.

Here are two excerpts from the statement:

"As scientists, physicians, academics, and experts from disciplines relevant to the scientific, legal, social and safety assessment aspects of genetically modified organisms (GMOs), we strongly reject claims by GM seed developers and some scientists, commentators, and journalists that there is a 'scientific consensus' on GMO safety and that the debate on this topic is 'over."

"We feel compelled to issue this statement because the claimed consensus on GMO safety does not exist. The claim that it does exist is misleading and misrepresents the currently available scientific evidence and the broad diversity of opinion among scientists on this issue. Moreover, the claim encourages a climate of complacency that could lead to a lack of regulatory and scientific rigour and appropriate caution, potentially endangering the health of humans, animals, and the environment."

The number of scientists on either side of a question does not, alone, imply a final answer. But it does indicate whether the question is closed or still open. It does indicate that those who claim the question is closed are wrong.

Completely wrong.

In previous articles, I've highlighted dangers and lies regarding GMOs. Here I'm simply reporting that a consensus about GMO safety is a delusion.

In other words, anybody can say "everybody knows…" and if those people have access to, or control, major media, they can make a persuasive case.

But the persuasion is nothing more than one voice drowning out other voices.

Other voices who, for example, make this declaration:

(Signatory, Dr. Margarida Silva, biologist and professor at the Portugese Catholic University)—"…research has been mostly financed by the very

"...RESEARCH HAS BEEN MOSTLY FINANCED BY THE VERY COMPANIES THAT DEPEND ON POSITIVE OUTCOMES FOR THEIR BUSINESS. AND WE NOW KNOW THAT WHERE MONEY FLOWS, INFLUENCE GROWS. THE FEW INDEPENDENT ACA-DEMICS LEFT MUST WORK DOUBLE SHIFT TO ADDRESS THE VAST ARRAY OF UNAN-SWERED QUESTIONS AND RED FLAGS THAT KEEP PILING UP."

Dr. Margarida Silva



companies that depend on positive outcomes for their business, and we now know that where money flows, influence grows. The few independent academics left must work double shift to address the vast array of unanswered questions and red flags that keep piling up."


Or this voice: Signatory, Dr. Raul

Montenegro, biologist, University of Cordoba, Argentina—"As things stand, the governments of these countries [Argentina, Brazil] deny that there is a [GMO] problem even in the face of numerous reports from the people who are affected and the doctors who must treat them."

So far, there are 297 such voices.

Will CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, FOX report this story in full and overturn the false consensus? Will they make room for the 297 voices?

Of course not. Their job is to invent consensus by consulting "reliable sources." Meaning: liars who also want to invent false consensus.



# ARE YOU PREPARED?

TRUE NASCENT

"The Only Option I Trust for My Family."

# THE #1 PRODUCT RECOMMENDED BY ALEX JONES

SHIELD

Learn About the Incredible Properties of True Nascent Iodine at infowarslife.com

NFOMARS LIFE ORDER BY PHONE: 1.888.253.3139 MAIL ORDER: P.O. BOX 19549 AUSTIN TX 78760



# Will No One Challenge Obama's Executive Orders?

A Milestone In Presidential Usurpation Of Congressional Authority

## WRITTEN BY: RON PAUL

resident Obama's state of the union pledge to "act with or without Congress" marks a milestone in presidential usurpation of Congressional authority. Most modern presidents have used executive orders to change and even create laws without Congressional approval. However President Obama is unusually brazen, in that most Presidents do not brag about their plans to rule by executive order in state of the union speeches.

Sadly, his pledge to use his pen to implement laws and polices without

the consent of Congress not only received thunderous applause from representatives of the president's party, some representatives have even pledged to help Obama get around Congress by providing him with ideas for executive orders. The Constitution's authors would be horrified to see legislators actively adding and abetting a president taking power away from the legislature.

Executive orders are perfectly legitimate and even necessary if, in the words of leading Constitutional Scholar Judge Andrew Napolitano, they ".... guide the executive branch on how to enforce a law or...complement and supplement what Congress has already done." The problem is that most modern presidents have abused this power to issue orders that, as Judge Napolitano puts it, "restates federal law, or contradicts federal law, or does the opposite of what the federal law is supposed to do."

Political opponents of the president rightly condemned Obama for disregarding the Constitution. However, it was not that long ago that many of the same politicians were labeling ANY PRESIDENT OF ANY PARTY WHO WOULD EXCEED CONSTITU-TIONAL LIMITATIONS IS A THREAT TO LIBERTY, AND ANY MEMBER OF CONGRESS WHO IGNORES OR FACILITATES PRESIDENTIAL USURPATION IS BEING DERELICT IN HIS CONSTITUTIONAL DUTY.

as "unpatriotic" or worse anyone who dared question President Bush's assertions the he had the "inherent" authority to launch wars, spy on Americans, and even indefinitely detain American citizens.

Partisan considerations also make some members of the opposition party hesitate to reign in the president. These members are reluctant to set a precedent of "tying the president's hands" that could be used against a future president of their own party.

The concentration of power in the office of the president is yet one more negative consequence of our interventionist foreign policy. A foreign policy based on interventionism requires a strong and energetic executive, unfettered by Constitutional niceties such as waiting for Congress to pass laws or declare war. So it simply was natural, as America abandoned the traditional foreign policy of non-interventionism, for presidents to act "without waiting for Congress." After all, the president is "commander-in-chief" and he needs to protect "national security," they argued. Once it became accepted practice for the president to disregard Congress in foreign affairs, it was only a matter of time before presidents would begin usurping Congressional authority in domestic matters.

It should not be surprising that some of the biggest promoters of an "energetic" executive are the neoconservatives. They are also enthusiastic promoters of the warfare state. Sadly, they have misled many constitutionalists into believing that one can consistently support unchecked presidential authority in foreign policy, but limit presidential authority in domestic matters. Until it is fully understood that virtually limitless presidential authority in foreign affairs cannot coexist with strict limits on Presidential authority in domestic matters, we will never limit the power of the Presidency.

The people must also insist that politicians stop viewing issues concerning the separation of powers through a partisan lens and instead be willing to act against any president who exceeds his constitutional limitations. Thankfully we have scholars such as Louis Fisher, who has just published an important new book on presidential power, to help us better understand the Founders' intent with regard to separation of powers. The key to achieving this goal is to make sure the people understand that any president of any party who would exceed constitutional limitations is a threat to liberty, and any member of Congress who ignores or facilitates presidential usurpation is being derelict in his Constitutional duty.

This article first appeared on ronpaulinstitute.org

# School Shooting Expert Threatened Over Sandy Hook Investigation

# Was Told If He Didn't Back Off "Bad Things Are Going To Happen"

he Sandy Hook school shooting was a giant, elaborate hoax and no one really died; that's the conclusion Wolfgang Halbig, a former educator, US Customs agent and Florida state trooper, has reached after ten months of investigation and multiple disputes with federal, state and school authorities.

Halbig is convinced a deluge of discrepancies prove that the whole scenario was a scripted event.

"...I suspect, in my professional opinion as a consultant and doing this a long, long time, I think it's a scripted event that took place. I think it was in planning for maybe two, two and a half years," Halbig said recently in an explosive interview with American Free Press.

Halbig is not your average conspiracy theorist. In fact he's not one at all.

He's a former educator and law enforcement officer who now contracts out as a national school safety consultant.

His job is to help schools prevent incidents like the one that unfolded in Newtown. In order to do that, he needs to know exactly what happened at Sandy Hook.

"How do I tell people how to make their school safer when we don't even have the truth about Sandy Hook?" asked a frustrated Halbig. "See, there

he Sandy Hook school is a nexus, I do this for a living."

But school, state and federal officials aren't making his job easy, and the state's even threatened him for meddling in their affairs.

"They were in plain clothes," Halbig recalled, describing a visit from Lake County homicide investigators. "They introduced themselves, they showed me their identification, their badges, and they basically said, 'We need to have a conversation,' and I said, 'Well come on in, sit down, make yourselves comfortable,' and then they read off my resumé. I mean, somehow, they did a lot of homework.. Basically the next thing they said was if I don't stop, the Connecticut state police were gonna file charges and they recommended that I hire an attorney."

The retired school principal, however, affirmed he's in the right. "I said there is no way in the world that I'm gonna hire an attorney, because I'm following the procedures of the Connecticut state Freedom of Information Act. I mean, if anybody ought to be arrested, I said you tell those guys they ought to be arrested for failing to comply by the law of Connecticut."

He says they also treated him in a threatening manner, stating "if I don't comply that bad things are going to happen to me."

Nevertheless, Halbig says, like any homicide investigation, Sandy Hook

is a giant puzzle, but one which the public hasn't been provided all the pieces to solve.

"..[T]his crime that was committed is a puzzle and no one can show me the pieces to put together to finish this puzzle. They don't fit no matter how hard you try.. you and your listeners will not be able to put this puzzle together," Halbig attested to host Dave Gahary.

# **Unanswered** Questions

For Halbig, there are unanswered questions, lots of them.

For instance, why in the immediate aftermath of the shooting were no medical helicopters summoned?

"Think about it: you have 20 children, 6 staff members who were supposed to have been shot. They're seriously injured. Where's the trauma helicopters? Those are the quickest and the best medical services that any child or any school staff member can receive, and no trauma helicopters were ever requested?"

Halbig also called Life Star emergency services, who confirmed they were never summoned that morning. "[T]hey said, 'Mr. Halbig we were never requested on that morning. We were shocked and surprised, we were ready, we thought we were going to go to Sandy Hook.' They had helicop-

HALBIG ALSO QUESTIONS WHY EMT AND FIREFIGHTERS, WHO WERE MERE MIN-UTES AWAY FROM THE SCENE, WERE PREVENTED FROM ENTERING THE SCHOOL AND POSSIBLY SAVING LIVES, AND ADDITIONALLY, HE WANTS TO KNOW HOW, WITHIN THE FIRST 11 MINUTES, AMERICA WAS ALREADY PRESENTED WITH A DEATH TOLL.

ters ready to go, but they were never asked.. Here's my premise.. there wasn't anybody there to be treated."

Halbig also questions why EMT and firefighters, who were mere minutes away from the scene, were prevented from entering the school and possibly saving lives, and additionally, he wants to know how, within the first 11 minutes, America was already presented with a death toll.

"Who declared all those 26 people dead within the first 11 minutes? Connecticut law states that only a doctor can declare them dead, legally dead."

Moreover, Halbig says the FBI's classification of the school shooting report is something he's never witnessed in his entire career. "I can tell you [out of] all the shootings, never has an FBI agency ever classified an investigative report on a school shooting."

# More Unanswered Questions

There are also a whole host of peculiarities Halbig is still baffled by.

For example, why was Sandy Hook elementary torn down? Even in the Columbine tragedy, whose crime scene Halbig assisted with and in which he testified as a key witness, the school was cleaned up, but was never torn down.

According to Halbig, no one could tell him which environmental company cleaned up the bloody mess, or who installed the school's security system, all things his job would require him to know.

He has other pressing questions, such as: Why was there a registered nurse found in the building four hours after the shooting? Why was there a sign flashing "Everyone must sign in?" Why were there port-a-potties on site within three hours? Why were children turned around by officers and sent back into rooms supposedly littered with dead bodies? How did someone with Asperger's have the physical coordination to carry the large amount of munitions Adam Lanza supposedly carried? Why did no parents file a lawsuit against the school, when in every other school shooting suits have been filed? And ultimately, why would the state threaten Halbig?

"The things [we're] talking about.. they should never offend any parent... These are simple questions that we as homicide investigators, we need to know and we should know."

# **Truth Seeking Won't Stop**

Altogether, from the puzzle pieces

he's collected and analyzed, Halbig concludes there is a massive cover up.

"Absolutely... It is.. when you refuse to respond to simple requests, something is not right."

The next step legally, Halbig says, would be to hire attorneys and depose the key players of the investigation, because, "The only way you're ever gonna get the truth is by getting them to raise their right hands.." "..[I]f you lie in a deposition and if you're caught in that lie, there's a lot of things that you can lose, you can go to jail and you can lose your retirement.." warns the safety consultant.

When asked if he feels his life could be in danger if the cover-up goes all the way up to the White House, Halbig answered he is not fearful because he's led a rich life, but that for him, people showing up and knocking on his door was the final straw.

"Here's the problem and this is what got me fired up even more, is when they start bringing people to my house."

Halbig says next he's traveling to Newtown, Conn. and scoping out the crime scene, and that he's possibly planning a Sandy Hook event in Orlando, Florida sometime in the near future.

He says he won't stop until he gets some answers.

# Ron Paul Launches Clemency Petition for Snowden

Many in The United States Have Called for Snowden to be Extradited, Prosecuted And Executed

ormer Texas Congressman Ron Paul has launched an effort to secure clemency for NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden. The "Demand Clemency for Edward Snowden" petition is posted on The Ron Paul Channel. "Edward Snowden shocked the world when he exposed the NSA's illegal and abusive spying program. Instead of applauding him for his bravery and patriotism, the U.S. government labels Snowden a traitor," the page states. "Join Ron Paul in demanding that Edward Snowden is granted clemency. Sign the Petition. Let's bring Edward home before his amnesty in Russia expires on July 31,

2014." Snowden's asylum in Russia, however, may extend past July. Last month Alexy Pushkov, a Russian legislator who heads up the Foreign Affairs Committee in the Duma, said his government will extend asylum protection.

Although recently nominated for the Nobel Peace Prize by two Norwegian lawmakers, Snowden is considered a traitor by many in the United States. A number of politicians have called for him to be extradited, prosecuted and executed.

Ron Paul's son, Rand, disagrees with his father. He does not believe Snowden should receive clemency. "I

ALTHOUGH RECENTLY NOM-INATED FOR THE NOBEL PEACE PRIZE BY TWO NORWEGIAN LAWMAKERS, SNOWDEN IS CONSIDERED A TRAITOR BY MANY IN THE UNITED STATES.

the death penalty or life in prison. I think that's inappropriate. And I think chairman of the House Homeland that's why he fled, because that's what he faced," he told ABC in January. "I think the only way he's coming home American people. To me, he's either is if someone would offer him a fair totally uninformed or he's part of that trial with a reasonable sentence."

"Rand Paul does not know what he's us in the 1960s."

don't think Edward Snowden deserves talking about," said Republican Rep. Peter King of New York, the former Security Committee. "And, Rand Paul is really spreading fear among the hate America crowd that I thought left

The U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder stated in July the government would not seek the death penalty if Snowden is extradited. Federal prosecutors filed a criminal complaint against the former NSA and CIA analyst in June. He is charged with theft and two violations of the 1917 Espionage Act.



# BPA (and More) Lowering Sperm Counts Across the Board

Eight Million Couples Struggle With Fertility Problems In The United States Each Year

f there was a problem with fertility, most men wouldn't know it until they tried to conceive a child. Everything can seem to be in great working condition, but low sperm counts leading to infertility are more common than we might think. As a matter of fact, contrary to popular belief, about half of all infertility cases involve some problem on the man's side of the two-person equation.

# Sperm Counts Plummeting from Chemicals

According to experts, this usually comes as a surprise to men, who assume everything is working well until their wife doesn't conceive after a few months of trying. Unlike in women, where symptoms like missed periods of erratic bleeding can signal fertility issues ahead of time, for men the problem is undetectable until the sperm is expected to perform.

Numerous factors can contribute to male infertility, but one—low sperm count—has progressively been getting worse over the past 50 years.

What's causing the lowered sperm counts in men? Several things can be blamed, says Dr. Paul Turek, a male fertility specialist.

Contributing factors to a low sperm count include:

- Keeping your cell phone in your pocket
- Consistently using a laptop in your lap

- Smoking
- Drinking
- Recreational drugs
- Some hair loss medications
- Illness
- Stress
- BPA

Yes, BPA (Bispehnol-A), still found in plastic food containers, can seriously affect both male and female fertility. Though the FDA recently moved to ban the use of BPA in baby bottles, it is still found in numerous everyday products. And even those labeled "BPA-free" now contain a distant relative to BPA, known as BPS chemical, whose affects may be just as detrimental.

Not only low sperm counts, but reproductive difficulties, including Anogenital distance, have been shown to come up from BPA-exposure in the past. Males with short AGD have been found to have 7 times the chance of being sub-fertile. This is a troubling statistic given that prenatal BPA exposure through parental consumption is associated with shortened AGD.

Eight million couples struggle with fertility problems in the United States each year. But, many of these problems can be easily prevented, with common sense nutrition, self-care, and conscious awareness of those triggers that can lead to a low sperm count.

"You know you can bring a sperm count to zero by taking hot baths every other day for a month," Turek explained. "It'll take you three months to recover. It'll go to zero."



# Justice Group Warns of Obama Plan to Put Government Monitors in Newsrooms

# FCC Researchers Would Pressure the Press on What to Cover

he American Center for Law and Justice is warning of an Obama administration plan to place government monitors in newsrooms via an FCC proposal that could turn every major news network and newspaper into little more than a state media mouthpiece.

FCC Commissioner Ajit Pai recently lifted the lid on a shocking White House proposal entitled 'Multi-Market Study of Critical Information Needs' that would dispatch researchers from the federal agency "to grill reporters, editors and station owners about how they decide which stories to run."

According to Pai, the program is about "pressuring media organizations into covering certain stories." In other words – the fairness doctrine on steroids.

"That's right, the Obama Administration has developed a formula of what it believes the free press should cover, and it is going to send government monitors into newsrooms across America to stand over the shoulders of the press as they make editorial decisions," writes the ACLJ's Matthew Clark, noting that the plan would also extend to newspapers, which the FCC doesn't even have any business being involved with.

Distrust in mainstream media has been on a steady decline for years, with a recent Gallup poll confirming that just 23 per cent of Americans trust the institution of television news. This lack of confidence has driven ratings down, with MSNBC losing almost half of its viewers over the course of just 12 months, shedding 45 per cent of its audience. CNN also lost 48 per cent of its viewers over the same time period.

The United States' world ranking in terms of freedom of the press also recently fell to number 46, below the likes of South Africa, Slovenia and Lithuania.

Earlier this month, the New York Times's own writers told a newspaper that NY Times opinion pieces are


now seen as "irrelevant" and have no impact on public discourse whatso-ever.

The FCC's attempt to police newsrooms is a desperate attempt to redress the fact that, as Hillary Clinton admitted, the Obama administration is "losing the information war" to other news sources whose audiences are growing.

However, those alternative news outlets are not growing because of slick propaganda, they are stealing audience share from the mainstream because they at least try to act in an adversarial role to the state rather than being a conduit for its talking points.

"Every major repressive regime of the modern era has begun with an attempt to control and intimidate the press," warns Clark, adding, "It's hard to imagine anything more brazenly Orwellian than government monitors in newsrooms."

"THAT'S RIGHT, THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION HAS DEVELOPED A FORMULA OF WHAT IT BELIEVES THE FREE PRESS SHOULD COVER, AND IT IS GOING TO SEND GOVERNMENT MONITORS INTO NEWSROOMS ACROSS AMERICA TO STAND OVER THE SHOULDERS OF THE PRESS AS THEY MAKE EDITORIAL DECISIONS," WRITES THE ACLJ'S MATTHEW CLARK.







>>> INFOWARS\_MAGAZINE [ INFOWARS.COM <<<

# GMOs Cause Animals to Lose Their Ability to Reproduce, Russian scientists discover

# Study is One of Several Identifying a Link Between GMO Consumption and Infertility

study presented at the Days of Defense Against Environmental Hazards in Russia has unveiled once again the implicit dangers associated with the consumption of genetically-modified organisms (GMOs). According to Voice of Russia, scientists from the National Association for Gene Security and the Institute of Ecological and Evolutional Problems discovered that animals fed GMOs as part of their normal diet eventually develop the inability to reproduce.

A shocking revelation; the study is one of several that has identified a link between GMO consumption and infertility in recent years. And because it was conducted independently of the biotechnology industry, it came to far different conclusions than have most of the studies offered up for mainstream consumption in defense of GMOs.

Using hamsters as the test subjects, scientists observed that consumption of GM soybeans, which have never been a part of these creatures' normal diets, tended to slow sexual maturity and gradually eliminate the ability to reproduce. After several generations, hamsters consuming the "frankensoy" eventually lost their innate ability to reproduce.

"We selected several groups of ham-

sters, kept them in pairs in cells and gave them ordinary food as always," said Dr. Alexei Surov, one of the authors of the study. "We did not add anything for one group but the other was fed with soy that contained no GM components, while the third group with some content of Genetically Modified Organisms and the fourth one with increased amounts of GMO."

Dr. Surov and his team then monitored the various groups of cubs, including their rates of growth and birthing frequencies, and compared their findings to the cubs' diets. After several generations of cubs breeding with each other, the team observed that those cubs who ate GMOs as part of their diet eventually became completely sterile.

"We noticed quite a serious effect when we selected new pairs from [the] cubs and continued to feed them as before," added Dr. Surov. "These pairs' growth rate was slower and reached their sexual maturity slowly. When we got some of their cubs, we formed the new pairs of the third generation. We failed to get cubs from these pairs, which were fed with GM foodstuffs. It was proved that these pairs lost their ability to give birth to their cubs."

Will widespread GMO consumption make future generations of humans


### infertile?

An Austrian study uncovered similar harm in mice that consumed GM corn. An immediate consequence among the mice eating the "frankencorn" was that their offspring weighed less than normal, and overall litter size became smaller. After three or four generations, mice who came from GMO-eating parents and grandparents became completely infertile.

(http://www.naturalnews.com)


Farmers across the U.S. have also reported infertility among pigs and cows that consume GM feed. And in India, an investigatory team observed infertility and other serious problems among buffalo that were consuming GM cottonseed.


This article first appeared on Natural Society.com.



USING HAMSTERS AS THE TEST SUBJECTS, SCIENTISTS OBSERVED THAT CONSUMPTION OF GM SOYBEANS, WHICH HAVE NEVER BEEN A PART OF THESE CREATURES' NORMAL DIETS, TENDED TO SLOW SEXUAL MATURITY AND GRADUALLY ELIMINATE THE ABILITY TO REPRODUCE.









VIEW OUR ENTIRE SELECTION NOW AT INFOWARSSTORE.COM TO ORDER CALL 1.888.253.3139

#### WRITTEN BY: LISA GARBER

# Study Finds BPA Actually Changes Your Brain

#### Early Life Exposure Can Cause Changes To Genes

esearchers at North Carolina State University found that bisphenol-A (BPA) exposure in early life stages can actually cause gene expression changes. These effects are seen in a part of the brain called the amygdala, which can lead to increased levels of anxiety. What may surprise you is that soy—which has been accused of mimicking estrogen, as has BPA—prevented the behavioral changes.

#### **BPA and Genetic Changes**

Lead author of the study as published in the journal PLOS ONE, Dr. Heather Patisaul is an NC State associate professor of biology. For the research, she and other researchers divided rats into four groups:

- Group I was fed only soy.
- Group II was fed a soy-free diet.
- Group III was fed only soy and exposed to BPA.
- Group IV was fed a soy-free diet and exposed to BPA.

Rats exposed to BPA were given low doses during gestation, lactation, and throughout puberty. When administered blood tests, the rats dosed with BPA showed levels comparable to those found in humans. The same was true of rats fed a soy diet, which displayed comparable levels of genistein the estrogen-mimicking chem much abhorred in soy.

Group IV-rats fed no soy and exposed to BPA-showed markedly higher levels of anxiety than the other groups. Their genes had changed, specifically where expressed in the amygdala (a region of the brain that deals with responses to fear and stress, also associated with behavior). The affected genes—estrogen receptor beta and melanocortin receptor 4both deal with the process of releasing oxytocin, a hormone and neurotransmitter linked to social behavior. Researchers therefore believe that the increased anxiety must have to do with BPA's ability to change the oxytocin/vasopressin signaling pathway. The abstract of the study states:

"Early life exposure to Bisphenol A (BPA), a component of polycarbonate plastics and epoxy resins, alters sociosexual behavior in numerous species including humans. The present study focused on the ontogeny of these behavioral effects beginning in adolescence and assessed the underlying molecular changes in the amygdala."

# The Relationship Between Soy and BPA

The rats in Group III—fed a soyheavy diet and dosed with BPA showed no increased levels of anxiety.

chemical Although both BPA and genistein in soy have been accused of mimicking soy and estrogen, the two together seemed to subdue the effects. "It is not clear whether these phytoestrogens [found changed, in soy] are what mitigate the effect of BPA, or if it is something else entirely," orain that says Patisaul. "That's a question we're hoping to address in future research."

BPA is found in a variety of items and foods, including but not limited to:


- Food containers (disposable and reusable)
- Epoxy resins
- Water bottles (and other plastics)
- Canned food
- Paper money


Additionally, BPA (and its close cousin, BPS chemical) has been linked with the following conditions:

- Breast cancer
- Obesity
- Fertility problems
- Feminization of boys
- Accelerated maturation in girls
- Diabetes
- Depression and hyperactivity

While the scientists are working on the soy option (which is far from the best option), try other methods to mitigate the estrogenic effects of BPA.

First appeared on naturalsociety.com





# IQ Foods: Processed Food Lowers IQ in Children, Nutritious Food Raises It

#### British Researchers Uncovered The Connection Between Processed Foods And Reduced IQ

staple of far too many diets, people have either diabetes or pre-diabetes.

white sugar, high-fructose corn syrup, aspartame, artificial food colorings, and a wide variety of other toxic Interestingly substances. enough, research shows that these are some of the worst IQ foods. Given the makeup of processed foods, is it any wonder that children are suffering from IQ reduction upon introducing these foods into their diet?

#### **IQ Foods: The Findings**

British researchers uncovered the connection between processed foods and reduced IQ. They followed what 14,000 children ate and drank at the ages of 3, 4, 7, and 8.5 years of age, with answers submitted via parents through questionnaires. The researchers found that if children were consuming a processed diet at age 3, IQ decline could begin over the next five years. The study found that by age 8, the children had suffered the IQ decline.

On the contrary, children who ate health complications.

rocessed foods are the a nutrient-rich diet were found to increase their IQ over the 3 year period. particularly in the United The foods considered nutrient-rich States where 105 million by the researchers were most likely conventional fruits and vegetables. If children were to eat a wide variety These processed foods are filled with of organic produce, superfoods, mineral-rich plants, and perhaps even consume a food-based multivitamin, the researchers would most likely see a substantial IQ increase. This eating plan would also benefit the children — or even adults — in other aspects of their lives as well, such as better overall health & well-being.

Processed foods have been known to wreak havoc on the body for quite some time. One joint American and Spanish study has even found that junk food products, particularly those containing trans fats, can make healthy young men infertile by damaging their sperm. Another study, conducted by scientists from the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria and the University of Granada, reported that both junk food and fast food consumption can bring on depression. The link was so strong, in fact, that those who consumed fast food were 51% more likely to be depressed. It isn't surprising that some of the worst IQ foods are the same foods that cause numerous

PROCESSED FOODS HAVE BEEN KNOWN TO WREAK HAVOC ON THE BODY FOR OUITE SOME TIME. ONE JOINT AMERI-CAN AND SPANISH STUDY HAS EVEN FOUND THAT JUNK FOOD PRODUCTS, PARTICULARLY THOSE CON-**TAINING TRANS** FATS, CAN MAKE **HEALTHY YOUNG** MEN INFERTILE BY DAMAGING THEIR SPERM.



>>>> INFOWARS MAGAZINE LINFOWARS.COM


## Back to Back Victories Against Chemicals in Food

Food rights activists and consumers turned up the heat in February and hailed multiple victories in various forms that will soon impact Americans' eating habits for the better; and it's all thanks to the tremendous efforts of people like you who generally just want the freedom to eat safe, healthy food.

In February, American food conglomerate Kraft conceded defeat and agreed to remove artificial preservatives from American and White American Kraft Singles varieties. The large scale grocery manufacturer also caved under activist pressure last year, and opted to change the ingredients in their popular Macaroni & Cheese recipe, removing petroleum-based synthetic dyes such as Yellow 5 and Yellow 6. "Those synthetic dyes promote hyperactivity and other behavior problems in some children," Center for Science in the Public Interest executive director Michael Jacobson said, "and may even pose a cancer risk."

Also in February, online activism forced sandwich chain Subway to reconsider their bread recipe after it was revealed they were adding a chemical to breads baked in North America, but oddly not in other countries. For the most part, Subway was happy to ignore food blogger Vani Hari's petition asking them to "Stop Using Dangerous Azodicarbonamide in Your Bread!," a chemical which Hari found is used in the production of yoga mats and shoe rubber. "Americans want to 'eat fresh,' not yoga mat," said Hari. But after appearing on the Alex Jones Show, the Food Babe's petition received over 50,000 signatures, and the very next day Subway agreed to take the rubber out of our sub breads. Undoubtedly, their decision was in response to a deluge of signatures and highly vocal protests.

And in yet another victory for food rights activists, fast food chain Chick-fil-A has agreed to stop sourcing chickens fed antibiotics following overwhelming pressure from critics.





"...[W]e are collaborating with national and regional poultry suppliers to build a supply chain based on chickens raised with no antibiotics," Chick-fil-A stated in a press release. "We are asking suppliers to work with the USDA to verify that antibiotics are never administered from the hatchery to the processing plant."

The plan, Chick-fil-A says, is to "serve chicken raised without antibiotics in all Chick-fil-A restaurants, nationwide, within five years."

Sourcing chickens fed antibiotics is a revoltingly common practice that helps fatten chickens rapidly for production.

Undoubtedly, the prime mover urging Chick-fil-A's decision was Vani Hari, AKA the Food Babe. "For over a year and a half," Hari says she's been consulting the chain "on the top priorities of consumers and on healthy ingredient changes."

Hari says upon receiving news of Chick-fil-A's decision, she literally burst into tears. "...when the news hit, I screamed so loud that every neighbor within a mile radius must have heard me. And then tears of joy started rolling down my face," Hari wrote.

Even though she's been able to get Chick-fil-A to change their purchasing habits, Hari says she still wouldn't eat there.

"Let me be super clear on this. This announcement or any of the changes Chick-fil-A has already started making, does not mean this food is now healthy or I will begin to eat there.

Next on my list of demands is the removal of Monosodium Glutamate (and various hidden MSG additives, like autolyzed yeast extract) from their chicken sandwiches. I think it would be absolutely amazing to be able to eat at a fast food restaurant with a drive through window again! But you won't catch me at Chick-fil-A until they start providing clean, organic and sustainable food! Chick-fil-A would be surprised

#### about how many people would choose an organic option if they offered it."

Chick-fil-A's time table is also problematic. "This strategy gives me some heart ache," Hari confesses. "I'd like to see them move faster than 5 years and hope they will be able to influence their suppliers (think Tyson, Perdue, etc.) to move faster or at least consider using other suppliers who are not using antibiotics in the meantime."

Over the last year, Hari and her legion of food activists have been able to persuade Chick-fil-A to drop the chemical TBHQ, high fructose corn syrup, artificial food dyes, and has convinced them to switch to a cleaner bun, one "without yoga mat chemical azodicarbonamide, mind you." She claims if the chain one day offers an organic option, that she'll "rent a chicken costume and run up and down the street on live TV."

#### WRITTEN BY: PAUL JOSEPH WATSON

## Scientific American: Kill More Babies To Save Earth

#### Less Infants Results In Less Co2

ollowing the leak of a United Nations blueprint which outlined the plan to replace fearmongering about global warming with the contrived threat of overpopulation, a Scientific American report mimics precisely that talking point, pushing the notion that programs of mass abortion and birth control need to be encouraged in order to reduce the amount of humans on the planet exhaling carbon dioxide.

"Ultimately, family planning alone – such as the use of condoms and other reproductive health services – in parts of the world with growing populations, including the U.S., could restrain population growth significantly, this analysis finds," writes David Biello.

To back up his argument, Biello links to an article by the completely discredited eugenicist Paul Ehrlich, who once stated that "everyone will disappear in a cloud of blue steam."

Ehrlich, who co-authored Ecoscience with White House Science Czar John P. Holdren, the textbook that advocates putting drugs in the water supply to sterilize people, mandatory forced abortions, and a tyrannical eco-fascist dictatorship run by a "planetary regime," is infamous for his spectacularly inaccurate predictions about how overpopulation would destroy the environment.

The article cited by Biello advocates a mass public relations campaign targeted at women to encourage them to have abortions in order to reduce the amount of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. In other words, more babies need to be killed to prevent them from exhaling CO2. Coincidentally, the cover of the Scientific American issue in which the article appears features a set of human skulls.

As we revealed in a report last month, the true agenda behind fanning the flames of fears about overpopulation is to reduce living standards globally, by preventing the third world from ever becoming economically prosperous, while also eviscerating the middle classes of western nations.

A leaked UN blueprint concerning the need to re-energize the move towards global government outlined a plan to re-brand global warming as "overpopulation" as a means of dismantling the middle classes while

using "global redistribution of wealth" and increased immigration to reinvigorate the pursuit of a one world government.

The aim of globalist institutions is to "limit and redirect the aspirations for a better life of rising middle classes around the world," in other words to reduce the standard of living for the middle classes in Western Europe and America.

Similarly, in his report, Biello decries the potential that "richer people" would lead to more consumption, once again revealing the eugenicist fervor that environmentalists embrace in deliberately preventing the third world from lifting itself out of poverty and mass starvation.

In reality, whenever a country is allowed to develop and become more prosperous, population figures drop naturally, underscoring the fact that environmentalists do not really care about the threat posed by overpopulation, their primary concern is the threat posed to the elite by a stronger middle class globally.

Environmental controls which pre-

## A LEAKED UN BLUEPRINT CONCERNING THE NEED TO RE-ENER-GIZE THE MOVE TOWARDS GLOBAL GOVERNMENT OUTLINED A PLAN TO RE-BRAND GLOBAL WARMING AS "OVERPOPULATION" AS A MEANS OF DISMANTLING THE MIDDLE CLASSES WHILE USING "GLOBAL REDISTRIBUTION OF WEALTH" AND INCREASED IMMIGRATION TO REINVIGORATE THE PURSUIT OF A ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT.

vent third world nations from developing infrastructure are fueling overpopulation, starvation and misery, which is precisely how the elitists want it to remain.

Warnings about the threat posed by overpopulation are fundamentally flawed. In reality, underpopulation will be seen as the biggest danger to human prosperity in the latter half of the 21st century.

The UN's own figures clearly indicate that population is set to stabilize in 2020 and then drop dramatically after 2050. As the Economist reported, "Fertility is falling and families are shrinking in places— such as Brazil, Indonesia, and even parts of Indiathat people think of as teeming with children. As our briefing shows, the fertility rate of half the world is now 2.1 or less—the magic number that is consistent with a stable population and is usually called "the replacement rate of fertility". Sometime between 2020 and 2050 the world's fertility rate will fall below the global replacement rate."

Of course, the globalist agenda to reduce world population by as much as 80% in the name of saving the environment, a figure achievable only via draconian and genocidal measures, has nothing to do with the environment and everything to do with whittling down the number of slaves so that they can be more easily controlled on the plantation.

Holdren and Ehrlich's eco-fascist plan to sterilize people through the water supply is already taking effect, as global sperm counts drop and gender-bending chemicals pollute our rivers and lakes, while feminizing antiandrogens are sprayed on our food in the form of pesticides.

Global sperm counts have dropped by a third since 1989 and by half in the past 50 years. The rate of decline is only accelerating as more and more couples find it harder to have children. In studies of white European men, the rate of decline is as much as 50 percent in the last 30 years. In Italy, this equates to a native population reduction of 22 percent by 2050. Population reduction is already occurring amongst native residents in many areas of Europe and America.

The agenda to reduce global popu-

lation, a process that could naturally be achieved by alleviating third world poverty and lifting the living standards of people worldwide, is instead being enacted through the deliberate mass poisoning of our food and water supplies.

In addition, governments are already developing neutron bombs that destroy humans but not buildings, "for extreme ethnic cleansing in an increasingly populated world," according to a 2007 British Ministry of Defence report, which predicted that their use could lead to the "application of lethal force without human intervention, raising consequential legal and ethical issues."

As before demonstrated overpopulation is a myth. Globalists and their eugenicist minions have misrepresented population statistics for decades in order to justify their agenda to wipe out large portions of the population. If this genocidal agenda continues, humanity will go the way of the Brontosaurus.

John Lennon called it right on the overpopulation myth.

# <text>

# The War on Men: 10 Ways Masculinity is Under Attack

## And Why The Elite – Not Women – Are to Blame

en are facing a full frontal assault on their rights, health and culture like never before. The war on masculinity has never been so brutal – but it's not a war being waged by women. The attack is coming directly from the top, as the establishment desperately attempts to emasculate and disempower men in order to force women to be more dependent on the state, thereby enabling more power to be centralized and aiding the growth of big government.

Here are ten ways in which the state has declared war on men and masculinity;

## 1) Falling Fertility

Sperm counts amongst men have significantly decreased over the last half century and particularly over the last 25 years. In some European countries, sperm counts have dropped by as much as a third since 1989. Part of the fall can be explained by exposure to pesticides, endocrine-disrupting chemicals like Bisphenol A, and the many other artificial horrors that increasingly pervade our water and food supply. Many have made the connection between falling sperm counts and the open calls by innumerable elitists to drastically reduce world population by as much as 95%. Research shows that underpopulation, not overpopulation, will be the major demographic crisis of the 21st century as a result of humans failing to achieve the replacement rate of 2.1 children.

### 2) Chemical Warfare "Feminizing" Boys

Exposure to phthalates, which are found in many plastics, is "feminizing" boys by blocking normal male testosterone and causing genital abnormalities, according to scientists. "Boys exposed to high levels of these in the womb were less likely than other boys to play with cars, trains and guns or engage in "rougher" games like playfighting," according to a BBC News report. According to Elizabeth Salter-Green, director of the chemicals campaign group CHEM Trust, phthalates are a true "gender-bender" because they lead to a reduction in "male behavior".

#### 3) Degradation of Positive Masculine Role Models

Whereas 50 years ago, advertising, Hollywood and television was filled with examples of positive masculine role models that young men could look up to, today's entertainment industry routinely portrays men as clueless and bumbling oafs at best (think Homer Simpson, Everybody Loves Raymond, Married With Children) or at worst as aggressive sexual predators. Since advertising is primarily aimed at women, men in commercials are also now routinely depicted as either being emasculated losers or stupefied morons. Young men consuming this content grow up thinking that it is acceptable and even encouraged to

aspire to these character traits. In doing so, they are robbed of their natural masculinity and find it extremely difficult to attract well-rounded women, who are rightly disgusted by such behavior. The entertainment industry is largely controlled by men, again underscoring the fact that this assault is a top down trend that has little or nothing to do with the gender war.

## 4) Metrosexual Malaise

Second wave feminism was a creation of the establishment itself and at its core has little whatsoever to do with genuine concern about women's rights. Radical feminism deliberately confuses gender roles and makes young men apprehensive about exercising their masculinity for fear of being seen as overbearing or aggressive towards women. This has contributed to an entire generation of "metrosexual" men who are promiscuous, unwilling to commit to a relationship and unable to fulfil a women's basic needs for healthy companionship, destabilizing society and making it more difficult for women to find suitable long term partners with whom to have children.

### 5) Cultural Marxism

Establishment-controlled second wave feminism also advances the doctrine of cultural marxism, which claims that oppression emerges from patriarchal society and culture, and not the state. Governments love cultural marxism because it absolves them of blame. The true source of all oppression has always been the state, but by blaming it on men or western culture in general (which is primarily shaped by men), the state hides its own responsibility.

#### 6) The 'Men are Paid More' Myth

The establishment promulgates the myth that men are paid more than women because of discrimination, feeding into feminist doctrines about patriarchal systems oppressing women in the workplace. In reality, the "wage gap" of around 19 per cent between the two sexes in the United States is explained by a number of reasons that have nothing to do with discrimination, including the fact that men work more hours and men seek less desirable jobs that pay higher. As a result, men account for 93% of workplace deaths despite being only 54% of the workforce. 94% of workplace suicides every year are also men. The establishment buries these shockingly high male workplace fatality figures because they completely contradict the myth that the jobs market discriminates against women.

### 7) The "Privilege" Trap

Statists, collectivists and their mouthpieces in the media and the establishment claim that western men (in particular white men) cannot express a valid opinion on any issue related in any way to a "minority" (such as feminism or immigration) because they have "privilege". The "privilege" talking point is a stunt through which liberals and feminists attempt to shut down free speech. In essence they are asserting the ludicrous notion that a man's viewpoint has no value because of the color of his skin, his gender or his country of origin. This is an inherently racist position, yet it is routinely used by leftists to shut down their ideological adversaries and silence male voices.

#### 8) The Legal System Discriminates Against Men

In both divorce and child custody proceedings, it is widely acknowledged that courts heavily favor women and discriminate against men. Men are routinely hit with onerous alimony payments even if women are capable of working and earning a good paycheck. Men only receive custody of their children in around 10 percent of divorce cases in the United States. The ironic thing about this system is that it has primarily been instituted by other men, emphasizing again how the war on men is being waged not by women, but by the primarily male-dominated establishment itself.

#### 9) Masculinity as a Dirty Word

Dissident feminist Camille Paglia recently wrote a Wall Street Journal piece in which she warned, "What you're seeing is how a civilization commits suicide." Paglia was referring to how the emancipation of masculine virtues by the establishment threatens to create massive destabilization in society due to less and less men being able to fill traditionally "masculine" roles in the jobs market. Paglia points to schools cutting recess, the effort to deny the biological distinctions between men and women, and the left's characterization of controversial opinions as "hate speech" as examples of how masculinity is being deliberately eroded. "Masculinity is just becoming something that is imitated from the movies. There's nothing left. There's no room for anything manly right now," warns Paglia, adding that young men have, "no models of manhood."

#### 10) Domestic Abuse Against Men

Whereas women have numerous safety nets to turn to if they become victims of domestic abuse, men have virtually none, despite the fact that domestic abuse against men is a huge and growing problem. In the UK for example, 44 percent of domestic abuse victims are male, while more married men suffer abuse at the hands of their spouse than married women. While domestic abuse against women is constantly highlighted by the mass media, domestic abuse against men is a complete non-issue.

#### **Conclusion**:

A totalitarian society can only survive if the male population has been gelded, emasculated and disenfranchised. With this natural bulwark against tyranny removed, the elite can centralize power and pursue collectivist tyranny unopposed. This is why men and masculinity are under assault on every level – and why both men and women should join forces to fight back against this common enemy.



DEATH

INFOWARSSTORE.COM 1-888-253-3139

# Facebook Trash Talk is "Terrorism"; Presidential Mass Murder is "Policy"

**Blatant Hypocrisy Is Now Humorous** 

WRITTEN BY: WILLIAM NORMAN GRIGG

"Sasha and Malia are huge fans, but boys, don't get any ideas," riffed Barack Obama during his stand-up routine at the May 2009 White House Correspondents Dinner, directing his words at the Jonas Brothers, who were in attendance. "But boys, don't get any ideas. I have two words for you: predator drones. You will never see it coming."

The assembled sycophants responded by rendering unto Caesar the dutiful laughter required anytime the Pontiff of the Civil Religion decants a carefully scripted specimen of presidential "wit." On this occasion the affected mirth was a bit more labored than usual, perhaps because it was freighted with the knowledge that Obama had the means to make good on those words if he cared to, and that nobody would hold him accountable if the Jonas Brothers were vaporized as a result of his Caligulan caprice.

Viewed in retrospect following five years of drone-conducted child-slaughter – including the murder, on Obama's supposed authority, of a 16-year-old U.S. citizen – that line can't be viewed merely as a profoundly tasteless after-dinner joke, but rather as a glimpse into the mind of an authentic sociopath.

In discussing its assassination program, the Obama Regime no longer takes refuge in poorly executed comedy, or seeks to veil its intentions in bureaucratic euphemisms: It is now openly contemplating the murder – via drone strike — of an unidentified U.S. citizen in Pakistan. That individual is described as an ally of al-Qaeda who has been involved in planning acts of terrorism. Of course, exactly the same description applies to Mr. Obama and his handlers, who in Syria are supporting a hyper-violent al-Qaeda affiliate that was actually repudiated by Ayman al-Zawahiri. When Barack Obama or those speaking on his behalf, indulge in terroristic language, they are engaging in what the U.S. Supreme Court, in Brandenburg v. Ohio, described as a "true threat." This cannot be said of the ill-advised and tasteless Facebook comments made by 18-year-old Austin, Texas resident Justin Carter, who was arrested – with the help of a federal Fusion Center – and charged with making a "terroristic threat" after his comments were reported by an anonymous informant in Canada. As a detailed in an infuriating report by the Dallas Observer documents, Carter is now in legal "limbo," his life having been ruined by prosecutors who serve the same system that routinely annihilates people overseas.

About two months after the Sandy Hook massacre, Carter was involved in a Facebook conversation dealing with a game called "League of Legends." Indulging in the kind of hyperbolic verbal posturing that is typical in such forums, Carter described himself as "f\*\*\*ed in the head" and said "I think I'ma SHOOT UP A KINDERGARTEN." Carter and his father would later insist the comments were the undisciplined outpouring of a game-obsessed teenage nerd.

After taking a couple of months to devise a pseudo-legal rationale to arrest Carter, the Austin Police seized him while he was at work, held him for a month without charges, and questioned him without allowing access to an attorney. Detective Joe Robles, employing the time-honored police technique called



UNDER THE AMER-ICAN CONCEPT OF JUSTICE, FLANARY POINTS OUT, "FIRST YOU PROVE THE CRIME, THEN YOU GET THE PUNISHMENT.... BUT NOW, IN JUSTIN'S CASE, [IT'S] `LET'S DO THE PUNISHMENT FIRST AND THEN WE'LL SEE IF WE CAN PROVE THE CRIME LATER.' THE DAMAGE HAS BEEN DONE.

"lying," told the terrified teenager he would be released if he admitted to posting the Facebook comments.

Carter fell for the ruse, and as a reward was transferred to a different jail, saw his bond increased to \$500,000, and was offered an eight-year prison sentence. That coerced "confession" is the only thing tying Carter to a screen-cap of a tiny fragment of a larger Facebook conversation: The prosecutors are pretending that Facebook pleading guilty to a felony. - a company notorious for its eagerness to cooperate with the State - won't allow them access to the entire thread, which would provide exculpatory context.

A search of Carter's home found no firearms, no bomb-making materials, no documentary or physical evidence of preparations to carry out a terrorist act, or an inclination to commit such a crime.

After being thrown into the company of violent people accused of actual crimes. Carter was sexually assaulted and wound up on suicide watch.

Carter's family succeeded in publicizing the teenager's plight, and his typically indifferent "public defender" was replaced by defense attorney Don Flanary. As a result, prosecutors modulated their demands, offering Carter a term of 10 years' probation in exchange for

Flanary observes, correctly, that "the case should be dismissed. He didn't do anything wrong." The techniques employed to extract the confession are "what dictatorships all around the world used to do," Flanary continues. "They'd say, `If you confess to your crimes against the state, we will let you go."


Under the American concept of justice, Flanary points out, "First this is "policy."

you prove the crime, then you get the punishment.... But now, in Justin's case, [it's] `Let's do the punishment first and then we'll see if we can prove the crime later.' The damage has been done."

The same approach is used by the Obama administration in dispensing death from above on distant, unsuspecting people who are arbitrarily designated as "suspected militants" - as well as any women or children who might be in the vicinity, and the emergency personnel who are taken out by a "double-tap" strike as they desperately seek to save the victims of the first one.

A suitably ambitious prosecutor, with the help of predatory police officers, can transmute social media trash talk into terrorism. However, when Obama – a certified mass murderer — plausibly threatens to slaughter people by remote control,

JOEL SKOUSEN DETAILS THE SAFEST PLACES TO LIVE AND HOW TO SECURE YOUR HOME.



## MAKE THE RIGHT CHOICE LEARN MORE AT INFOWARSSTORE.COM OR CALL 1.888.253.3139

FIVORIDE CHLORINE ALUMINUM

FTALS

# 9 out of 10 Americans suffer daily from PEBLAD



With Pocket Socket you can go about your day without worry

The K-Tor Pocket Socket is the only hand-crank power generator that can charge

iPhone<sup>®</sup>, iPod<sup>®</sup>, iPad<sup>®</sup>, Digital Camera, AA & AAA Battery Chargers

**AND MUCH MORE!** 



#### The K-Tor Pocket Socket is a hand-cranked generator that provides electrical power on the go. Great for both daily use and as a must have for emergency preparedness. It generates up to 10 watts of electricity at 120 volts- enough power to charge a wide range of devices.



## **«INFOWARSSTORE.COM»**





## GIVE SOMEONE THEIR LIFE BACK, FREE FROM TOBACCO!

# In 19 Minutes, A Team Of Snipers Destroyed 17 Transformers At A Power Station In California

The Most Significant Incident Of Domestic Terrorism Involving The Grid That Has Ever Occurred



hen a real terrorist attack happens, sometimes we don't hear about it until months afterward (if we ever hear about it at all). For example, did you know that a team of spipers shot up a

know that a team of snipers shot up a power station in California? The terrorists destroyed 17 transformers and did so much damage that

the power station was shut down for a month. And it only took them 19 minutes of shooting to do it. Of course most Americans have absolutely no idea that this ever happened, because they get their news from the mainstream media, yet you won't hear about it on the big news networks. They are too busy covering the latest breaking news on the Justin Bieber scandal.

And maybe it is good thing that most people don't know about this. The truth is that we are a nation that is absolutely teeming with "soft targets", and if people realized how vulnerable we truly are they might start freaking out.

If you have not heard about the attack on the Silicon Valley substation yet, you should look into it. The following

is an excerpt from a Business Insider article about the sniper assault...

The Wall Street Journal's Rebecca Smith reports that a former Federal Energy Regulatory Commission chairman is acknowledging for the first time that a group of snipers shot up a Silicon Valley substation for 19 minutes last year, knocking out 17 transformers before slipping away into the night.

The attack was "the most significant incident of domestic terrorism involving the grid that has ever occurred" in the U.S., Jon Wellinghoff, who was chairman of the Federal Energy RegTHE TERRORISTS DESTROYED 17 TRANSFORMERS AND DID SO MUCH DAMAGE THAT THE POWER STATION WAS SHUT DOWN FOR A MONTH. AND IT ONLY TOOK THEM 19 MINUTES OF SHOOTING TO DO IT. OF COURSE MOST AMERICANS HAVE ABSO LUTELY NO IDEA THAT THIS EVER HAPPENED, BECAUSE THEY GET THEIR NEWS FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA.

ulatory Commission at the time, told Smith.

Evidence found at the scene included "more than 100 fingerprint-free shell casings", and little piles of rocks "that appeared to have been left by an advance scout to tell the attackers where to get the best shots."

So much damage was done to the substation that it was closed down for a month.

And what happens if they decide to attack a nuclear power facility next time and use even bigger weapons?

Could we have another Fukushima on our hands?

In a previous article, I discussed a very disturbing report that showed that our nuclear facilities are indeed extremely vulnerable...

Commercial and research nuclear facilities across the U.S. are inadequately protected against the threat of terrorism, according to the results of new study released this week by the Nuclear Proliferation Prevention Project (NPPP) at the University of Texas at Austin's LBJ School of Public

Affairs. The two biggest terror threats facing these facilities, according to the report, are the theft of bomb grade nuclear materials and sabotage attacks aimed at causing a nuclear reactor meltdown.

The study, entitled "Protecting U.S. Nuclear Facilities from Terrorist Attack: Re-assessing the Current 'Design Basis Threat' Approach," found not one of the 104 commercial nuclear reactors in the U.S. is protected against a "maximum credible terrorist attack," such as 9/11. In fact, nuclear facilities are not required to protect themselves against airplane attacks, assaults by large teams of terrorists or even highpower sniper rifles.

The truth is that we are far, far more vulnerable to terror attacks than most Americans would dare to imagine.

So why isn't the federal government doing more to protect us?

Well, the reality is that their resources are already stretched pretty thin and they can't even protect their own computers. According to another report that was recently released, breaches of government computer networks go undetected 40 percent of the time...

A new report by Sen. Tom Coburn (R., Okla.) details widespread cybersecurity breaches in the federal government, despite billions in spending to secure the nation's most sensitive information.

The report, released on Tuesday, found that approximately 40 percent of breaches go undetected, and highlighted "serious vulnerabilities in the government's efforts to protect its own civilian computers and networks."

"In the past few years, we have seen significant breaches in cybersecurity which could affect critical U.S. infrastructure," the report said. "Data on the nation's weakest dams, including those which could kill Americans if they failed, were stolen by a malicious intruder. Nuclear plants' confidential cybersecurity plans have been left unprotected. Blueprints for the technology undergirding the New York Stock Exchange were exposed to hackers."

Are you starting to get the picture?



We are not nearly as "secure" as we like to think that we are.

In recent months, we have seen that our private financial information is not even secure at the largest retailers in the entire country. By now you have probably already heard about the horrifying security breach that happened at Target during the holiday season...

The holiday shopping season breach affected up to 110 million customers, including 40 million credit and debit cards and up to 70 million customers' personal information.

The discount retailer discovered the breach in mid-December, notified customers several days later, and launched an investigation with the help of a private security firm and law enforcement.

And experts tell us that because credit card companies are cutting corners by using outdated technology that is less expensive that these kinds of credit card hacks will continue to happen all over the country.

So what are you going to do when you wake up some day and none of your credit cards or debit cards will work because the entire system has been compromised by hackers?

What are you going to do when you wake up some day and you have no power for an extended period of time because a team of terrorists has destroyed the entire power grid in

your area?

What are you going to do when you wake up some day and a wave of nuclear radiation is heading your way because terrorists have attacked a nuclear power facility close to where you live?

We are an extremely vulnerable nation that literally has thousands of big, fat juicy "soft targets" that could be attacked at any moment.

We have been very fortunate to live during an era when we have generally been safe from such attacks, but the world is rapidly changing before our very eyes.

So let us hope for the best, but let us also prepare for the worst.



# If America Continues To Go Down The Same Path As Europe, This Is What Life Will Be Like...

#### A Look Into The Socialist Nightmare The United States Is Quickly Becoming

ecoming more like Europe is not a good thing. But that is the path that we are currently on. For the most part, Europeans live in a socialist "Big Brother" system in which the government completely dominates your life from the cradle to the grave. Of course there are differences from country to country, but generally speaking the lives of most Europeans are very tightly regulated. You see, the truth is that high levels of individual liberty and freedom are considered to be "dangerous" by the European elite.

They believe that if we are all allowed to just do whatever we want that it would result in utter chaos. They are convinced that life is better when those that are smarter (them) control the lives of everyone else. In essence, Europe is like a giant religious cult in many ways (minus the religion). With each passing year, the number of rules and regulations governing the daily lives of Europeans steadily grows, as does the level of control. If you try to live outside of that control, you could just as well find yourself in a direct confrontation with the authorities very rapidly.

Just consider what is happening in Germany. Authorities there have stated repeatedly that they do not believe in having any "parallel societies", and therefore everyone must participate in the system that the government has established. That includes all children. In Germany today, almost all forms of homeschooling are illegal. In fact, one judge shockingly ruled that one set of parents could not have custody of their children because they might move them to another country and homeschool them there...

A judge has issued a stunning verdict in a homeschooling case in Germany, ordering that the parents cannot have custody of their children because the family might move to another country and homeschool, posing a "concrete endangerment" to the children.

Dirk and Petra Wunderlich's case made international headlines in August when 20 armed police arrived with a battering ram and forcibly took their four children from their home in Darmstadt, Germany, and enrolled them in public school.

As WND reported at the time, the children, ages 7 to 14, were taken into police custody. They were allowed to return home three weeks later when their father and mother, given no choice by the federal bureaucracy in Germany, agreed to allow their kids to attend public schools despite their objection to the social and religious instruction there.

Are you starting to see what I meant when I compared Europe to a cult?

In Europe, government is God, and everyone and everything belongs to the government.

Apparently, that even includes the

life savings of their own citizens. The following is from a Reuters article posted February 12, 2014.

The savings of the European Union's 500 million citizens could be used to fund long-term investments to boost the economy and help plug the gap left by banks since the financial crisis, an EU document says.

Did you catch that? The EU apparently believes that they could use the private savings of their own citizens "to fund long-term investments" any time that they want.

But what if you don't want your life savings to be invested in that way? Too bad for you.

In fact, according to that Reuters article the EU wants to find ways to "mobilize more personal pension savings" so that there will be a larger pool with which to potentially fund long-term projects...

The Commission will ask the bloc's insurance watchdog in the second half of this year for advice on a possible draft law "to mobilize more personal pension savings for long-term financing", the document said.

In Europe, they also tend to love any "Big Brother" technologies that will allow them to watch, track, monitor and record the activities of their citizens.

For example, it has been reported that in the UK there are 1.85 million video cameras watching the population, and London has been called "the



most watched city in the world".

The control freaks in the UK are so paranoid that it is even illegal to carry a knife in public, but despite all of their crazy laws the rate of violent crime in the UK is still far higher than it is in the United States.

Now, the UK has decided that all of the medical records for the entire population will be gathered into a single database. The following is from a recent TechDirt article...

The UK government is currently building a database called care.data that will contain all of England's medical records. It's being promoted as providing valuable information for healthcare management and medical researchers that will lead to improved treatment.

But this database will not be pri-

vate. In fact, it is being reported that being done to your private medical information from this database will be sold to drug companies and insurance companies...

Drug and insurance companies will from later this year be able to buy information on patients – including mental health conditions and diseases such as cancer, as well as smoking and drinking habits - once a single English database of medical data has been created.

Harvested from GP and hospital records, medical data covering the entire population will be uploaded to the repository controlled by a new arms-length NHS information centre, starting in March. Never before has the entire medical history of the nation been digitised and stored in one place. How would you feel if that was

information?

Not that the U.S. is a bastion of freedom and liberty these days either.

For example, Infowars is reporting that the Department of Homeland Security plans to launch a "national license plate tracking system"...

The Department of Homeland Security is set to activate a national license plate tracking system that will be shared with law enforcement, allowing DHS officers to take photos of any license plate using their smartphone and upload it to a database which will include a "hot list" of "target vehicles".

The details are included in a PDF attachment uploaded yesterday to the Federal Business Opportunities website under a solicitation entitled "National License Plate Recognition

#### IN GERMANY TODAY, ALMOST ALL FORMS OF HOMESCHOOLING ARE ILLEGAL. IN FACT, ONE JUDGE SHOCKINGLY RULED THAT ONE SET OF PARENTS COULD NOT HAVE CUSTODY OF THEIR CHILDREN BECAUSE THEY MIGHT MOVE THEM TO ANOTHER COUNTRY AND HOMESCHOOL THEM THERE...

#### Database."

The system will "track vehicle license plate numbers that pass through cameras or are voluntarily entered into the system from a variety of sources (access control systems, asset recovery specialists, etc.) and uploaded to share with law enforcement" in order to help locate "criminal aliens and absconders."

And for many more ways that the U.S. is becoming a "Big Brother society", please see my previous article entitled "32 Privacy Destroying Technologies That Are Systematically Transforming America Into A Giant Prison".

Another way that America is becoming more like Europe is in the area of religious behavior.

At one time, Europe was a hotbed for religious faith. Of course those days are long gone. And the United States is now heading down the exact same path, although Europe is still way ahead of us. The following is from a Pew Research survey conducted a few years ago...

Half of Americans deem religion very important in their lives; fewer than a quarter in Spain (22%), Germany (21%), Britain (17%) and France (13%) share this view.

So why has religion become so unimportant?

Well, it is because that is what the government system tells them to believe from the time that they are little children.

As I mentioned earlier, the government dominates your life from the beginning to the end in Europe. This even includes decisions about ending your life.

In fact, in Belgium they actually want to extend the euthanasia law to children... Making the argument that everybody has the right to die, Karine Lalieux, a Socialist member of the Belgian House, is pushing legislation that would extend the country's 2002 euthanasia law to minors under 18.

"Our responsibility is to allow everybody to live, but also to die, in dignity," said Lalieux.

And in the Netherlands they actually have mobile euthanasia teams that go door to door helping elderly people end their lives in the comfort of their own homes.


Europe is not a model for the rest of us to follow.

Instead, Europe should serve as a stark warning to the rest of the world about what can happen when you let the control freaks get too much control.



DRINK DIFFERENT

PORTABLE, LIGHTWEIGHT, AND REMOVES THE GLOBALIST CHEMICAL WEAPON FLUORIDE



## INFOWARSSTORE.COM

1.888.253.3139



# UC Professor Eyes Permanent Sterilant To Cull US Population

Classic Neo-Malthusian Regurgitation Claiming The Planet Cannot Carry Much More People

uring a speech given in early 2012 by University of California-Riverside professor Richard Cardullo. the cottonseed derivative Gossypol is being proposed as an adequate sterilant to bring down the birth rate in the United States. Stating that the substance's permanent sterilization effects on males is already being considered for widespread use in China and many third world countries, Cardullo calls it "an option" for use within the United States. In addition to Gossypol, the professor advised the attending students to lower their standard of living if the earth is to continue carrying humans on its surface.

Interesting to note that the professor has recently been selected by the National Science Foundation, the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) to join the Partnership for Undergraduate Life Sciences Education (PULSE) program, funded by the federal government.

As part of the university's online science lecture series, recorded on the campus earlier this year, the professor's lecture "Is Earth Overpopulated" is a classic neo-Malthusian regurgitation claiming the planet cannot carry much more people.

"If you want to minimize environmental impact, perhaps you should consider lowering your standard of

living, for instance."

Cardullo also told people they could reduce human impact on the earth by becoming vegetarians:

"One of the things we know is the production of meat is incredibly expensive," he said. "You are going to start hearing more and more about humans' carbon footprint, and one of the biggest contributions to that carbon footprint is the production of meat. ... We could do a lot simply by just becoming more vegetarian."

Although the professor tells his listening audience to "lower their standard of living" to minimize human impact on the earth, he stresses that this in itself isn't sufficient to lower fertility in the United States. Also, the professor continues, famine, disease, war and so on do not have the ability to effectively cull the human population.

"(...) we have got to do something about population as well. The United States, we are very affluent. ... we currently have a population of 313 million people. ... Altogether we gain one person every 15 seconds. ... If we want to take the population down to 150 million, all it would require in the next 100 years is to lower that birth rate – because we are not going to do it through any other method, right? That would be horrible."

"If we want to decrease the population, we could do it in an number of different ways."


Then the professor goes on to point at the screen, on which are depicted several disease-spreading insects. "These are all what's called vectors... carriers of disease which we are familiar with (...) horrible things (...). I'm going to show you things that effect populations more than anything (...), it's those", states the professor as he points to an image of sperm.

"Many scientists want to know: are there ways, are there new ways, that we can control population ...or fertility rates, by targeting specific cells, sperm or eggs, so that individuals can make the decision to keep those rates low."

A bit later on in the speech, the professor eyes cotton derivative Gossypol, which- as scientists have learned- cause sterility in males by targeting the sperm. Citing Chinese and American research on Gossypol, Cardullo mentions that Gossypol has the potential of killing humans, causing not just temporary but permanent sterility in males.

"(...) ultimately the World Health Organization argued against using it. But interestingly enough, there are countries in the world (such as China, Nigeria, Brazil etc.) using it as a permanent method for controlling fertility in males, which is an option."

The permanent sterility effects of Gossypol were discovered back in the



1970s, and were further picked up by the main financial contributor of anti-fertility drugs in the 20th century, the Rockefeller Foundation. The Foundation, it seems, investigated Gossypol's anti-fertility effect as well as the possibility of using the substance for "widespread use". The 1985 Rockefeller Foundation's annual report underlined its ongoing dedication towards finding good use for Gossypol.

Indeed, gossypol, a toxic polyphenol derived from the cotton plant, was identified early on in the Foundation's research as an effective sterilant. The question was, how to implement or integrate the toxic substance into crops.

"Another long-term interest of the Foundation has been gossypol, a compound that has been shown to have an anti-fertility effect in men, By the end of 1985, the Foundation had made grants totaling approximately \$1.6 million in an effort to support and stimulate scientific investigations on the safety and efficacy of gossypol."

In the 1986 Rockefeller Foundation annual report, the organization admits funding research into the use of fertility-reducing compounds in relation to food for "widespread use":

"Male contraceptive studies are focused on gossypol, a natural substance extracted from the cotton plant, and identified by Chinese researchers as having an anti-fertility effect on men. Before widespread use can be recommended, further investigation is needed to see if lowering the dosage can eliminate undesirable side-effects without reducing its effectiveness as a contraceptive. The Foundation supported research on gossypol's safety, reversibility and efficacy in seven different 1986 grants."

It seems that the funded scientists have indeed found a way of "lowering the dosage" of gossypol, circumventing the toxicity of the substance, so as to suppress or even eliminate these "undesirable side-effects", which include: low blood potassium levels, fatigue, muscle weakness and even paralysis. If these effects could be eliminated without reducing the anti-fertility effects, the foundation figured, it would be a highly effective and almost undetectable sterilant.

Although overtly, research into and development of gossypol as a anti-fertility compound was abandoned in the late 1990s, the cottonseed containing the substance was especially selected for mass distribution in the beginning of the current decade. Around 2006



a media-campaign was launched, saying the cottonseed could help defeat hunger and poverty.

In 2006, NatureNews reported that RNA interference (or RNAi) was the way to go. On the one hand it would "cut the gossypol content in cottonseeds by 98%, while leaving the chemical defenses of the rest of the plant intact." Furthermore, the article quoted Dr. Deborah P. Delmer, the Rockefeller Foundation's associate director of food security, who was quick to bury any concern:

"Deborah Delmer, associate director of the Rockefeller Foundation in New York City and an expert in agricultural food safety, points out that a benefit of using RNAi technology is that it turns off a gene process rather than switching on a novel function. So instead of introducing a new foreign protein, you're just shutting down one process," Delmer says. "In that sense, I

think that the safety concerns should be far less than other GM technologies."

A 2006, National Geographic article Toxin-Free Cottonseed Engineered; Could Feed Millions Study Says, quotes the director of the Laboratory for Crop Transformation (Texas A&M Universtity), Keerti Singh Rathore as saying:

"A gossypol-free cottonseed would significantly contribute to human nutrition and health, particularly in developing countries, and help meet the requirements of the predicted 50 percent increase in the world population in the next 50 years."

"Rathore's study", states the article, "represents the first substantiated case where gossypol was reduced via genetic engineering that targets the genes that make the toxin."

I bring into recollection the statement made by the Rockefeller Foundation in its 1986 annual report, which reads:

"Before widespread use can be recommended, further investigation is needed to see if lowering the dosage can eliminate undesirable side-effects without reducing its effectiveness as a contraceptive."

In the 1997 Foundational report, Rathore is mentioned (page 68). A postdoctoral fellowship-grant was given to a certain E. Chandrakanth "for advanced study in plant molecular biology under the direction of Keerti S. Rathore, Laboratory for Crop Transformation, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas."

Compromising connections, in other words, for someone who claimed academic objectivity in regards to gossypol and its sterilizing effects. Rathore explained the workings of RNAi in a 2006 issue of the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.



"Cottonseed toxicity due to gossypol is a long-standing problem", Rathore said, "and people have tried to fix it but haven't been able to through traditional plant breeding. My area of research is plant transgenics, so I thought about using some molecular approaches to address this problem."

Rathore also mentioned the desired main funder of his work without actually saying the name:

"we are trying to find some partners and will probably be looking at charitable foundations to help us out in terms of doing all kinds of testing that is required before a genetically engineered plant is approved for food or feed. We are in the very early stages and have a lot of ideas in mind, but we need to pursue those. Hopefully, we can find some sort of partnership that will allow us to do them."

He also expressed the final adaptation of the cottonseed for widespread use is something of the long term:

"(...) right now there are many hurdles when you are dealing with a genetically modified plant. But I think in the next 15 or 20 years a lot of these regulations that we have to satisfy will be eliminated or reduced substantially."

The Foundation, as is evident from the statements of Rockefeller's own Deborah Delmer, has been more than interested. The scientific community, we see, now tells young students that using such sterilants are a viable option to reduce the US population. Given the fact that the professor has been selected by large governmental organizations under the general guidance of Obama's science czar John P. Holdren, we must wonder if the "option" of using Gossypol as a sterilent in the United States may have been inspired by Holdren and Ehrlich in their 1977 book "Ecoscience", when they wrote:

"Adding a sterilant to drinking water or staple foods is a suggestion that seems to horrify people more than most proposals for involuntary fertility control. Indeed, this would pose some very difficult political, legal, and social questions, to say nothing of the technical problems. No such sterilant exists today, nor does one appear to be under development. To be acceptable, such a substance would have to meet some rather stiff requirements: it must be uniformly effective, despite widely varying doses received by individuals, and despite varying degrees of fertility and sensitivity among individuals; it must be free of dangerous or unpleasant side effects: and it must have no effect on members of the opposite sex, children, old people, pets, or livestock."

